Unemployment Bulletin April 2011



Introduction

The population figures used to calculate residence-based proportions (rates) have changed from working age (16-59f/64m) to aged 16-64 for both males and females. This change affects rates for July 2010 so rates are not currently comparable to previous dates.

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (www.nomisweb.co.uk) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: April 2011

Area	Claimant Count Pro- portion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Change since last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)	
	Total	Male	Female					
Leicester Shire	3.5%	4.6%	2.3%	21,770	269	-1,667	3.4%(0.1)	4.0%(-0.5)
Leicestershire County	2.3%	3.0%	1.6%	9,496	0	-1,138	2.3%(0.0)	2.7%(-0.4)
Leicester City	5.9%	8.1%	3.8%	12,274	269	-529	5.8%(0.1)	6.7%(-0.8)
Rutland	1.4%	1.6%	1.2%	327	6	-35	1.4%(0.0)	1.6%(-0.2)
East Midlands	3.6%	4.9%	2.3%	103,235	435	-5,496	3.6%(0.0)	4.0%(-0.4)
UK	3.8%	5.2%	2.4%	1,520,806	-6,140	-47,322	3.8%(0.0)	4.1%(-0.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the May 2011 unemployment figures on Wednesday 15th June 2011.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, www.lsr-online.org

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: April 2011.

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Total last month	Total last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
NW Leicestershire	2.6%	1,513	1,572	1,667	2.7%(-0.1)	3.0%(-0.4)
Hinckley & Bosworth	2.5%	1,683	1,646	1,890	2.4%(0.1)	2.9%(-0.4)
Charnwood	2.4%	2,667	2,669	2,785	2.4%(0.0)	2.6%(-0.2)
Oadby & Wigston	2.4%	883	882	1,075	2.3%(0.1)	3.1%(-0.7)
Melton	2.1%	650	643	756	2.1%(0.0)	2.5%(-0.4)
Blaby	2.1%	1,265	1,245	1,527	2.1%(0.0)	2.7%(-0.6)
Harborough	1.6%	835	839	934	1.6%(0.0)	1.9%(-0.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; April 2011.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion
Coalville	3.0%	773	3.0%(0.0)	3.6%(-0.6)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	3.0%	1,076	2.9%(0.1)	3.5%(-0.5)
Wigston	2.7%	355	2.8%(-0.1)	3.2%(-0.5)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.7%	1,236	2.7%(0.0)	2.9%(-0.2)
Melton Mowbray	2.6%	438	2.6%(0.0)	3.1%(-0.5)
Oadby	2.1%	324	2.0%(1.0)	2.6%(-0.5)
Oakham	2.0%	135	1.8%(0.2)	2.1%(-0.1)
Market Harborough	1.9%	258	1.9%(0.0)	2.1%(-0.2)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.6%	153	1.7%(-0.1)	2.7%(-1.1)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; April 2011.

		18-24 years old Over 25 years old						
	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18
Area	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months
Blaby	330	45	5	10	600	135	60	75
Charnwood	585	115	5	10	1,370	330	105	140
Harborough	180	25	0	5	410	105	40	60
Hinckley & Bosworth	415	95	5	15	785	165	85	105
Melton	160	15	0	5	300	80	35	50
NW Leicestershire	390	75	5	10	705	140	60	110
Oadby & Wigston	225	35	0	0	405	95	40	75
Leicester City	2,560	530	30	135	5,450	1,540	650	1,310
Leicestershire County	2,285	405	25	60	4,565	1,055	430	615
Rutland	85	10	0	0	170	40	10	15
Leicester Shire	4,845	935	55	195	10,015	2,595	1,080	1,925

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP_Ethnicity_JSA.pdf

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; March 2011.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	1,040	20	25	95	15	150	55
Charnwood	2,175	40	30	240	40	345	135
Harborough	745	10	5	25	0	40	55
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,430	15	10	20	5	50	165
Melton	610	5	5	5	0	15	10
NW Leicestershire	1,500	5	5	5	0	15	45
Oadby & Wigston	630	25	20	165	5	210	40
Leicester City	6,230	375	1,230	3,050	390	5,050	700
Leicestershire County	8,135	120	105	550	70	840	495
Leicester Shire	14,365	495	1,335	3,600	460	5,885	1,195
						·	
East Midlands	83,880	1,975	4,230	5,770	1,340	13,315	5,330
Great Britain	1,143,835	26,930	94,220	78,055	28,625	227,830	90,660

