Unemployment Bulletin April 2009



Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (www.nomisweb.co.uk) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: April 2009

Area	Claimant	ant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	4.1%	5.7%	2.3%	24,094	998	3.9%(0.2)	2.1%(2.0)
Leicestershire County	3.0%	4.2%	1.7%	12,008	425	2.9%(0.1)	1.2%(1.8)
Leicester City	6.3%	9.0%	3.5%	12,086	573	6.0%(0.3)	4.0%(2.3)
Rutland	1.7%	2.1%	1.2%	389	16	1.7%(0.0)	0.6%(1.1)
East Midlands	4.1%	5.8%	2.2%	111,959	2,844	4.0%(0.1)	2.1%(2.0)
UK	4.1%	5.8%	2.2%	1,557,616	34,134	4.0%(0.1)	2.2%(1.9)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the May 2009 unemployment figures on Wednesday 17th June 2009.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, www.lsr-online.org

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: April 2009.

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
NW Leicestershire	3.5%	1,924	85	3.3%(0.2)	1.2%(2.3)
Hinckley & Bosworth	3.3%	2,119	20	3.2%(0.2)	1.4%(1.9)
Oadby & Wigston	3.2%	1,094	83	2.9%(0.3)	1.5%(1.7)
Charnwood	3.0%	3,220	146	2.9%(0.1)	1.3%(1.7)
Melton	3.0%	899	25	2.9%(0.1)	0.8%(2.2)
Blaby	2.7%	1,554	-3	2.7%(0.0)	1.1%(1.6)
Harborough	2.4%	1,198	69	2.3%(0.1)	0.8%(1.6)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; April 2009.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	6.7%	1,304	6.6%(0.1)	1.4%(5.3)
Coalville	4.1%	900	3.9%(0.2)	1.5%(2.6)
Melton Mowbray	3.6%	571	3.5%(0.1)	0.9%(2.7)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	3.2%	1,399	3.0%(0.2)	1.7%(1.5)
Market Harborough	3.2%	406	3.0%(0.2)	1.0%(2.2)
Ashby de la Zouch	3.2%	227	2.8%(0.4)	1.1%(2.1)
Wigston	3.1%	472	3.0%(0.1)	1.4%(1.7)
Oadby	2.6%	367	2.4% (0.2)	1.2%(1.4)
Oakham	1.9%	121	1.8%(0.1)	0.6%(1.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; April 2009.

		18-24 ye	ears old					
	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18
Area	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months
Blaby	400	45	0	0	890	145	50	15
Charnwood	840	125	5	5	1,745	305	110	55
Harborough	310	30	0	0	715	105	20	15
Hinckley & Bosworth	490	65	0	0	1,275	200	55	25
Melton	255	30	0	0	510	80	20	5
NW Leicestershire	505	55	5	5	1,090	175	50	25
Oadby & Wigston	285	25	0	0	600	125	40	15
Leicester City	2,720	520	20	5	5,860	1,670	810	400
Leicestershire County	3,075	375	15	10	6,825	1,140	350	155
Rutland	105	10	0	0	240	25	5	5
Leicester Shire	5,795	895	35	15	12,685	2,810	1,160	555

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP_Ethnicity_JSA.pdf

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; March 2009.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	1,315	20	15	80	15	130	115
Charnwood	2,555	30	25	230	55	335	185
Harborough	1,015	5	5	30	5	45	70
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,720	5	5	25	10	45	335
Melton	845	5	0	0	0	10	20
NW Leicestershire	1,745	5	5	5	5	15	80
Oadby & Wigston	730	20	20	180	5	225	60
Leicester City	6,220	310	950	2,980	320	4,555	735
Leicestershire County	9,920	95	75	550	90	810	855
Leicester Shire	16,140	400	1,020	3,530	410	5,365	1,595
East Midlands	90,750	1,640	3,385	5,390	1,165	11,580	6,565
Great Britain	1,178,325	21,125	71,650	68,230	24,465	185,470	109,860

