Unemployment Bulletin December 2008





Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (www.nomisweb.co.uk) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: December 2008

Area	Claimant	mant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	2.9%	4.0%	1.6%	16,848	1,216	2.7%(0.2)	2.0% (0.9)
Leicestershire County	1.9%	2.7%	1.1%	7,636	783	1.7%(0.2)	1.1% (0.8)
Leicester City	4.8%	6.9%	2.6%	9,212	433	4.6%(0.2)	3.7% (1.1)
Rutland	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%	223	35	0.8%(0.2)	0.5% (0.5)
East Midlands	2.9%	4.2%	1.6%	80,283	7,559	2.7%(0.2)	1.9% (1.0)
UK	3.0%	4.4%	1.6%	1,153,328	97,183	2.8%(0.2)	2.1% (0.9)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the January 2009 unemployment figures on Wednesday 11th February 2009. Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council, the LSEP and partners are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, www.lsr-online.org

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: December 2008

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
NW Leicestershire	2.3%	1,289	196	2.0%(0.4)	1.2%(0.8)
Oadby & Wigston	2.1%	727	60	1.9%(0.2)	1.4%(0.5)
Hinckley & Bosworth	2.0%	1,322	121	1.9%(0.1)	1.3%(0.3)
Charnwood	1.9%	2,052	156	1.8%(0.1)	1.2%(0.5)
Blaby	1.8%	1,018	100	1.6%(0.2)	0.9%(0.7)
Melton	1.8%	534	87	1.5%(0.3)	1.0%(0.5)
Harborough	1.4%	694	63	1.3%(0.1)	0.8%(0.5)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; December 2008.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Coalville	3.0%	615	2.6%(0.4)	1.4%(1.6)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	2.5%	807	2.3%(0.2)	1.6%(0.9)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.4%	947	2.3%(0.1)	1.6%(0.8)
Wigston	2.1%	324	1.9%(0.2)	1.4%(0.7)
Melton Mowbray	2.1%	331	1.8%(0.3)	1.1%(1.0)
Market Harborough	2.0%	247	1.8%(0.2)	1.1%(0.9)
Oadby	1.8%	248	1.7% (0.1)	1.1%(0.7)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.8%	248	1.5%(0.3)	1.2%(0.6)
Oakham	1.0%	60	0.8%(0.3)	0.7%(0.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; December 2008.

		18-24 ye	ears old					
	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18
Area	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months
Blaby	255	20	0	0	595	95	35	15
Charnwood	585	45	5	0	1,090	175	100	35
Harborough	170	10	0	0	430	45	25	10
Hinckley & Bosworth	350	20	0	0	775	105	40	20
Melton	160	10	0	0	310	40	10	5
NW Leicestershire	360	20	0	5	760	85	40	15
Oadby & Wigston	190	15	0	0	405	75	20	15
Leicester City	2,160	290	15	5	4,290	1,395	620	355
Leicestershire County	2,075	145	10	5	4,360	620	270	115
Rutland	55	0	0	0	140	10	10	5
Leicester Shire	4,235	440	25	10	8,655	2,015	890	475

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP Ethnicity JSA.pdf

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; November 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	765	10	15	35	15	75	85
Charnwood	1,550	15	5	150	45	225	120
Harborough	565	5	0	15	5	25	40
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,025	0	5	15	5	30	145
Melton	430	5	0	0	0	10	10
NW Leicestershire	1,035	0	15	5	0	10	50
Oadby & Wigston	470	15	15	120	5	150	45
Leicester City	4,550	225	785	2,350	265	3,625	605
Leicestershire County	5,835	50	55	340	80	525	495
Leicester Shire	10,385	275	840	2,690	345	4,150	1,090
East Midlands	59,120	1,165	10	4,050	955	8,780	4,600
Great Britain	792,910	15,500	57,635	53,140	19,440	145,720	80,910