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; March 2011.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.2%	1.8%	3.1%	2.4%	2.5%	2.3%
Charnwood	1.5%	2.1%	2.1%	2.2%	1.4%	2.0%
Harborough	1.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	1.1%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.4%	1.7%	2.5%	0.8%	1.0%	1.2%
Melton	1.3%	1.7%	2.5%	0.8%	0.0%	1.2%
NW Leicestershire	1.7%	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.6%
Oadby & Wigston	1.4%	3.1%	2.5%	2.2%	0.8%	2.1%
Leicester City	3.5%	5.3%	9.9%	3.6%	10.3%	4.7%
Leicestershire County	1.4%	1.9%	2.4%	1.9%	1.3%	1.9%
Leicester Shire	1.9%	3.7%	8.0%	3.2%	5.1%	3.9%
East Midlands	2.1%	3.5%	7.3%	2.9%	3.5%	3.8%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group and old working age population, published October 2007.

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; March 2011.

					Ma	le			Fem	nale	
	Total	Previous month	Previous year	Aged <18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged < 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire											
'White'	14,365	14,440	16,330	25	2,840	5,245	1,575	15	1,425	2,510	730
'Non-white'	5,890	5,840	6,175	0	900	2,445	565	0	580	1,055	345
Leicester City											
'White'	6,235	6,155	6,895	10	1,215	2,455	620	10	580	1,075	270
'Non-white'	5,045	4,985	5,245	0	755	2,135	495	0	490	880	290
Leicestershire County											
'White'	8,140	8,290	9,440	15	1,625	2,790	955	10	845	1,435	465
'Non-white'	835	850	925	0	145	305	70	0	90	170	55

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; October 2009 - September 2010

	October 2009 - September 2010		October 2008 - September 2009	
Area	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unem- ployed	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed
United Kingdom	7.8%	2,367,700	7.4%	2,267,600
East Midlands	7.6%	168,400	7.3%	163,200
Leicester Shire	8.3%	39,100	7.7%	36,600
Leicester City	11.5%	16,000	12.0%	16,900
Leicestershire County	7.0%	23,000	5.9%	19,700

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; November 2010

		All be			Du	ration (pro	portion of al	l claimants)
Area	Number	Rate	Previous year number	Previous year rate	<6mths	6mths - Iyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	5,180	8.7	5,340	9.0	23%	8%	12%	17%	40%
Charnwood	10,620	9.5	10,670	9.6	25%	8%	11%	18%	38%
Harborough	3,740	7.2	3,720	7.1	24%	9%	11%	17%	40%
Hinckley & Bosworth	6,820	10.1	6,940	10.3	23%	9%	11%	19%	37%
Melton	2,660	8.6	2,720	8.8	24%	9%	12%	17%	39%
NW Leicestershire	6,780	11.7	6,860	11.8	22%	8%	12%	18%	41%
Oadby and Wigston	3,450	9.2	3,600	9.6	23%	8%	11%	18%	39%
Leicester City	39,510	19.1	40,720	19.7	24%	8%	12%	18%	37%
Leicestershire County	39,240	9.4	39,860	9.6	24%	8%	11%	18%	39%
Rutland	1,490	6.4	1,490	6.4	23%	9%	10%	17%	40%
East Midlands	397,010	13.8	401,970	13.9	23%	8%	11%	17%	40%
Great Britain	5,669,370	14.5	5,728,260	14.7	23%	9%	11%	16%	40%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis) - August 2010

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; November 2010

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Employment and Support Allowance & Incapacity Benefits	178,880	6.2%	16,460	8.0%	16,980	4.1%
Job Seeker	90,950	3.2%	10,680	5.2%	8,510	2.0%
Lone Parent	42,560	1.5%	5,010	2.4%	3,820	0.9%
Carer	34,290	1.2%	3,290	1.6%	3,800	0.9%
Disabled	31,150	1.1%	2,210	1.1%	3,740	0.9%
Others on income related benefit	13,210	0.5%	1,450	0.7%	1,510	0.4%
Bereaved	5,970	0.2%	410	0.2%	900	0.2%
Total	397,010	13.8%	39,510	19.1%	39,240	9.4%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) ESA & Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates. This

