# Unemployment Bulletin August 2008





# Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<a href="www.nomisweb.co.uk">www.nomisweb.co.uk</a>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: August 2008

Area	Claimant	Elaimant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	2.4%	3.3%	1.5%	14,181	873	2.3%(0.1)	2.4%(0.0)
Leicestershire County	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%	5,687	586	1.3%(0.1)	1.4%(0.0)
Leicester City	4.5%	6.3%	2.7%	8,494	287	4.4%(0.1)	4.5%(0.0)
Rutland	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%	179	28	0.7%(0.1)	0.7%(0.1)
East Midlands	2.3%	3.2%	1.4%	63,110	4,075	2.2%(0.1)	2.2%(0.1)
UK	2.5%	3.4%	1.4%	923,876	52,588	2.3%(0.2)	2.3%(0.2)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the September 2008 unemployment figures on Wednesday 15th October 2008. Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council, the LSEP and partners are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <a href="https://www.lsr-online.org">www.lsr-online.org</a>

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: August 2008

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	1.8%	600	15	1.7%(0.1)	1.9%(-0.1)
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.6%	1,014	129	1.4%(0.2)	1.5%(0.1)
Charnwood	1.5%	1,598	150	1.4%(0.1)	1.5%(0.0)
NW Leicestershire	1.5%	812	78	1.2%(0.3)	1.5%(0.0)
Blaby	1.3%	759	70	1.2%(0.1)	1.2%(0.1)
Melton	1.3%	386	58	1.1%(0.2)	1.2%(0.1)
Harborough	1.1%	518	86	0.9%(0.2)	0.9%(0.2)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; August 2008.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.0%	765	1.8%(0.2)	1.9%(0.1)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1.9%	621	1.7%(0.2)	1.8%(0.1)
Coalville	1.8%	380	1.7%(0.1)	1.9%(-0.1)
Wigston	1.7%	267	1.7%(0.0)	1.6%(0.1)
Melton Mowbray	1.6%	248	1.3%(0.3)	1.5%(0.1)
Oadby	1.4%	197	1.5%(-0.1)	1.7%(-0.3)
Market Harborough	1.4%	170	1.2%(0.2)	1.3%(0.1)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.3%	95	1.3%(0.0)	1.4%(-0.1)
Oakham	0.7%	44	0.7%(0.0)	0.7%(0.0)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; August 2008.

		18-24 ye	ears old					
	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18
Area	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months
Blaby	220	25	0	0	395	70	30	15
Charnwood	480	35	5	0	775	170	70	45
Harborough	150	15	0	0	280	45	20	10
Hinckley & Bosworth	275	30	0	0	550	95	40	20
Melton	115	5	0	0	225	25	5	10
NW Leicestershire	225	30	0	5	425	80	25	20
Oadby & Wigston	160	15	0	0	315	75	20	20
Leicester City	2,160	305	15	5	3,865	1,225	545	320
Leicestershire County	1,620	150	10	5	2,965	555	210	135
Rutland	45	5	0	0	100	20	5	5
Leicester Shire	3,780	455	20	10	6,830	1,780	750	455

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

#### Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; <a href="https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP">www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP</a> Ethnicity JSA.pdf

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; July 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	565	5	10	40	10	70	55
Charnwood	1,135	15	10	150	35	205	105
Harborough	385	5	0	20	0	30	20
Hinckley & Bosworth	765	5	5	10	5	25	95
Melton	310	0	0	0	0	0	15
NW Leicestershire	680	0	0	0	0	5	45
Oadby & Wigston	390	10	15	130	0	160	40
Leicester City	3,935	200	765	2,355	270	3,590	345
Leicestershire County	4,235	40	45	355	55	495	90
Leicester Shire	8,170	245	810	2,710	325	4,085	1,050
East Midlands	46,500	1,035	2,430	3,980	845	8,295	3,970
Great Britain	460,965	9,775	39,650	34,565	13,285	97,275	51,210

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; July 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	0.7%	0.5%	1.3%	1.0%	1.7%	1.1%
Charnwood	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	1.4%	1.2%	1.2%
Harborough	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.8%
Hinckley & Bosworth	0.8%	0.6%	1.3%	0.4%	1.0%	0.6%
Melton	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NW Leicestershire	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Oadby & Wigston	0.8%	1.3%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.6%
Leicester City	2.2%	2.8%	6.2%	2.8%	7.1%	3.4%
Leicestershire County	0.7%	0.6%	1.0%	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%
Leicester Shire	1.1%	1.8%	4.9%	2.4%	3.6%	2.7%
East Midlands	1.2%	1.9%	4.2%	2.0%	2.2%	2.4%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; July 2008.

		Male				Female			
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire									
'White'	7,695	60	1,690	3,120	1,045	40	790	995	430
'Non-white'	3,815	5	655	1,660	440	0	395	630	300
Leicester City									
'White'	3,805	35	850	1,590	465	25	375	420	180
'Non-white'	3,375	5	565	1,475	385	0	340	550	275
Leicestershire County									
'White'	3,890	20	840	1,535	585	15	415	575	250
'Non-white'	440	0	90	185	55	0	55	80	30

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; January 2007 - December 2007

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (JAugust 2008)
United Kingdom	5.3%	1,565,200	923,876
East Midlands	5.1%	111,100	63,110
Leicester Shire	5.0%	23,600	14,181
Leicester City	10.0%	14,000	8,494
Leicestershire County	2.9%	9,600	5,687

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; February 2008

	All ben	efits	Duration (proportion of all claimants)						
Area	Number	Number Rate		6mths - lyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs		
Blaby	4,360	7.7%	18%	9%	10%	18%	45%		
Charnwood	9,100	8.6%	21%	9%	11%	18%	42%		
Harborough	3,240	6.6%	19%	8%	10%	18%	46%		
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,880	9.1%	21%	9%	10%	18%	42%		
Melton	2,180	7.3%	19%	7%	10%	18%	46%		
NW Leicestershire	6,050	11.0%	19%	7%	11%	18%	46%		
Oadby and Wigston	3,030	8.9%	21%	9%	10%	18%	42%		
Leicester City	35,770	19.0%	22%	9%	11%	18%	40%		
Leicestershire County	33,820	8.6%	20%	8%	10%	18%	44%		
Rutland	1,270	5.5%	20%	9%	10%	17%	44%		
East Midlands	355,380	13.1%	19%	8%	10%	18%	45%		
Great Britain	5,156,000	14.1%	19%	8%	10%	18%	46%		

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; February 2008

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number Rate		Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Incapacity Benefits	178,550	6.6%	15,820	8.4%	16,570	4.2%
Job Seeker	55,250	2.0%	7,390	3.9%	4,750	1.2%
Lone Parent	46,280	1.7%	6,100	3.2%	3,700	0.9%
Carer	29,940	1.1%	2,770	1.5%	3,280	0.8%
Disabled	27,430	1.0%	1,950	1.0%	3,330	0.8%
Others on income related benefit	10,520	0.4%	1,250	0.7%	1,030	0.3%
Bereaved	7,410	0.3%	480	0.3%	1,150	0.3%
Total	355,380	13.1%	35,770	19.0%	33,820	8.6%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp

### **Definitions**

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

**Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions** - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

**Unemployment** (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

**Unemployment Rate** (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

**Economically Active** - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

**Economically Inactive** - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year population estimates which are compatible with the 2001 Census.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: <a href="https://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf">www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf</a>

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: <a href="https://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp">www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp</a>

	nant Count ist 2008	(JSA) Ward Data		Blaby I	District			
7.46	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
ı	3 I UBFY	Blaby South	31	П	42	2.1	0.8	1.5
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	18	12	30	1.1	0.8	1.0
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	29	16	45	1.3	0.8	1.1
4	3 I UBGB	Croft Hill	6	9	15	0.9	1.4	1.1
5	31UBGC	Ellis	31	7	38	1.9	0.5	1.2
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	33	П	44	2.7	0.9	1.8
7	3 I UBGE	Fairestone	22	П	33	1.5	0.9	1.2
8	31UBGF	Forest	28	14	42	1.3	0.7	1.0
9	31UBGG	Millfield	15	15	30	2.3	2.6	2.4
10	3 I UBGH	Muxloe	15	12	27	1.2	1.1	1.2
11	3 I UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	27	- 11	38	1.6	0.7	1.1
12	31UBGK	Normanton	9	#	10	1.0	#	0.6
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	24	12	36	1.5	0.8	1.2
14	31UBGM	Pastures	24	10	34	1.4	0.6	1.0
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	64	25	89	2.8	1.2	2.0
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	53	15	68	1.9	0.8	1.4
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	39	25	64	1.7	1.2	1.4
18	31UBGR	Winstanley	47	27	74	2.4	1.5	2.0
		Blaby District	515	244	689	1.7	0.8	1.2

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	ant Count (JS st 2008	SA) Ward Data I	Char	nwood Bo	rough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	31UCGG	Anstov	42	17	Γ0	2.2	0.0	. 7
2	31UCGH	Anstey Barrow and Sileby West	43	16	59	2.3	0.9	1.7
3	31UCG	Birstall Wanlip	28	12	40	1.5	0.7	1.1
4	31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	28 34	16 26	44 60	1.8 1.7	1.1	1.5
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	12	4	16	1.7	0.4	0.8
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate		6	17	1.2	0.4	1.0
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	40	19	59	1.2	0.7	1.0
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	48	30	78	2.4	1.6	2.0
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	41	19	60	2.0	1.0	1.5
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	129	33	162	7.0	2.0	4.6
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	107	39	146	5.6	2.3	4.1
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	13	6	19	0.6	0.4	0.5
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	15	8	23	0.9	0.5	0.7
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	80	29	109	4.2	1.5	2.9
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	34	10	44	1.8	0.6	1.2
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer*	36	29	65	1.6	1.6	1.6
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	51	16	67	2.4	0.8	1.6
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	12	8	20	1.4	1.0	1.2
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	31	15	46	1.6	0.8	1.2
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	19	7	26	1.1	0.4	0.8
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	36	16	52	1.6	0.8	1.2
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	38	19	57	1.8	1.0	1.4
23	31UCHF	Sileby	49	32	81	2.4	1.7	2.0
24	31UCHG	Syston East	33	13	46	1.8	8.0	1.3
25	31UCHH	Syston West	46	19	65	2.5	1.0	1.8
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	8	6	14	0.9	0.7	0.8
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	72	39	111	2.5	1.5	2.0
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages Charnwood Borough	9 1,103	3 495	12 1,448	1.0 2.0	0.4 0.9	0.7 1.4
		*Loughborough including Hathern	543	222	765	2.6	1.2	2.0

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Carry (ICA) Mand Data

	nant Count (J ist 2008	Harbo	orough [	District				
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male F	emale	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	311 IDCD	Dillordon	-	•				1.7
1	31UDGD 31UDGE	Billesdon	7	8	15	1.4	1.8	1.6
2		Bosworth	8	3	11	1.1	0.5	0.8
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	6	#	8	0.8	#	0.5
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	10	3	13	1.3	0.4	0.9
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	8	6	14	1.3	1.0	1.2
6	3 I UDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	- 11	4	15	1.6	0.6	1.1
7	31UDGK	Dunton	5	3	8	0.7	0.5	0.6
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	22	5	27	1.4	0.4	0.9
9	31UDGM	Glen	17	12	29	1.4	1.1	1.2
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	23	11	34	1.3	0.6	0.9
11	31UDGP	Lubenham	9	#	11	0.9	#	0.7
12	3 IUDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	9	3	12	1.2	0.4	0.9
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	15	3	18	2.3	0.5	1.4
14	31UDGS	Lutterworth Springs	17	4	21	2.7	0.7	1.7
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	10	5	15	1.6	0.9	1.3
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	40	22	62	1.9	1.2	1.6
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little						
		Bowden*	20	6	26	1.5	0.5	1.0
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	14	12	26	1.1	1.0	1.0
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	38	18	56	2.3	1.2	1.7
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	6	5	Ш	0.7	0.7	0.7
21	31UDHA	Nevill	9	3	12	1.5	0.5	1.0
22	31UDHB	Peatling	6	3	9	0.9	0.5	0.7
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	33	19	52	1.7	1.0	1.4
24	31UDHD	Tilton	4	0	4	0.6	0.0	0.3
25	3 I U D H E	Ullesthorpe	7	#	9	1.0	#	0.7
		Harborough District	354	164	432	1.4	0.6	0.9
		* Market Harborough	112	58	170	1.8	1.0	1.4