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; November 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	0.9%	1.2%	1.9%	0.9%	2.5%	1.2%
Charnwood	1.1%	1.3%	0.4%	1.4%	1.6%	1.3%
Harborough	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.8%	1.3%	0.7%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.0%	0.7%	1.3%	0.6%	1.0%	0.7%
Melton	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
NW Leicestershire	1.2%	0.4%	3.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%
Oadby & Wigston	1.0%	1.5%	1.9%	1.6%	0.8%	1.5%
Leicester City	2.5%	3.2%	6.3%	2.8%	7.0%	3.4%
Leicestershire County	1.0%	0.8%	1.3%	1.2%	1.5%	1.2%
Leicester Shire	1.4%	2.0%	5.0%	2.4%	3.8%	2.7%
East Midlands	1.5%	2.1%	0.0%	2.0%	2.5%	2.5%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; November 2008.

			Male				Female			
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	
Leicester Shire										
'White'	10,390	45	2,150	4,145	1,410	35	935	1,130	540	
'Non-white'	4155	5	670	1,780	440	0	375	630	255	
Leicester City										
'White'	4555	25	930	1,950	555	25	420	470	180	
'Non-white'	3630	5	585	1,575	380	0	320	540	225	
Leicestershire County										
'White'	5840	20	1,220	2,195	855	10	515	660	365	
'Non-white'	525	0	85	205	60	0	55	90	30	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; July 2007 - June 2008

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (December 2008)
United Kingdom	5.3%	1,572,400	3.0%
East Midlands	5.5%	121,000	2.9%
Leicester Shire	6.3%	29,700	2.9%
Leicester City	11.6%	16,200	4.8%
Leicestershire County	4.1%	13,400	1.9%

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; May 2008

	All ben	efits	Duration (proportion of all claimants)				
Area	Number	Rate	<6mths	6mths - lyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	4,390	7.7%	18%	8%	11%	17%	45%
Charnwood	9,100	8.5%	20%	10%	11%	19%	42%
Harborough	3,200	6.5%	18%	8%	9%	18%	46%
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,780	8.9%	19%	9%	11%	18%	42%
Melton	2,210	7.4%	20%	8%	10%	17%	45%
NW Leicestershire	5,970	10.8%	17%	8%	10%	18%	47%
Oadby and Wigston	3,090	9.0%	22%	9%	10%	17%	42%
,							
Leicester City	36,140	18.9%	22%	10%	11%	18%	40%
Leicestershire County	33,730	8.5%	19%	9%	10%	18%	44%
Rutland	1,270	5.6%	19%	9%	11%	17%	44%
East Midlands	355,170	13.0%	19%	9%	10%	18%	45%
Great Britain	5,124,110	13.9%	18%	8%	10%	17%	46%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; May 2008

	East Midlands		Leicester (City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Incapacity Benefits	177,600	6.5	15,810	8.3	16,430	4.1
Job Seeker	55,160	2.0	7,710	4.0	4,640	1.2
Lone Parent	46,470	1.7	6,080	3.2	3,750	0.9
Carer	30,110	1.1	2,820	1.5	3,300	0.8
Disabled	27,900	1.0	1,990	1.0	3,410	0.9
Others on income related benefit	10,690	0.4	1,260	0.7	1,100	0.3
Bereaved	7,240	0.3	470	0.2	1,100	0.3
Total	355,170	13.0	36,140	18.9	33,730	8.5

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year population estimates which are compatible with the 2001 Census.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

		(JSA) Ward Data		Blaby I	District				
Dece	ember 2008		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%	
I	3 I UBFY	Blaby South	52	17	69	3.5	1.3	2.4	
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	25	П	36	1.6	0.7	1.2	
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	33	14	47	1.5	0.7	1.1	
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	19	10	29	2.7	1.6	2.2	
5	31UBGC	Ellis	42	12	54	2.6	0.8	1.7	
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	50	12	62	4.0	1.0	2.6	
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	39	П	50	2.7	0.9	1.8	
8	31UBGF	Forest	37	20	57	1.7	1.0	1.4	
9	31UBGG	Millfield	27	9	36	4.1	1.5	2.9	
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	20	9	29	1.6	0.8	1.2	
11	31UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	43	14	57	2.5	0.9	1.7	
12	31UBGK	Normanton	15	3	18	1.7	0.4	1.1	
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	50	16	66	3.2	1.1	2.1	
14	31UBGM	Pastures	30	14	44	1.8	0.9	1.3	
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	76	27	103	3.4	1.3	2.4	
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	74	20	94	2.6	1.0	2.0	
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	53	26	79	2.3	1.2	1.8	
18	31UBGR	Winstanley		24	88	3.3	1.4	2.4	
		Blaby District		269	1,018	2.5	1.0	1.8	
		•							

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	nant Count (J\$ mber 2008	SA) Ward Data I	Chari	nwood Bo	rough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	2111666							
ı	31UCGG	Anstey	52	22	74	2.8	1.3	2.1
2	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West	51	21	72	2.8	1.2	2.0
3	31UCGJ	Birstall Wanlip	36	10	46	2.4	0.7	1.6
4	31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	47	16	63	2.4	0.9	1.7
5	3 I UCGL	East Goscote	20	7	27	2.0	0.8	1.4
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	15	7	22	1.7	0.8	1.3
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	59	19	78	1.8	0.8	1.4
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	66	25	91	3.4	1.3	2.4
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	66	25	91	3.2	1.3	2.3
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	141	29	170	7.7	1.7	4.8
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	128	28	156	6.6	1.7	4.3
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	16	7	23	0.7	0.4	0.6
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	34	10	44	2.0	0.7	1.4
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe st	96	35	131	5.0	1.8	3.4
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	66	17	83	3.4	1.0	2.3
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer st	54	26	80	2.4	1.5	2.0
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	64	23	87	3.0	1.1	2.1
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	14	14	28	1.6	1.7	1.6
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	51	16	67	2.6	0.9	1.8
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	30	18	48	1.7	1.1	1.4
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	60	17	77	2.7	0.8	1.8
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	64	15	79	3. I	0.8	2.0
23	31UCHF	Sileby	72	26	98	3.5	1.3	2.5
24	31UCHG	Syston East	46	12	58	2.6	0.7	1.7
25	31UCHH	Syston West	62	23	85	3.3	1.2	2.3
26	3 I U C H J	The Wolds	12	7	19	1.3	0.8	1.1
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	88	46	134	3.1	1.8	2.5
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	14	7	21	1.6	0.9	1.2
		Charnwood Borough	1,524	528	2,052	2.6	1.1	1.9
		*Loughborough including Hathern	726	221	947	3.5	1.2	2.4

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Carry (ICA) Mand Data

	nant Count (J ember 2008	SA) Ward Data	Harbo	rough I	District			
Dece	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male F	emale	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
		proportions)						
I	31UDGD	Billesdon	4	3	7	0.8	0.7	0.7
2	3 I U D G E	Bosworth	10	6	16	1.4	0.9	1.2
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	13	4	17	1.7	0.5	1.1
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	16	#	18	2.0	#	1.2
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	10	#	12	1.7	#	1.0
6	3 IUDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	18	6	24	2.6	1.0	1.8
7	31UDGK	Dunton	5	7	12	0.7	1.1	0.9
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	36	12	48	2.3	8.0	1.6
9	31UDGM	Glen	19	13	32	1.6	1.2	1.4
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	23	8	31	1.3	0.5	0.9
- 11	31UDGP	Lubenham	19	4	23	2.0	0.6	1.4
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	12	3	15	1.7	0.4	1.1
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	16	7	23	2.5	1.2	1.8
14	3 IUDGS	Lutterworth Springs	21	4	25	3.3	0.7	2.0
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	21	7	28	3.3	1.2	2.3
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	60	28	88	2.9	1.5	2.2
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little Bowden*	24	15	39	1.8	1.2	1.5
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	28	13	41	2.2	1.1	1.6
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	62	17	79	3.7	1.1	2.4
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	11	7	18	1.4	1.0	1.2
21	31UDHA	Nevill	П	5	16	1.8	0.9	1.3
22	31UDHB	Peatling	7	0	7	1.0	0.0	0.5
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	36	21	57	1.8	1.1	1.5
24	31UDHD	Tilton	7	#	9	1.1	#	0.8
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	8	#	9	1.2	#	0.7
		Harborough District	497	197	694	1.9	0.8	1.4
		* Market Harborough	174	73	247	2.7	1.2	2.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