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; March 2009.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.5%	1.8%	1.9%	2.0%	2.5%	2.0%
Charnwood	1.8%	1.6%	1.8%	2.1%	1.9%	2.0%
Harborough	1.3%	0.6%	1.3%	1.5%	1.3%	1.3%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.7%	0.6%	1.3%	1.0%	2.0%	1.0%
Melton	1.8%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
NW Leicestershire	2.0%	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%	1.3%	0.6%
Oadby & Wigston	1.6%	2.5%	2.5%	2.4%	0.8%	2.3%
Leicester City	3.5%	4.4%	7.7%	3.6%	8.4%	4.3%
Leicestershire County	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.9%	1.7%	1.8%
Leicester Shire	2.1%	3.0%	6.1%	3.1%	4.5%	3.5%
East Midlands	2.3%	2.9%	5.8%	2.7%	3.1%	3.3%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; March 2009.

			Male				Female			
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	
Leicester Shire										
'White'	16,140	65	3,510	6,410	2,180	50	1,355	1,785	785	
'Non-white'	5,360	5	850	2,330	580	0	450	825	320	
Leicester City										
'White'	6,225	35	1,310	2,655	770	35	530	655	235	
'Non-white'	4,555	5	710	2,015	490	0	385	675	275	
Leicestershire County										
'White'	9,925	35	2,200	3,755	1,415	15	825	1,130	550	
'Non-white'	805	0	140	310	90	0	65	150	50	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; ctober 2007 - September 2008

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (April 2009)
United Kingdom	5.5%	1,620,000	1,557,616
East Midlands	5.5%	121,500	111,959
Leicester Shire	6.5%	30,500	24,094
Leicester City	11.9%	16,400	12,008
Leicestershire County	4.2%	14,100	12,086

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; August 2008

	All ben	efits		Duration (pro	pportion of all o	claimants)	
Area	Number	Rate	<6mths	6mths - lyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	4,570	8.0%	22%	8%	11%	17%	43%
Charnwood	9,440	8.8%	22%	8%	10%	18%	41%
Harborough	3,340	6.8%	21%	8%	9%	17%	44%
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,950	9.2%	22%	9%	10%	18%	41%
Melton	2,300	7.7%	23%	8%	9%	17%	43%
NW Leicestershire	6,050	10.9%	19%	8%	10%	18%	46%
Oadby and Wigston	3,170	9.2%	23%	9%	10%	17%	41%
Leicester City	36,980	19.4%	23%	10%	11%	17%	39%
Leicestershire County	34,830	8.7%	21%	8%	10%	17%	42%
Rutland	1,330	5.9%	20%	9%	11%	17%	42%
East Midlands	363,020	13.3%	21%	8%	10%	17%	44%
Great Britain	5,214,440	14.2%	20%	8%	10%	17%	45%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; August 2008

	East Midla	nds	Leicester	City	Leicestershire County		
Statistical group	Number	Number Rate		Rate	Number	Rate	
Incapacity Benefits	177,720	6.5	15,900	8.3	16,480	4.1	
Job Seeker	61,730	2.3	8,310	4.4	5,560	1.4	
Lone Parent	47,160	1.7	6,090	3.2	3,810	1.0	
Carer	30,550	1.1	2,890	1.5	3,360	0.8	
Disabled	28,150	1.0	2,000	1.0	3,430	0.9	
Others on income related benefit	10,770	0.4	1,310	0.7	1,120	0.3	
Bereaved	6,960	0.3	470	0.2	1,070	0.3	
Total	363,020	13.3	36,980	19.4	34,830	8.7	

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

	mant Count (I 2009	(JSA) Ward Data		Blaby I	District			
			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	3 I UBFY	Blaby South	72	14	86	5.0	1.1	3.2
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone		23	60	2.4	1.1	2.0
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe		23	91	3.1	1.2	2.2
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill		9	32	3.2	1.5	2.4
5	31UBGC	Ellis	47	18	65	2.8	1.2	2.1
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	68	21	89	5.1	1.6	3.4
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	48	18	66	3.5	1.5	2.6
8	31UBGF	Forest	67	29	96	3.0	1.4	2.2
9	31UBGG	Millfield	43	13	56	6.7	2.3	4.7
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	23	13	36	1.8	1.2	1.5
11	31UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	83	17	100	4.7	1.1	3.0
12	31UBGK	Normanton	19	9	28	2.3	1.2	1.7
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	76	18	94	4.5	1.1	2.9
14	31UBGM	Pastures	40	28	68	2.5	1.9	2.2
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	135	50	185	5.8	2.4	4.2
16	31UBGP	Saxondale		30	132	3.5	1.5	2.7
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	92	33	125	4.0	1.6	2.9