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

#### Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

## **Hinckley & Bosworth Borough**

	ust 2008	lt (JSA) Ward Data						
28			Male	Female	Total		Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	13	П	24	1.1	1.1	1.1
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	13	8	21	1.2	0.8	1.0
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	110	29	139	3.8	1.1	2.5
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	43	17	60	2.4	1.1	1.8
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	35	20	55	1.2	0.8	1.0
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	8	6	14	0.8	0.7	0.7
7	3 I UEGB	Earl Shilton	110	44	154	3.8	1.6	2.8
8	31UEGC	Groby	35	12	47	1.6	0.6	1.1
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	77	28	105	3.8	1.6	2.8
10	31UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	54	19	73	2.3	0.8	1.5
11	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	60	26	86	2.0	0.9	1.5
12	3 I UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	66	22	88	3.0	1.1	2.1
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	28	13	41	1.6	0.8	1.2
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
	•	Peckleton	36	12	48	1.4	0.5	1.0
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	30	15	45	1.7	0.9	1.3
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	П	3	14	1.1	0.3	0.7
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	729	259	885	2.2	0.8	1.4
// TI		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	445	176	621	2.6	1.1	1.9

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data August 2008				ster City				
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	00FNNF	Abbey	366	142	508	9.5	3.9	6.8
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	169	76	245	5.0	2.4	3.7
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	433	158	591	9.6	3.4	6.5
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	202	136	338	6.2	4.2	5.2
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	351	124	475	7.4	2.5	4.9
6	00FNNL	Castle	455	135	590	7.5	2.6	5.3
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	331	134	465	10.2	4.2	7.2
8	00FNNN	Coleman	279	129	408	7.2	3.5	5.4
9	00FNNP	Evington	138	55	193	5.0	2.0	3.5
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	219	86	305	7.3	2.8	5.0
11	00FNNR	Fosse	186	66	252	4.9	1.9	3.5
12	00FNNS	Freemen	244	80	324	7.7	2.3	4.9
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	202	80	282	5.5	2.3	3.9
14	00FNNU	Knighton	144	65	209	2.8	1.3	2.1
15	00FNNW	Latimer	181	115	296	4.9	3.2	4.0
16	00FNNX	New Parks	404	147	551	9.1	3.2	6.1
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	212	139	351	4.2	3.0	3.6
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	664	236	900	9.4	3.7	6.7
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	422	160	582	6.9	2.9	5.0
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	142	67	209	5.3	2.5	3.9
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	184	71	255	5.3	2.1	3.7
22	00FNPD	Western Park	114	51	165	3.5	1.7	2.6
		Leicester	6,042	2,392	8,207	6.3	2.6	4.4

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data August 2008				Melton B	orough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
T	31UGFT	Asfordby	20	19	39	2.0	2.0	2.0
2	31UGFU	, Bottesford	14	5	19	1.3	0.5	0.9
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	5	4	9	1.0	0.8	0.9
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	#	#	4	#	#	0.3
5	3 IUGFY	Gaddesby	5	#	6	0.9	#	0.6
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	9	8	17	0.7	0.7	0.7
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	23	9	32	1.8	0.9	1.4
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	25	12	37	1.5	8.0	1.2
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	52	17	69	4.4	1.6	3.1
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	18	12	30	1.2	8.0	1.0
11	31UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	29	П	40	1.8	0.7	1.3
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	29	П	40	2.9	1.1	2.0
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	8	5	13	1.3	0.9	1.1
14	31UGGH	Somerby	5	4	9	0.9	0.8	8.0
15	3 IUGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	#	#	3	#	#	0.3
16	31UGGK	Wymondham	П	8	19	2.1	1.7	1.9
		Melton Borough	257	109	328	1.6	0.8	1.1
		* Melton Mowbray	176	72	248	2.1	1.0	1.6

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	imant Cou gust 2008	nt (JSA) Ward Data	North West Leicestershire District					
			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	3 I UHFZ	Appleby	7	3	10	1.1	0.5	0.8
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*		0	- 11	0.8	0.0	0.7
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*		14	48	2.2	1.0	1.6
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*		12	36	1.8	0.9	1.4
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	15	7	22	1.9	0.9	1.5
6	31UHGE	Breedon	6	4	10	0.8	0.6	0.7
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	36	22	58	1.6	1.1	1.4
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	56	21	77	3.9	1.7	2.9
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	76	28	104	3.7	1.5	2.6
10	3 I UHGJ	Hugglescote**	29	9	38	2.1	0.7	1.4
11	31UHGK	lbstock and Heather	42	13	55	1.9	0.7	1.3
12	3 I UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	20	14	34	1.4	1.1	1.3
13	31UHGM	Measham	42	П	53	2.7	0.7	1.7
14	31UHGN	Moira	21	10	31	1.4	0.7	1.1
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	9	9	18	1.2	1.3	1.2
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	14	0	18	1.9	0.0	1.3
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	29	16	45	1.8	1.1	1.4
18	3 I UHGS	$Thringstone^{**}$	26	10	36	1.8	0.8	1.3
19	31UHGT	Valley	31	19	50	2.3	1.6	1.9
20	3 I UHGU	Whitwick**	38	20	58	1.8	1.1	1.5
		NW Leicestershire District	562	217	734	1.9	0.8	1.3
		* Ashby de la Zouch	59	26	95	1.6	0.8	1.3
		** Coalville	269	Ш	380	2.5	1.1	1.8

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

# Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

## Oadby & Wigston Borough

Aug	ust 2008							
			Male	Female	Total	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	25	15	40	2.1	1.4	1.8
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	27	10	37	1.3	0.5	0.9
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	42	П	53	4.0	1.1	2.6
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	28	П	39	2.2	0.9	1.5
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	20	8	28	1.5	0.6	1.1
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	93	43	136	4.0	1.9	3.0
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	37	13	50	1.8	0.7	1.3
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	63	24	87	3.3	1.4	2.4
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	52	14	66	2.4	0.7	1.6
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	48	16	64	2.5	0.9	1.8
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	435	165	585	2.5	1.0	1.7
		* Oadby	142	55	197	2.0	8.0	1.4
		** Wigston	200	67	267	2.5	0.9	1.7
		_						

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	ımant Cou gust 2008	nt (JSA) Ward Data		Kutiana				
	-		Male	Female	Total		Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	2	ш	_	0.0	ш	0.7
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	7	# 8	5 15	0.8	#	0.7
3	00FPMU	Exton	-	4	6	0.7 #	1.0 1.0	0.8 0.5
4	00FPMW	Greetham		#	10	0.9	#	0.5
5	00FPMX	Ketton	7	6	13	0.9	0.9	0.9
6	00FPMY	Langham		5	10	1.5	1.8	1.7
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington		#	6	1.2	#	0.7
8	00FPNA	, Martinsthorpe		0	6	1.7	0.0	0.9
9	00FPNB	Normanton		6	14	0.7	0.8	0.8
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	10	7	17	1,1	0.8	1.0
11	00FPND	Oakham North West*	8	5	13	0.8	0.6	0.7
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	7	0	7	1.1	0.0	0.6
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	4	3	7	0.6	0.5	0.5
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	14	7	21	1.6	0.9	1.3
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	15	7	22	1.2	0.7	0.9
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	6	#	7	1.6	#	1.0
		Rutland	115	57	151	0.9	0.6	0.7
		*Oakham	29	15	44	0.9	0.5	0.7

Rutland

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (ISA) Ward Data

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.