	ember 200	18 I						
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	Couc	vvarus (resident based proportions)				70		
	3 I UEFU	Ambien	20	4	24	1.7	0.4	1.1
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	22	8	30	2.1	0.8	1.5
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	135	48	183	4.6	1.8	3.3
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	51	22	73	2.8	1.4	2.2
5	3 I UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	43	12	55	1.5	0.5	1.0
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	15	6	21	1.5	0.7	1.1
7	3 I UEGB	Earl Shilton	157	42	199	5.4	1.6	3.6
8	3 I UEGC	Groby	46	9	55	2.1	0.4	1.3
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	92	31	123	4.6	1.8	3.3
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	83	45	128	3.5	1.9	2.7
11	3 I UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	82	29	Ш	2.7		1.9
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	95	23	118	4.4		2.8
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	46	П	57	2.7	0.7	1.7
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	47	9	56	1.8		1.1
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	45	21	66	2.5	1.2	1.9
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	15	8	23	1.5	0.9	1.2
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	994	328	1,322	2.9	1.1	2.0
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	603	204	807	3.5	1.3	2.5
44 🖚	c.							

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	mant Count ember 2008	(JSA) Ward Data	Leice	ster City				
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	005)							
ı	00FNNF	Abbey	381	119	500	9.9	3.3	6.7
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	208	65	273	6.2	2.0	4.1
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	480	161	641	10.6	3.5	7.0
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	220	121	341	6.8	3.7	5.3
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	449	131	580	9.5	2.7	6.0
6	00FNNL	Castle	467	121	588	7.7	2.4	5.2
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	374	124	498	11.6	3.9	7.7
8	00FNNN	Coleman	311	125	436	8.0	3.4	5.7
9	00FNNP	Evington	147	58	205	5.3	2.2	3.8
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	286	86	372	9.5	2.8	6.1
11	00FNNR	Fosse	217	75	292	5.7	2.2	4.1
12	00FNNS	Freemen	303	96	399	9.5	2.8	6.0
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	238	98	336	6.4	2.8	4.7
14	00FNNU	Knighton	155	65	220	3.0	1.3	2.2
15	00FNNW	Latimer	193	117	310	5.2	3.2	4.2
16	00FNNX	New Parks	448	129	577	10.1	2.8	6.4
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	199	127	326	3.9	2.7	3.3
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	706	224	930	10.0	3.5	6.9
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	467	161	628	7.7	2.9	5.4
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	165	89	254	6. I	3.4	4.8
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	219	77	296	6.3	2.3	4.3
22	00FNPD	Western Park	144	66	210	4.4	2.2	3.4
		Leicester	6,777	2,435	9,212	6.9	2.6	4.8

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data December 2008			Melton B	orough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
1 2	31UGFT 31UGFU	Asfordby Bottesford		15	48	3.3 2.9	1.6 0.5	2.5 1.8
3	3IUGFW 3IUGFX	Croxton Kerrial Frisby-on-the-Wreake	4	7	11 12	0.8	1.4	1.1
5	3IUGFY 3IUGFZ	Gaddesby Long Clawson and Stathern	9	#	11 28	1.7	# 1.0	1.1
7	3IUGGA 3IUGGB	Melton Dorian*	37	11	48	3.0	1.0	2.1
9	31UGGC 31UGGD	Melton Egerton*		19 21	62 71	2.6 4.3	1.3	3.2
П	31UGGE	Melton Newport* Melton Sysonby*		13	36 59	1.9 2.9	0.4	1.2
13	3IUGGF 3IUGGG	Melton Warwick* Old Dalby	П	3	55 14	4.5 1.8	0.6	1.2
14	31UGGH 31UGGJ	Somerby Waltham-on-the-Wolds	10 5	7 #	17 7	1.7	1.3	1.5 0.7
16	31UGGK	Wymondham Melton Borough		7 144	19 534	2.3 2.5	1.5 1.0	1.9

251

80

33 I

3.1

1.1

2.1

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

* Melton Mowbray

Source: Office for National Statistics

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

	imant Cour cember 200	Count (JSA) Ward Data North West Leicestershire District r 2008						
			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	31UHFZ	Appleby	15	5	20	2.3	0.8	1.6
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	I	0	17	1.6	0.0	1.1
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*		19	64	3.0	1.3	2.2
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*		12	50	2.9	0.9	1.9
5	31UHGD	Bardon**		10	37	3.5	1.4	2.5
6	31UHGE	Breedon	12	5	17	1.5	0.7	1.2
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington		28	92	2.9	1.4	2.2
8	31UHGG	Coalville**		28	121	6.5	2.2	4.5
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	121	42	163	5.9	2.2	4.1
10	31UHGJ	$Hugglescote^{**}$	58	13	71	4.2	1.0	2.7
11	31UHGK	Ibstock and Heather	102	27	129	4.7	1.4	3.1
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton		13	39	1.8	1.0	1.4
13	31UHGM	Measham	59	13	72	3.8	0.9	2.3
14	31UHGN	Moira	35	28	63	2.3	2.1	2.2
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	20	3	23	2.6	0.4	1.6
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington		0	27	2.6	0.0	1.9
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	57	16	73	3.5	1.1	2.3
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	40	14	54	2.7	1.1	1.9
19	31UHGT	Valley	39	22	61	2.9	1.8	2.4
20	31UHGU	Whitwick**	72	24	96	3.5	1.3	2.4
		NW Leicestershire District	957	332	1,289	3.3	1.3	2.3
		* Ashby de la Zouch	84	31	131	2.3	0.9	1.8
		** Coalville	468	147	615	4.3	1.5	3.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Oadby & Wigston Borough

Dece	ember 200	8						
			Male	Female	Total	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
		proportions)						
	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	าว	17	40	2.0	1.6	1.0
•	· .	,	23	17	40	2.0	1.6	1.8
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	30	15	45	1. 4	0.7	1.1
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	42	12	54	4.0	1.2	2.6
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	41	22	63	3.2	1.7	2.5
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	33	13	46	2.6	1.0	1.8
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	126	29	155	5.4	1.3	3.4
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	53	18	71	2.6	1.0	1.8
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	88	25	113	4.6	1.4	3.1
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	59	П	70	2.7	0.5	1.6
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	57	13	70	3.0	0.8	1.9
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	552	175	727	3.1	1.1	2.1
		* Oadby	169	79	248	2.4	1.2	1.8
		** Wigston	257	67	324	3.2	0.9	2.1

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	cember 200	nic (JSA) **ard Data 08		Rutialiu				
			Male	Female	Total	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	3	#	5	0.8	#	0.7
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	12	5	17	1.1	0.6	0.9
3	00FPMU	Exton	9	5	14	1.2	1.2	1.2
4	00FPMVV	Greetham	8	#	9	0.9	#	0.7
5	00FPMX	Ketton	7	7	14	0.9	1.1	1.0
6	00FPMY	Langham	4	4	8	1.2	1.4	1.3
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	7	#	9	1.7	#	1.1
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	5	#	6	1.4	#	0.9
9	00FPNB	Normanton	16	8	24	1.5	1.0	1.3
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	12	3	15	1.3	0.4	0.9
П	00FPND	Oakham North West*	11	5	16	1.1	0.6	0.9
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	8	#	10	1.3	#	0.9
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	- 11	8	19	1.6	1.2	1.4
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	17	4	21	2.0	0.5	1.3
15	00FPNH	Uppingham		8	28	1.6	0.8	1.2
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine		3	8	1.4	0.8	1.1
		Rutland		68	223	1.2	0.7	1.0
		*Oakham	42	16	60	1.3	0.5	1.0

Rutland

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (ISA) Ward Data

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.