Source: Office for National Statistics

31UBGR

18

If you require further information please contact Joelle Bradly, Policy, Performance & Research, Chief Executive's Department, Leicestershire County Council on 0116 3055883.

99

1,142

46

412

145

1,554

4.2

3.8

2.2

1.6

3.3

2.7

Winstanley

Blaby District

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Claim April	•	SA) Ward Data 	Char	nwood Bo	rough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	31UCGG	Anstey	104	32	136	5.7	1.8	3.8
2	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West	67	26	93	3.4	1.5	2.5
3	31UCGJ	Birstall Wanlip	55	19	74	3.4	1.3	2.4
4	31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	84	30	114	4.0	1.6	2.9
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	43	12	55	4.5	1.3	3.0
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	21	14	35	1.8	1.6	1.7
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	94	23	117	2.5	0.9	1.8
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	111	34	145	5.4	1.8	3.7
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	88	34	122	4.2	1.9	3.1
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	176	56	232	8.4	3.0	5.8
- 11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	184	55	239	8.0	2.8	5.6
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	30	- 11	41	1.3	0.7	1.0
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	35	17	52	2.0	1.1	1.6
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	148	61	209	6.3	2.7	4.5
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	76	31	107	3.0	1.4	2.3
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer st	90	45	135	3.3	2.1	2.8
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	115	41	156	5.0	1.9	3.5
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	19	14	33	2.1	1.7	1.9
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	49	32	81	2.4	1.8	2.1
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	49	16	65	2.7	0.9	1.8
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	84	29	113	3.6	1.4	2.6
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	85	35	120	3.8	1.8	2.9
23	31UCHF	Sileby	119	49	168	5.0	2.2	3.6
24	31UCHG	Syston East	89	35	124	4.7	2.0	3.4
25	31UCHH	Syston West	97	54	151	4.7	2.8	3.8
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	18	8	26	1.9	0.9	1.4
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	177	76	253	5.9	2.8	4.4
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	20	4	24	2.2	0.5	1.4
		Charnwood Borough	2,327	893	3,220	4.0	1.8	3.0
		*Loughborough including Hathern	1032	367	1399	4.3	1.8	3.2

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data April 2009			Harbo	rough [District			
•	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male Female		Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	31UDGD	Billesdon	8	#	10	1.5	#	0.9
2	3 IUDGE	Bosworth	22	13	35	2.7	2.0	2.4
3	3 IUDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	23	10	33	3.0	1.4	2.2
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	21	7	28	2.3	0.8	1.6
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	14	5	19	2.3	0.9	1.6
6	3 IUDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	32	- 11	43	4.5	1.7	3.2
7	31UDGK	Dunton	14	6	20	1.9	0.9	1.4
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	65	25	90	4.1	1.7	2.9
9	31UDGM	Glen	32	19	51	2.5	1.6	2.1
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	54	24	78	2.9	1.3	2.1
Ш	31UDGP	Lubenham	16	6	22	1.4	0.9	1.2
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	28	7	35	3.5	1.0	2.4
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	24	9	33	3.7	1.5	2.6
14	3 IUDGS	Lutterworth Springs	34	7	41	4.8	1.2	3.1
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	34	18	52	4.3	2.5	3.4
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	101	44	145	4.6	2.2	3.5
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little	47	10		2.0		2.2
18	31UDGX	Bowden* Market Harborough - Logan*	46 49	18 25	64 74	3.0 3.8	1.3	2.2 3.0
19	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Welland*	96	25	123	5.7	2.1 1.7	3.8
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	16	8	24	2.0	1.7	1.6
21	31UDHA	Nevill	15	7	22	2.3	1.1	1.7
22	31UDHB	Peatling	16	5	21	2.3	0.8	1.7
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	58	30	88	3.2	1.9	2.6
24	31UDHD	Tilton	14	4	18	2.2	0.7	1.5
25	3 IUDHE	Ullesthorpe	20	9	29	2.7	1.5	2.2
		Harborough District	852	346	1,198	3.2	1.5	2.4
		* Market Harborough	292	114	406	4.4	1.9	3.2

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

Apr	il 2009							
			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	31	11	42	2.6	1.0	1.9
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	41	11	52	3.7	1.1	2.4
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	18 4	54	238	6.2	2.0	4.2
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	81	23	104	4.6	1.5	3.1
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	93	27	120	3.1	1.0	2.2
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	25	П	36	2.4	1.2	1.9
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton	219	71	290	7.3	2.5	5.0
8	31UEGC	Groby	66	20	86	3.0	1.0	2.0
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	143	38	181	6.2	2.1	4.4
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	129	77	206	4.6	2.8	3.7
11	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	146	58	204	4.8	2.1	3.5
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	150	49	199	7. I	2.5	4.9
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	56	16	72	3.3	1.0	2.2
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
	•	Peckleton	101	30	131	3.9	1.3	2.6
15	3 I UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	92	37	129	4.5	1.8	3.2
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	17	12	29	1.8	1.4	1.6
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	1,574	545	2,119	4.6	1.8	3.3
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	961	343	1304	9.4	3.7	6.7

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data April 2009			Leice	ster City				
•	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
I	00FNNF	Abbey	504	187	691	12.6	4.8	8.7
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	257	91	348	7.5	2.8	5.3
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	593	191	784	11.3	3.7	7.5
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	292	158	450	8.4	4.5	6.4
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	624	188	812	12.4	3.7	8.0
6	00FNNL	Castle	595	195	790	8.3	2.9	5.7
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	448	156	604	12.1	4.3	8.2
8	00FNNN	Coleman	404	155	559	9.6	3.9	6.8
9	00FNNP	Evington	199	68	267	6.9	2.4	4.7
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	364	127	491	11.4	3.9	7.6
11	00FNNR	Fosse	334	123	457	7.9	3.4	5.8
12	00FNNS	Freemen	407	152	559	12.2	4.3	8.1
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	329	128	457	7.4	3.1	5.4
14	00FNNU	Knighton	231	84	315	4.8	1.8	3.3
15	00FNNW	Latimer	227	155	382	5.7	4.1	4.9
16	00FNNX	New Parks	587	164	75 I	12.4	3.5	7.9
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	299	144	443	5.6	3.0	4.4
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	793	292	1085	11.4	4.5	8.1
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	546	219	765	8.6	3.9	6.4
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	239	98	337	8.6	3.5	6.1
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	322	111	433	8.7	3.4	6.2
22	00FNPD	Western Park	219	87	306	6.7	3.0	4.9
		Leicester	8,813	3,273	12,086	9.0	3.5	6.3

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data April 2009				Melton B	orough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
1	31UGFT	Asfordby	52	20	72	5.1	2.1	3.6
2	31UGFU	Bottesford			, =			
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	37	12	49	3.5	1.3	2.5
			11	13	24	1.9	2.5	2.2
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	13	7	20	2.3	1.3	1.8
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	18	6	24	3.4	1.3	2.5
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	39	14	53	2.9	1.2	2.1
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	59	15	74	4.9	1.5	3.3
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	80	31	111	4.7	1.9	3.4
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	86	25	111	7.3	2.2	4.8
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	60	23	83	4.0	1.8	3.0
11	31UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	84	31	115	5.0	2.0	3.5
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	59	18	77	5.4	1.8	3.6
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	14	10	24	2.1	1.7	1.9
14	31UGGH	Somerby	16	7	23	2.8	1.4	2.1
15	31UGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	10	#	12	2.0	#	1.2
16	31UGGK	Wymondham	18	9	27	3.5	2.1	2.8
		Melton Borough	656	243	899	4.2	1.7	3.0
		* Melton Mowbray	428	143	571	5.1	1.9	3.6