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Blaby District

A pri	1 201 1							
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based		month	year	%	%	%
		` proportions)						
1	31UBFY	Blaby South	80	80	81	3.9	1.6	2.7
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	43	38	57	1.9	0.9	1.4
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	55	59	84	1.5	1.0	1.2
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	27	24	29	1.6	2.3	1.9
5	31UBGC	Ellis	67	71	64	2.7	1.4	2.0
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	55	68	101	2.8	1.2	2.0
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	64	65	94	3.6	1.1	2.3
8	31UBGF	Forest	52	59	105	1.6	0.8	1.2
9	31UBGG	Millfield	48	50	56	4.6	2.6	3.6
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	51	44	51	2.2	1.8	2.0
11	3 I UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	62	62	83	2.4	1.1	1.8
12	31UBGK	Normanton	26	31	32	1.7	1.4	1.5
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	79	57	93	3.0	1.7	2.4
14	31UBGM	Pastures	44	40	56	1.4	1.3	1.4
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	167	163	183	5.0	2.1	3.6
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	116	117	131	2.5	1.9	2.3
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	97	92	115	2.8	1.3	2.1
18	31UBGR	Winstanley	132	125	112	3.8	1.9	2.8
		Blaby District	1,265	1,245	1,527	2.8	1.5	2.1

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	mant Count (J I 2011	SA) Ward Data 	Charr	wood Bo	rough			
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
	2111666							
ı	31UCGG	Anstey	100	102	115	3.6	1.5	2.5
2	3 I UCCI	Barrow and Sileby West	76	74	75 70	2.4	1.5	1.9
3	31UCGJ 31UCGK	Birstall Wanlip Birstall Watermead	63	66	78	2.4	1.4	1.9
=	31UCGK		92	99	107	2.8	1.6	2.2
5		East Goscote	27	26	25	1.9	0.8	1.4
6 7	31UCGM 31UCGN	Forest Bradgate	22	25	22	1.4	0.6	1.0
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Ashby*	110	115	119	2.1	1.4	1.8
0	STOCGE	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	101	95	101	3.1	1.7	2.4
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	83	87	86	2.6	1.7	2.0
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	277	271	281	9.0	3.8	6.5
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	211	207	193	6.3	2.6	4.6
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	23	25	31	0.7	0.3	0.6
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	36	46	35	1.4	0.7	1.0
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	157	159	187	4.3	2.1	3.2
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	117	114	97	2.7	1.8	2.3
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer*	121	117	126	2.6	1.9	2.3
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	124	133	131	3.2	2.1	2.6
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	27	23	37	1.8	1.1	1.4
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	81	69	77	2.8	1.2	2.0
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	54	50	58	2.0	0.8	1.4
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	95	104	94	2.8	1.2	2.0
22	3 I U C H E	Shepshed West	83	81	99	2.5	1.2	1.9
23	31UCHF	Sileby	124	129	155	3.2	1.8	2.5
24	31UCHG	Syston East	88	89	97	2.5	2.0	2.3
25	31UCHH	Syston West	129	121	109	3.7	2.5	3.1
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	19	15	29	1.3	0.7	1.0
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	211	211	192	4.8	2.3	3.5
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	16	16	29	0.9	0.8	0.8
		Charnwood Borough	2,667	2,669	2,785	3.1	1.6	2.4
		*Loughborough including Hathern	1236	1236	1256	3.4	1.8	2.7

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	mant Count (Harb	orough l	District				
Apri	Code	Wards (resident-based	Total	Last month	Last year	Male %	Female	Total %
		proportions)						
I	31UDGD	Billesdon	19	15	13	2.6	0.8	1.7
2	31UDGE	Bosworth	22	25	26	1.6	1.2	1.4
3	3 IUDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	20	17	22	1.7	0.9	1.3
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	25	20	30	1.7	1.0	1.4
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	18	16	21	2.5	0.5	1.5
6	31UDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	24	21	30	2.4	1.0	1.7
7	3 IUDGK	Dunton	16	13	21	1.6	0.5	1.0
8	3 IUDGL	Fleckney	51	46	66	1.8	1.4	1.6
9	31UDGM	Glen	30	34	38	1.3	1.0	1.1
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	68	73	66	2.5	1.1	1.8
11	3 IUDGP	Lubenham	24	26	19	1.2	1.2	1.2
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	14	13	19	0.7	1.0	0.9
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	24	30	24	2.4	1.2	1.8
14	3 IUDGS	Lutterworth Springs	29	33	39	3.0	1.2	2.1
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	28	31	40	2.6	0.9	1.7
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great	0.1	91	100	2.1		1.8
17	31UDGW	Bowden* Market Harborough - Little	81	71	102	2.1	1.5	1.8
- 17	JIODGVV	Bowden*	41	41	46	1.6	1.1	1.3
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	41	39	31	2.5	0.7	1.6
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	95	86	90	4.0	1.4	2.7
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	18	16	21	1.5	0.7	1.1
21	31UDHA	Nevill	8	12	21	1.1	#	0.6
22	31UDHB	Peatling	19	23	18	1.5	1.1	1.3
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	90	90	96	3.1	1.7	2.4
24	31UDHD	, Tilton	9	13	13	0.6	0.8	0.7
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	21	15	22	2.1	0.7	1.5
		Harborough District	835	839	934	2.1	1.1	1.6

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

* Market Harborough

Source: Office for National Statistics

If you require further information please contact Joelle Bradly, Policy, Performance & Research, Chief Executive's Department, Leicestershire County Council on 0116 3055883.

258

257

1.9

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

Apr	il 2011							
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
				month	year			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	27	31	32	1.5	0.8	1.2
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	35	33	34	2.0	1.1	1.6
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	186	195	248	4.0	2.3	3.1
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill*	92	99	123	3.3	2.0	2.6
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton*	73	77	91	1.6	0.9	1.2
6	3 I UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	19	22	24	1.5	0.4	0.9
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton*	274	274	282	5.8	3.3	4.5
8	31UEGC	Groby	76	62	85	2.4	1.1	1.7
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	151	158	166	4.5	2.3	3.4
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	166	152	165	3.8	1.8	2.8
11	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	155	133	182	2.9	2.1	2.5
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	165	164	180	5.6	2.1	3.8
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	55	53	62	2.3	0.9	1.6
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	92	79	93	2.4	1.0	1.7
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	93	92	99	2.3	2.0	2.2
16	31UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	24	22	24	1.5	1.0	1.3
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	1,683	1,646	1,890	3.3	1.7	2.5
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1076	1057	1189	3.8	2.1	3.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data April 2011			Leices	ster City				
•			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	005) 15							
ı	00FNNF	Abbey	656	644	685	10.7	4.9	7.8
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	292	301	344	6.1	2.3	4.2
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	799	784	859	10.5	4.3	7.3
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	471	458	448	7.6	5.3	6.4
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	794	774	818	10.2	4.9	7.4
6	00FNNL	Castle	822	791	863	8.3	2.7	5.5
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	647	638	645	11.7	5.4	8.5
8	00FNNN	Coleman	578	554	580	8.9	4.7	6.8
9	00FNNP	Evington	252	250	284	5.5	2.8	4.1
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	483	483	525	9.8	4.8	7.2
11	00FNNR	Fosse	467	431	458	7.3	3.9	5.7
12	00FNNS	Freemen	523	516	591	10.6	4.2	7.3
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	478	479	527	6.6	3.7	5.2
14	00FNNU	Knighton	321	307	296	4.9	1.8	3.3
15	00FNNW	Latimer	422	420	420	6.1	4.3	5.2
16	00FNNX	New Parks	801	781	864	11.1	5.3	8.1
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	428	430	507	4.8	3.4	4.1
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	1130	1110	1165	11.5	5.0	8.2
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	798	774	778	9.0	3.8	6.5
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	315	314	336	7.6	3.4	5.4
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	486	465	463	9.6	3.7	6.8
22	00FNPD	Western Park	311	301	347	6.3	3.3	4.8
		Leicester	12,274	12,005	12,803	8.1	3.8	5.9

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Melton Borough

Apr	il 2011							
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based		month	year	%	%	%
	Couc	proportions)				70	70	70
I	31UGFT	Asfordby	42	38	59	3.0	1.0	2.0
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	33	35	37	2.1	0.9	1.5
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	12	Ш	16	1.9	#	1.0
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	15	18	16	1.4	1.2	1.3
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	15	12	14	1.9	1.0	1.4
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	43	32	33	1.9	1.3	1.6
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	82	76	77	4.3	2.7	3.5
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	64	65	84	2.2	1.5	1.8
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	89	98	106	5.3	2.3	3.7
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	66	66	74	3.1	1.4	2.2
П	31UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	71	73	82	2.8	1.4	2.0
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	66	61	77	3.7	2.2	3.0
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	18	15	27	1.7	1.1	1.4
14	31UGGH	Somerby	10	10	16	1.2	0.5	0.9
15	31UGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	10	15	11	0.8	1.2	1.0
16	31UGGK	Wymondham	14	18	27	2.4	#	1.3
		Melton Borough	650	643	756	2.8	1.4	2.1
		* Melton Mowbray	438	439	500	3.4	1.8	2.6
	•				L			

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	imant Cour ∙il 2011	nt (JSA) Ward Data	North \	West Leic	estersh	ire Distri	ict	
•	-		Total	Last month	Last year	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based			•	%	%	%
		proportions)						
	31UHFZ	Apploby	19	20	23	1.6	1.2	1.4
2	31UHGA	Appleby Ashby Castle*	14	19	22	0.9	0.0	0.8
3	3 IUHGB	Ashby Holywell*	77	81	97	3.0	2.0	2.5
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	62	64	70	3.2	1.3	2.2
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	39	42	41	2.0	1.6	1.8
6	31UHGE	Breedon	19	22	22	1.7	0.8	1.2
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	94	100	132	2.9	1.2	2.1
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	136	151	145	5.3	2.9	4.2
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	248	230	224	7.5	4.0	5.7
10	3 IUHGJ	Hugglescote**	60	69	72	2.3	1.6	1.9
11	31UHGK	Ibstock and Heather	109	112	129	2.9	1.9	2.4
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	60	64	65	2.4	1.7	2.0
13	3 I U H G M	Measham	85	89	96	4.0	1.1	2.5
14	3 IUHGN	Moira	70	84	68	3.3	1.4	2.3
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	42	45	50	3.0	1.8	2.4
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	25	30	28	1.8	0.0	1.6
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	112	107	106	4.1	2.5	3.3
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	76	75	74	3.2	2.2	2.7
19	31UHGT	Valley	64	64	83	3.3	1.2	2.3
20	3 I UHGU	Whitwick**	102	104	120	3.1	1.7	2.4
		NW Leicestershire District	1,513	1,572	1,667	3.4	1.8	2.6
		* Ashby de la Zouch	153	164	189	2.0	1.1	1.6
		** Coalville	773	778	782	3.7	2.2	3.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Oadby & Wigston Borough

Apri	1 201 1							
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
	Code	W ards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
I	31UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	53	53	60	2.8	1.8	2.3
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*		48	54	1.6	0.8	1.2
3	31UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	86	86	107	4.6	3.1	3.8
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	69	66	73	3.2	2.0	2.6
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	58	58	69	2.5	1.3	1.9
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	204	198	228	5.8	2.7	4.2
7	31UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	88	96	106	3.6	1.0	2.3
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	110	119	161	3.3	2.2	2.8
9	31UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	90	86	118	2.7	1.5	2.1
10	31UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	67	72	99	2.2	1.3	1.7
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	883	882	1,075	3.0	1.7	2.4
		* Oadby		311	363	2.5	1.6	2.1
		** Wigston	355	373	484	3.5	1.8	2.7

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data April 2011				Rutland				
Aþi			Total	Last month	Last year		Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	7	8	14	1.1	0.8	0.9
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	18	12	19	0.7	1.2	0.9
3	00FPMU	Exton	9	9	17	0.8	#	0.6
4	00FPMW	Greetham	13	12	Ш	0.7	1.4	0.9
5	00FPMX	Ketton	21	24	16	1.5	1,1	1.3
6	00FPMY	Langham	П	9	18	1.5	1.2	1.3
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	7	7	9	1.5	#	0.8
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	11	9	10	2.0	1.1	1.5
9	00FPNB	Normanton	19	20	23	1.1	0.8	1.0
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	36	34	39	2.4	1.2	1.8
П	00FPND	Oakham North West*	42	39	50	2.5	1.7	2.1
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	24	22	17	2.2	1.2	1.7
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	33	28	30	2.8	2.0	2.4
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	28	31	30	2.1	0.9	1.5
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	35	43	41	1.3	1.0	1.2
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	13	14	18	1.7	1.3	1.5
		Rutland	327	321	362	1.6	1.2	1.4
		*Oakham	135	123	136	2.5	1.5	2.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics