# Unemployment Bulletin April 2008





# Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<a href="www.nomisweb.co.uk">www.nomisweb.co.uk</a>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: April 2008

Area	Claimant	aimant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	2.1%	2.8%	1.3%	12,116	-181	2.1% (0.0)	2.5%(-0.4)
Leicestershire County	1.2%	1.6%	0.7%	4,631	-172	1.2% (0.0)	1.5%(-0.3)
Leicester City	4.0%	5.6%	2.3%	7,485	-9	4.0% (0.1)	4.7%(-0.7)
Rutland	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%	141	-14	0.7% (0.1)	0.6%(0.0)
East Midlands	2.1%	2.9%	1.2%	55,969	18	2.1% (0.0)	2.3%(-0.2)
UK	2.2%	3.1%	1.2%	835,185	-7,642	2.2% (-0.1)	2.4%(-0.2)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the May 2008 unemployment figures on Wednesday 11th June 2008.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council, the LSEP and partners are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <a href="https://www.lsr-online.org">www.lsr-online.org</a>

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: April 2008

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	1.5%	495	-17	1.4% (0.1)	1.7%(-0.2)
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.4%	892	-33	1.4% (0.0)	1.6%-0.2)
Charnwood	1.3%	1,353	-73	1.3% (0.0)	1.6%(-0.3)
NW Leicestershire	1.2%	674	-32	1.3% (-0.1)	1.5%(-0.3)
Blaby	1.1%	603	21	1.0% (0.1)	1.2%(0.1)
Melton	0.8%	242	-27	0.9% (-0.1)	1.2%(-0.4)
Harborough	0.8%	372	-11	0.9% (-0.1)	0.9%(-0.1)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; April 2008.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	1.7%	664	1.8% (-0.1)	2.0%(-0.3)
Coalville	1.5%	311	1.6% (-0.1)	2.2%(-0.7)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1.4%	892	1.8% (-0.4)	1.9%(-0.5)
Wigston	1.4%	220	1.5% (-0.1)	1.5%(-0.1)
Oadby	1.2%	159	1.2% (0.0)	1.6%(-0.4)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.1%	79	1.2%(-0.1)	1.2%(-0.1)
Market Harborough	1.0%	125	1.1% (-0.1)	1.3%(-0.3)
Melton Mowbray	0.9%	149	1.1% (-0.2)	1.5%(-0.6)
Oakham	0.6%	38	0.8% (-0.2)	0.8%(-0.2)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; April 2008.

		18-24 ye	ears old			years old			
Area	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	
Blaby	150	15	0	0	325	55	30	20	
Charnwood	360	40	0	0	615	180	65	50	
Harborough	85	10	0	0	205	45	10	15	
Hinckley & Bosworth	225	35	0	0	470	100	30	30	
Melton	60	5	0	0	120	30	10	10	
NW Leicestershire	170	25	5	0	345	75	30	20	
Oadby & Wigston	115	15	0	0	265	50	25	20	
Leicester City	1,670	280	10	5	3,510	1,080	455	380	
Leicestershire County	1,165	150	10	5	2,345	540	200	160	
Rutland	35	0	0	0	80	15	10	5	
Leicester Shire	2,835	430	25	10	5,860	1,620	655	545	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

## Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; <a href="https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP">www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP</a> Ethnicity JSA.pdf

Please note that the ethnicity figures have been temporarily suspended pending a review by Department of Work and Pension and therefore the latest figures available are from January 2008.

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; March 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	475	5	10	30	15	65	45
Charnwood	1,145	15	10	135	25	180	93
Harborough	325	5	0	15	5	25	30
Hinckley & Bosworth	775	5	5	10	5	25	120
Melton	250	0	0	0	0	5	15
NW Leicestershire	655	0	0	0	0	5	50
Oadby & Wigston	345	10	5	110	5	130	35
Leicester City	3,720	185	700	1,980	230	3,095	660
Leicestershire County	3,975	40	35	300	55	425	355
Leicester Shire	7,695	225	730	2,280	285	3,520	1,045
East Midlands	44,345	955	2,265	3,400	820	7,440	3,845
Great Britain	608,530	12,955	51,555	45,965	18,385	128,865	77,950

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; March 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	0.6%	0.5%	1.3%	0.8%	2.5%	1.0%
Charnwood	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%
Harborough	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%	1.3%	0.7%
Hinckley & Bosworth	0.8%	0.6%	1.3%	0.4%	1.0%	0.6%
Melton	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
NW Leicestershire	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Oadby & Wigston	0.7%	1.3%	0.6%	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%
Leicester City	2.1%	2.6%	5.6%	2.4%	6.1%	2.9%
Leicestershire County	0.7%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%
Leicester Shire	1.0%	1.7%	4.4%	2.0%	3.1%	2.3%
East Midlands	1.1%	1.7%	3.9%	1.7%	2.2%	2.1%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; March 2008.

			Male				Female			
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	
Leicester Shire										
'White'	7,700	70	1,590	2,940	950	45	785	895	425	
'Non-white'	3,520	5	555	1,500	370	0	280	545	265	
Leicester City										
'White'	3,333	45	800	1,500	43	25	360	390	170	
'Non-white'	3,095	5	490	1,325	335	0	245	460	235	
Leicestershire County										
'White'	3,970	25	790	1,440	520	15	420	505	255	
'Non-white'	470	0	70	175	40	0	70	85	30	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### **Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition**

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; October 2006 - September 2007

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (March 2008)
United Kingdom	5.3%	1,531,900	835,185
East Midlands	5.1%	106,900	55,969
Leicester Shire	5.2%	23,600	12,116
Leicester City	9.1%	12,200	7,485
Leicestershire County	3.5%	11,400	4,631

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

## Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; May 2007

	All ber	nefits	Duration (proportion of all claimants)					
Area	Number	Number Rate		6mths - lyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs	
Blaby	4,440	7.8%	19%	9%	10%	18%	44%	
Charnwood	8,880	8.4%	19%	9%	11%	19%	42%	
Harborough	3,170	6.4%	17%	9%	10%	18%	46%	
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,750	8.9%	18%	10%	11%	19%	42%	
Melton	2,250	7.5%	18%	8%	10%	18%	45%	
NW Leicestershire	6,040	11.0%	16%	9%	11%	18%	47%	
Oadby and Wigston	3,040	8.9%	19%	10%	11%	18%	42%	
Leicester City	36,030	19.2%	21%	10%	11%	18%	40%	
Leicestershire County	33,550	8.5%	18%	9%	11%	19%	44%	
Rutland	1,250	5.5%	18%	7%	10%	19%	44%	
East Midlands	355,320	13.1%	18%	9%	10%	18%	45%	
Great Britain	5,185,450	14.2%	17%	9%	10%	18%	46%	

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; May 2007

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Incapacity Benefits	177,630	6.6%	15,560	8.3%	16,130	4.1%
Job Seeker	56,380	2.1%	7,890	4.2%	4,860	1.2%
Lone Parent	47,160	1.7%	6,210	3.3%	3,850	1.0%
Carer	29,200	1.1%	2,630	1.4%	3,200	0.8%
Disabled	26,360	1.0%	1,880	1.0%	3,210	0.8%
Others on income related benefit	10,510	0.4%	1,340	0.7%	1,050	0.3%
Bereaved	8,090	0.3%	520	0.3%	1,240	0.3%
Total	355,320	13.1%	36,030	19.2%	33,550	8.5%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp

# **Concepts and Definitions**

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

**Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions** - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

**Unemployment** (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

**Unemployment Rate** (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

**Economically Active** - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

**Economically Inactive** - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

**Working Age Population** - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year population estimates which are compatible with the 2001 Census.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: <a href="https://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf">www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf</a>

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: <a href="https://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp">www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp</a>

		(JSA) Ward Data		Blaby I	District			
Apri	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	31UBFY	Blaby South	20	9	27	1.0	0.7	
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	28 10		37	1.9	0.7	1.3
3	31UBGA	Cosby with South Whetstone Countesthorpe		10	20 31	0.6	0.7 0.4	0.7
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	23 5	4	9	1.0 0.7	0.4	0.7 0.7
5	31UBGC	Ellis	27	7	34	1.7	0.6	1.1
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	24	7	31	1.7	0.5	1.1
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	17	7	24	1.2	0.5	0.9
8	31UBGF	Forest	24	13	37	1.2	0.5	0.9
9	31UBGG	Millfield	17	4	21	2.6	0.0	1.7
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	17	8	20	1.0	0.7	0.9
П	31UBG	Narborough and Littlethorpe	23	II	34	1.3	0.7	1.0
12	31UBGK	Normanton	7	#	8	0.8	#	0.5
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	22	9	31	1.4	0.6	1.0
14	3 I UBGM	Pastures	19	13	32	1.1	0.8	1.0
15	3 I UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	55	23	78	2.4	1.1	1.8
16	3 I UBGP	Saxondale	38	16	54	1.4	0.8	1.1
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	37	10	47	1.6	0.5	1.0
18	31UBGR	Winstanley	36	19	55	1.9	1.1	1.5
	3.020.0	Blaby District	424	179	603	1.4	0.7	1.1
		2.05, 2 150 166	14 1	177	003		0.7	1.1

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	mant Count (J\$   2008	SA) Ward Data I	Charı	nwood Bo	rough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	31UCGG	Anatou	24	10	4.4	1.0	0.4	1.2
1	31UCGH	Anstey	34	10	44	1.9	0.6	1.2
2	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West Birstall Wanlip	17	11	28	0.9	0.6	0.8
4	31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	22	13	35	1.4	0.9	1.2
5	31UCGK		35	15	50	1.8	0.8	1.3
	31UCGL	East Goscote	8	4	12	0.8	0.4	0.6
6		Forest Bradgate	5	5	10	0.6	0.6	0.6
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	40	24	64	1.2	1.0	1.1
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	41	19	60	2.1	1.0	1.6
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	27	Ш	38	1.3	0.6	1.0
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	123	35	158	6.7	2.1	4.5
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	97	33	130	5.0	2.0	3.6
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	4	7	11	0.2	0.4	0.3
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	12	7	19	0.7	0.5	0.6
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	55	35	90	2.9	1.8	2.4
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	35	6	41	1.8	0.4	1.1
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer*	39	14	53	1.8	0.8	1.3
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	40	22	62	1.9	1.1	1.5
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	- 11	8	19	1.3	1.0	1.1
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	32	15	47	1.7	0.8	1.3
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	17	7	24	0.9	0.4	0.7
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	41	18	59	1.9	0.9	1.4
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	26	9	35	1.2	0.5	0.9
23	31UCHF	Sileby	35	23	58	1.7	1.2	1.5
24	31UCHG	Syston East	21	10	31	1.2	0.6	0.9
25	31UCHH	Syston West	31	19	50	1.7	1.0	1.4
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	8	6	14	0.9	0.7	0.8
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	69	30	99	2.4	1.2	1.8
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	8	4	12	0.9	0.5	0.7
		Charnwood Borough	933	420	1,353	1.7	0.9	1.3
		*Loughborough including	34	10	44	1.9	0.6	1.2
		Hathern	17	11	28	0.9	0.6	0.8

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Caunt (ICA) Mand Data

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data April 2008			Harbo	rough I	District			
April	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male F	emale	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
ı	31UDGD	Billesdon	5	#	7	1.0	#	0.7
2	3 IUDGE	Bosworth	#	#	4	#	#	0.3
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	4	5	9	0.5	0.7	0.6
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	8	5	13	1.0	0.7	0.9
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	7	4	П	1.2	0.7	0.9
6	3 IUDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	8	4	12	1.2	0.6	0.9
7	31UDGK	Dunton	4	0	4	0.5	0.0	0.3
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	20	5	25	1.3	0.4	0.8
9	31UDGM	Glen	Ш	5	16	0.9	0.5	0.7
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	21	10	31	1.1	0.6	0.9
11	31UDGP	Lubenham	7	#	9	0.7	#	0.6
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	6	#	7	8.0	#	0.5
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	8	#	9	1.2	#	0.7
14	31UDGS	Lutterworth Springs	15	4	19	2.4	0.7	1.5
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	10	3	13	1.6	0.5	1.1
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	29	12	41	1.4	0.6	1.0
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little Bowden*	13	7	20	1.0	0.6	0.8
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	13	4	17	1.0	0.3	0.7
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	37	10	47	2.2	0.6	1.5
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	3	#	5	0.4	#	0.3
21	31UDHA	Nevill	7	#	9	1.2	#	0.8
22	31UDHB	Peatling	#	0	#	#	0.0	#
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	17	10	27	0.9	0.5	0.7
24	31UDHD	Tilton	5	#	6	8.0	#	0.5
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	8	#	9	1.2	#	0.7
		Harborough District	270	102	372	1.0	0.4	0.8
		* Market Harborough	92	33	125	1.5	0.6	1.0

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

## Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

# **Hinckley & Bosworth Borough**

	il 2008	(ISA) Ward Data	Mala Famala Tatal Mala Famala 3					
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	7	7	14	0.6	0.7	0.6
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	8	6	14	0.7	0.6	0.7
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	97	29	126	3.3	1.1	2.3
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	42	24	66	2.3	1.5	2.0
5	3 I UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	27	26	53	1.0	1.0	1.0
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	10	4	14	1.0	0.5	0.7
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton	81	36	117	2.8	1.3	2.1
8	31UEGC	Groby	32	7	39	1.5	0.3	0.9
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	60	23	83	3.0	1.3	2.2
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	44	28	72	1.8	1.2	1.5
П	3 I UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	47	25	72	1.5	0.9	1.2
12	3 I UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	61	26	87	2.8	1.3	2.1
13	3 I UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	26	8	34	1.5	0.5	1.0
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
	•	Peckleton	33	14	47	1.3	0.6	1.0
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	26	13	39	1.5	0.8	1.1
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	14	#	15	1.4	#	0.8
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	615	277	892	1.8	0.9	1.4
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	362	188	550	2.1	1.2	1.7
4 -	•	1 1 1 1 2						

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	mant Count I 2008	(JSA) Ward Data	Leice	ster City				
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	22511115							
ı	00FNNF	Abbey	329	127	456	8.6	3.5	6.1
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	120	43	163	3.6	1.3	2.5
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	393	146	539	8.7	3.1	5.9
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	181	144	325	5.6	4.5	5.0
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	321	110	431	6.8	2.3	4.5
6	00FNNL	Castle	390	108	498	6.4	2.1	4.4
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	336	107	443	10.4	3.3	6.9
8	00FNNN	Coleman	261	116	377	6.7	3.1	5.0
9	00FNNP	Evington	114	42	156	4.1	1.6	2.9
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	180	68	248	6.0	2.2	<b>4</b> . I
11	00FNNR	Fosse	171	66	237	4.5	1.9	3.3
12	00FNNS	Freemen	247	90	337	7.7	2.6	5.1
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	151	64	215	<b>4</b> . I	1.9	3.0
14	00FNNU	Knighton	129	53	182	2.5	1.1	1.8
15	00FNNW	Latimer	183	93	276	5.0	2.6	3.8
16	00FNNX	New Parks	388	122	510	8.7	2.7	5.7
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	154	134	288	3.0	2.8	2.9
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	601	178	779	8.5	2.8	5.8
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	333	152	485	5.5	2.7	<b>4</b> . I
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	130	43	173	4.8	1.6	3.2
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	164	56	220	4.7	1.7	3.2
22	00FNPD	Western Park	112	35	147	3.5	1.2	2.4
		Leicester	5,388	2,097	7,485	5.6	2.3	4.0

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data April 2008				Melton B	orough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
I	31UGFT	Asfordby	16	10	26	1.6	1.1	1.3
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	11	#	12	1.0	#	0.6
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	3	#	5	0.6	#	0.5
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	5	#	7	0.8	#	0.6
5	3 IUGFY	Gaddesby	3	#	5	0.6	#	0.5
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	6	4	10	0.5	0.4	0.4
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	18	3	21	1.4	0.3	0.9
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	18	12	30	1.1	0.8	1.0
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	22	П	33	1.9	1.0	1.5
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	9	6	15	0.6	0.4	0.5
11	3 I UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	23	7	30	1.4	0.5	1.0
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	17	3	20	1.7	0.3	1.0
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	3	4	7	0.5	0.7	0.6
14	31UGGH	Somerby	#	4	5	#	0.8	0.5
15	3 I UGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	#	4	5	#	0.9	0.5
16	3 I UGGK	Wymondham	10	#	11	1.9	#	1.1
		Melton Borough	166	76	242	1.1	0.5	0.8
		* Melton Mowbray	107	42	149	1.3	0.6	0.9

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Clai And	Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data North Worth				cestersh	nire Distri	ict			
Αþi	11 2000		Male	Female	Total	Male F	Male Female			
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%		
		proportions)								
							.,			
ı	31UHFZ	Appleby	4	#	6	0.6	#	0.5		
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	I	0	15	1.4	0.0	0.9		
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*		6	35	1.9	0.4	1.2		
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*		10	29	1.4	0.8	1.1		
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	9	4	13	1.2	0.5	0.9		
6	31UHGE	Breedon	13	6	19	1.7	0.9	1.3		
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington		19	54	1.6	1.0	1.3		
8	31UHGG	Coalville**		15	57	3.0	1.2	2.1		
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	82	20	102	4.0	1.1	2.6		
10	3 I UHGJ	$Hugglescote^{**}$	20	5	25	1.4	0.4	0.9		
П	31UHGK	Ibstock and Heather	43	15	58	2.0	0.8	1.4		
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	15	8	23	1.1	0.6	0.9		
13	31UHGM	Measham	26	6	32	1.7	0.4	1.0		
14	31UHGN	Moira	22	10	32	1.5	0.7	1.1		
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	- 11	6	17	1.4	0.9	1.2		
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	9	0	- 11	1.2	0.0	0.8		
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	19	15	34	1.2	1.0	1.1		
18	31UHGS	$Thringstone^{**}$	26	12	38	1.8	0.9	1.4		
19	31UHGT	Valley	22	10	32	1.6	0.8	1.2		
20	31UHGU	Whitwick**	25	17	42	1.2	0.9	1.1		
		NW Leicestershire District	483	191	674	1.7	0.7	1.2		
		* Ashby de la Zouch	49	16	79	1.3	0.5	1.1		
		** Coalville	223	88	311	2.1	0.9	1.5		

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Istanta Count (ICA) Mand Date

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

## Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

# Oadby & Wigston Borough

Apri	1 2008							
			Male	Female	Total	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
		proportions)						
	2111151							
	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	22	11	33	1.9	1.0	1.5
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	15	12	27	0.7	0.6	0.7
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	27	12	39	2.6	1.2	1.9
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	26	10	36	2.0	0.8	1.4
5	3 I UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	19	5	24	1.5	0.4	0.9
6	31UJFR	South Wigston	81	35	116	3.5	1.6	2.5
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	34	10	44	1.7	0.5	1.1
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	50	23	73	2.6	1.3	2.0
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	48	10	58	2.2	0.5	1.4
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	35	10	45	1.8	0.6	1.2
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	357	138	495	2.0	0.8	1.5
		* Oadby	109	50	159	1.6	0.7	1.2
		** Wigston	167	53	220	2.1	0.7	1.4

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	rch 2008	it (JSA) Ward Data		Rutialiu				
			Male	Female	Total	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	0055140							
I	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	5	0	5	1.3	0.0	0.7
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	4	3	7	0.4	0.4	0.4
3	00FPMU	Exton	3	#	4	0.4	#	0.3
4	00FPMW	Greetham	6	0	6	0.7	0.0	0.5
5	00FPMX	Ketton	3	7	10	0.4	1.1	0.7
6	00FPMY	Langham	3	0	3	0.9	0.0	0.5
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	4	0	4	1.0	0.0	0.5
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	4	#	6	1.1	#	0.9
9	00FPNB	Normanton	12	5	17	1.1	0.6	0.9
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	6	3	9	0.7	0.4	0.5
11	00FPND	Oakham North West*	6	6	12	0.6	0.7	0.7
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	4	3	7	0.6	0.6	0.6
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	9	#	10	1.3	#	0.7
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	8	3	- 11	0.9	0.4	0.7
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	16	8	24	1.3	0.8	1.0
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	5	#	6	1.4	#	0.8
		Rutland	98	43	141	0.8	0.4	0.6
		*Oakham	25	12	38	0.8	0.4	0.6

Rutland

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (ISA) Ward Data

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.