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data April 2009				West Lei	cestersh	ire Distri	ict	
			Male	Female	Total	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	3 IUHFZ	Appleby	25	9	34	3.6	1.6	2.7
2	3 IUHGA	Ashby Castle*	I	0	28	2.3	0.0	1.7
3	3 I U H G B	Ashby Holywell*	79	37	116	5.2	2.7	4.0
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	67	16	83	4.9	1.3	3.2
5	3 IUHGD	Bardon**	45	19	64	4.5	2.0	3.3
6	31UHGE	Breedon	19	6	25	2.5	0.9	1.7
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	110	43	153	4.8	2.2	3.6
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	121	43	16 4	7.3	2.9	5.2
9	3 I U H G H	Greenhill**	170	49	219	8.0	2.5	5.3
10	3 IUHGJ	$Hugglescote^{**}$	69	26	95	4.4	1.9	3.2
П	31UHGK	lbstock and Heather	105	39	144	4.5	2.0	3.4
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	46	16	62	3.1	1.2	2.2
13	3 IUHGM	Measham	92	22	114	5.5	1.5	3.6
14	3 IUHGN	Moira	52	32	84	3.5	2.4	3.0
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	32	12	44	3.8	1.5	2.7
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	28	0	37	3.6	0.0	2.5
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	90	34	124	5.4	2.2	3.8
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	65	17	82	4.6	1.4	3.1
19	31UHGT	Valley	70	30	100	4.9	2.4	3.7
20	3 IUHGU	Whitwick**	113	39	152	5.4	2.1	3.8
		NW Leicestershire District	1,418	506	1,924	4.8	1.9	3.5
		* Ashby de la Zouch	147	53	227	3.9	1.6	3.2
		** Coalville	673	227	900	5.8	2.2	4.1

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Oadby & Wigston Borough

Apri	I 2009							
			Male	Female	Total	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	31UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	48	15	63	4.3	1.4	2.9
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	33	20	53	1.4	0.9	1.2
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	68	24	92	6.6	2.3	4.4
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	65	36	101	5. l	2.8	4.0
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	43	15	58	3.0	1.0	2.0
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	190	65	255	8.0	2.9	5.5
7	31UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	73	31	104	3.9	1.8	2.9
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	124	39	163	6.3	2.1	4.3
9	31UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	80	24	104	3.7	1.2	2.5
10	31UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	73	28	101	3.8	1.6	2.8
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	797	297	1,094	4.5	1.8	3.2
		* Oadby	257	110	367	3.5	1.6	2.6
		** Wigston	350	122	472	4.4	1.7	3.1

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	imant Coun	t (JSA) Ward Data		Rutland				
•			Male	Female	Total	Male I	Female	Total
	Code	W ards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	7	6	13	1.9	1.8	1.9
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	20	- 11	31	1.7	1.3	1.5
3	00FPMU	Exton	13	8	21	1.4	2.0	1.6
4	00FPMVV	Greetham	14	7	21	1.5	1.9	1.6
5	00FPMX	Ketton	19	5	24	2.4	0.7	1.6
6	00FPMY	Langham	- 11	#	12	2.8	#	1.6
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	6	#	8	1.5	#	1.0
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	6	4	10	1.7	1.3	1.5
9	00FPNB	Normanton	18	12	30	1.5	1.5	1.5
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	18	17	35	1.8	1.9	1.8
П	00FPND	Oakham North West*	22	7	29	2.2	0.8	1.6
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	16	3	19	2.2	0.5	1.4
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	23	15	38	3.4	2.4	2.9
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton		8	32	2.6	1.0	1.8
15	00FPNH	, Uppingham		12	49	2.4	0.9	1.7
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	11	6	17	2.7	1.5	2.1
	, , ,	Rutland	265	124	389	2.1	1.2	1.7
		*Oakham	79	42	121	2.3	1.4	1.9

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics