Unemployment Bulletin July 2010



Introduction

The population figures used to calculate residence-based proportions (rates) have changed from working age (16-59f/64m) to aged 16-64 for both males and females. This change affects rates for July 2010 so rates are not currently comparable to previous dates.

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (www.nomisweb.co.uk) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: July 2010

				= =					
Area	Claimant Count Pro- portion (working age)			Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Change since last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)	
	Total	Male	Female				, ,	, ,	
Leicester Shire	3.4%	4.6%	2.1%	20,914	-211	-3,585	3.6%(0.0)	4.2%(-0.8)	
Leicestershire County	2.2%	3.0%	1.4%	9,228	-154	-2,804	2.3%(-0.1)	3.0%(-0.8)	
Leicester City	5.7%	8.0%	3.4%	11,686	-57	-781	6.1%(-0.4)	6.5%(-0.8)	
Rutland	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%	328	9	-52	1.4%(0.0)	1.7%(-0.3)	
East Midlands	3.4%	4.8%	1.9%	96,750	-1.011	-14,191	3.6%(-0.2)	4.1%(-0.7)	
UK	3.6%	5.1%	2.1%	1,441,035	-3,061	-132,104	3.8%(-0.2)	4.2%(-0.6)	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the August 2010 unemployment figures on Wednesday 15th September 2010.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, www.lsr-online.org

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: July 2010.

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Total last month	Total last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	2.6%	970	959	1,150	2.8%(-0.2)	3.3%(-0.7)
NW Leicestershire	2.4%	1,402	1,662	1,738	2.6%(-0.2)	3.1%(-0.7)
Hinckley & Bosworth	2.4%	1,646	1,418	2,098	2.6%(-0.2)	3.2%(-0.8)
Charnwood	2.2%	2,489	2,519	3,298	2.3%(-0.1)	3.1%(-0.9)
Blaby	2.1%	1,277	1,316	1,638	2.3%(-0.2)	2.9%(-0.8)
Melton	2.0%	627	671	890	2.2%(-0.2)	3.0%(-1.0)
Harborough	1.6%	817	837	1,220	1.7%(-0.1)	2.5%(-0.9)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; July 2010.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Wigston	3.0%	402	2.8%(0.2)	3.3%(-0.3)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	2.9%	1,045	3.1%(-0.2)	6.6%(-3.7)
Coalville	2.6%	685	3.2%(-0.6)	3.6%(-1.0)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.5%	1,142	2.7%(-0.2)	3.2%(-0.7)
Melton Mowbray	2.4%	408	2.7%(-0.3)_	3.7%(-1.3)
Oadby	2.2%	347	2.0%(0.2)	2.7%(-0.5)
Oakham	2.0%	135	1.9%(0.1)	2.0%(0.0)
Market Harborough	1.8%	240	1.9%(-0.1)	3.1%(-1.3)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.3%	129	2.3%(-1.0)	2.7%(-1.4)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; July 2010.

		18-24 ye	ears old		Over 25 years old			
	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18
Area	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months
Blaby	260	45	30	15	540	185	100	100
Charnwood	560	65	45	20	1,075	325	205	190
Harborough	180	20	10	0	365	125	60	55
Hinckley & Bosworth	360	75	35	15	675	230	125	125
Melton	135	30	15	5	270	80	45	50
NW Leicestershire	325	70	20	20	540	210	95	115
Oadby & Wigston	220	40	15	10	400	130	70	85
Leicester City	2,185	525	290	105	4,010	1,780	1,260	1,495
Leicestershire County	2,035	345	170	85	3,860	1,280	700	725
Rutland	70	15	5	5	150	40	25	20
Leicester Shire	4,215	870	460	190	7,875	3,055	1,920	2,220

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP Ethnicity JSA.pdf

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; June 2010.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	1,125	25	25	80	10	140	50
Charnwood	2,045	30	25	245	40	335	135
Harborough	755	10	10	20	5	40	45
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,415	10	10	15	10	45	195
Melton	640	5	0	0	0	10	20
NW Leicestershire	1,345	5	5	0	0	15	60
Oadby & Wigston	695	15	20	190	5	230	35
,							
Leicester City	6,125	345	1,160	3.050	330	4,885	730
Leicestershire County	8,015	95	95	555	70	815	545
Leicester Shire	14,140	440	1,255	3,605	400	5,705	1,275
East Midlands	79,755	1,750	3,830	5,540	1,165	12,285	5,455
Great Britain	1,079,530	24,295	86,020	75,875	25,890	212,080	92,340

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; June 2010.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.3%	2.3%	3.1%	2.0%	1.7%	2.2%
Charnwood	1.4%	1.6%	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%	2.0%
Harborough	1.0%	1.3%	2.5%	1.0%	1.3%	1.1%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.4%	1.1%	2.5%	0.6%	2.0%	1.0%
Melton	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
NW Leicestershire	1.6%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Oadby & Wigston	1.5%	1.9%	2.5%	2.5%	0.8%	2.3%
Leicester City	3.4%	4.9%	9.4%	3.6%	8.7%	4.6%
Leicestershire County	1.4%	1.5%	2.2%	1.9%	1.3%	1.8%
Leicester Shire	1.9%	3.3%	7.5%	3.2%	4.4%	3.8%
East Midlands	2.0%	3.1%	6.6%	2.8%	3.1%	3.5%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; June 2010.

					Ma	le			Fen	nale	
	Total	Previous month	Previous year	Aged <18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged < 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire											
'White'	14,140	14,990	16,645	25	2,720	5,690	1,735	20	1,220	2,045	685
'Non-white'	5,705	5,995	5,975	0	870	2,410	600	0	510	990	325
Leicester City											
'White'	6,125	6,435	6,495	10	1,215	2,590	665	10	510	870	255
'Non-white'	4,885	5,135	5,065	0	740	2,085	520	0	445	820	275
Leicestershire County											
'White'	8,015	8,570	10,145	15	1,505	3,100	1,070	10	710	1,175	430
'Non-white'	1,265	855	900	0	130	325	80	0	65	165	500

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; January 2009 - December 2009

	January 2009 - December 2009		January 2008 - December 2008	
Area	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unem- ployed	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed
United Kingdom	7.8%	2,367,700	5.8%	1,750,600
East Midlands	7.4%	165,700	5.9%	132,400
Leicester Shire	7.3%	35,000	7.2%	34,600
Leicester City	11.0%	15,400	13.1%	18,100
Leicestershire County	5.8%	19,600	4.8%	16,500

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; November 2009

		All be	nefits		Duration (proportion of all claimants)				
Area	Number	Rate	Previous year number	Previous year rate	<6mths	6mths - Iyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	5,420	9.6	4,790	8.4	25%	12%	11%	15%	37%
Charnwood	11,070	10.2	9,820	9.0	26%	12%	11%	16%	35%
Harborough	3,930	8.0	3,470	7.0	27%	11%	10%	14%	37%
Hinckley &	7,190	11.1	6,300	9.7	26%	12%	11%	16%	35%
Melton	2,800	9.4	2,470	8.3	27%	11%	11%	15%	35%
NW	7,070	12.8	6,470	11.7	24%	10%	11%	16%	39%
Oadby and Wigston	3,680	10.6	3,260	9.4	25%	13%	12%	16%	35%
Leicester City	41,500	21.6	37,530	19.5	24%	13%	12%	16%	35%
Leicestershire	41,160	10.3	36,580	9.1	26%	12%	11%	16%	36%
Rutland	1,520	6.7	1,360	6.0	25%	10%	11%	16%	38%
East Midlands	413,840	15.1	376,820	13.7	24%	11%	11%	16%	38%
Great Britain	5,840,290	15.8	5,385,690	14.6	24%	11%	11%	15%	39%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

February 2010

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; November 2009

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number Rate		Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Employment and Support Allowance & Incapacity Benefits	181,060	6.6%	16,310	8.5%	16,880	4.2%
Job Seeker	106,920	3.9%	12,510	6.5%	10,740	2.7%
Lone Parent	45,410	1.7%	5,610	2.9%	4,010	1.0%
Carer	32,250	1.2%	3,100	1.6%	3,610	0.9%
Disabled	29,320	1.1%	2,130	1.1%	3,560	0.9%
Others on income related benefit	12,680	0.5%	1,420	0.7%	1,390	0.3%
Bereaved	6,200	0.2%	410	0.2%	970	0.2%
Total	413,840	15.1%	41,500	21.6%	41,160	10.3%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) ESA & Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates. This

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

	aimant Count y 2010	(JSA) Ward Data	Blaby District					
,	,		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			70	%	%	%
	I 31UBFY	Blaby South	_	78	97	4.1	1.5	2.8
7	2 3IUBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	39	42	53	1.5	1.0	1.2
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	72	83	96	2.1	1.1	1.6
4	3 IUBGB	Croft Hill	25	28	34	1.8	1.7	1.8
	31UBGC	Ellis	45	52	80	2.1	0.6	1.4
- (3 IUBGD	Enderby and St. John's	91	90	85	5.3	1.5	3.3
7	3 IUBGE	Fairestone	67	74	77	3.7	1.1	2.4
8	3 IUBGF	Forest	76	78	100	2.4	1.0	1.7
9	31UBGG	Millfield	41	48	59	4.4	1.7	3.1
10	3 IUBGH	Muxloe	43	46	52	2.2	1.3	1.7
	I 31UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	66	68	100	2.5	1.3	1.9
12		Normanton		27	29	1.8	1.4	1.6
13	3 IUBGL	North Whetstone	74	74	93	2.8	1.6	2.2

50

163

109

101

106

1,277

Pastures

Saxondale

Winstanley

Blaby District

Ravenhurst and Fosse

Stanton and Flamville

78

189

142

131

143

1,638

1.8

5.2

2.7

2.3

3.0

2.9

1.4

1.7

1.4

2.0

1.5

1.4

1.6

3.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.1

48

169

116

93

102

1,316

Source: Office for National Statistics

14

15

16

17

18

31UBGM

3 IUBGN

3 I UBGP

31UBGQ

31UBGR

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Clair July 2	•	SA) Ward Data I	Charr	nwood Bo	rough			
, , .			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	2111666	A		•	=			
ı	31UCGG	Anstey	87	96	147	3.3	1.2	2.2
3	3 I UCCI	Barrow and Sileby West Birstall Wanlip	58	62	105	1.8	1.2	1.5
4	31UCGJ 31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	75	70	87	3.0	1.6	2.3
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	98	93	138	3.1	1.6	2.4
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	20 29	19	54	1.8	# 0.9	1.0
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	105	22 106	46 117	1.7 2.3	0.9	1.3 1.7
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and	105	106	117	2.3	0.7	1./
U	310001	Hathern*	83	91	133	2.7	1.3	2.0
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	73	68	119	2.5	0.9	1.7
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	257	269	230	8.6	3.3	6.0
- 11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	198	203	241	5.7	2.8	4.3
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	28	31	40	0.7	0.6	0.7
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	33	27	72	1.1	0.8	0.9
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	157	165	208	4.2	2.2	3.2
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	91	94	117	2.4	1.1	1.8
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer*	117	118	126	2.5	1.9	2.2
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	Ш	117	171	3.3	1.5	2.3
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	28	26	33	2.1	0.9	1.5
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	76	72	95	2.5	1.2	1.9
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	64	52	68	2.6	0.8	1.7
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	76	79	109	2.5	0.7	1.6
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	84	79	115	2.6	1.1	1.9
23	31UCHF	Sileby	139	143	170	4.1	1.6	2.8
24	31UCHG	Syston East	80	85	122	2.7	1.5	2.1
25	31UCHH	Syston West	96	104	134	2.7	1.8	2.3
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	21	18	31	1.5	0.7	1.1
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	187	191	242	4.3	2.0	3.1
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	18	19	28	1.4	0.5	0.9
		Charnwood Borough	2,489	2,519	3,298	3.0	1.4	2.2
		*Loughborough including Hathern	1142	1172	1403	3.2	1.6	2.5

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Harborough District

July 2	010		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			_	%	%	%
I	31UDGD	Billesdon	13	11	11	1.8	0.5	1.1
2	3 IUDGE	Bosworth	23	22	28	1.8	1.2	1.5
3	3 IUDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	23	23	26	1.7	1.4	1.5
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	22	25	30	1.6	0.8	1.2
5	3 IUDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	14	15	24	1.8	0.5	1.2
6	3 IUDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	25	23	36	2.4	1.2	1.8
7	3 IUDGK	Dunton	13	16	22	0.9	8.0	0.8
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	51	62	95	2.2	1.0	1.6
9	31UDGM	Glen	38	36	41	2.0	1.0	1.5
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	72	71	82	2.7	1.1	1.9
П	31UDGP	Lubenham	22	17	32	1.1	1.1	1.1
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	13	12	36	0.7	0.9	0.8
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	16	16	37	1.2	1.2	1.2
14	3 IUDGS	Lutterworth Springs	33	34	37	3.5	1.2	2.4
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	22	29	56	1.6	1.1	1.4
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	85	85	133	2.7	1.1	1.9
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little						
		Bowden*	40	37	69	1.6	1.0	1.3
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	32	36	62	2.0	0.4	1.2
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	83	80	137	3.9	0.9	2.3
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	20	16	25	1.9	0.6	1.2
21	31UDHA	Nevill	18	17	24	1.8	0.9	1.4
22	31UDHB	Peatling	17	21	26	1.2	1.1	1.2
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	95	99	103	3.5	1.7	2.6
24	31UDHD	Tilton	9	12	17	1.2	#	0.7
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	18	22	31	1.7	0.7	1.2
		Harborough District	817	837	1,220	2.1	1.0	1.6
		* Market Harborough	240	238	401	2.6	0.9	1.8
# The	so figuros ara s	suppressed as value is L or 2						

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

July	2010			_			_	
•			Total	Last	Last	Male F	emale	Total
				month	year			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	26	23	35	1.3	0.9	1.1
2	31UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	38	31	38	2.6	0.9	1.7
3	31UEFX	Barwell	196	203	238	4.8	1.8	3.3
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill*	101	107	105	3.8	1.9	2.9
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton*	86	79	119	1.9	1.1	1.5
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	26	25	25	2.0	0.5	1.2
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton*	250	250	289	5.6	2.7	4.1
8	31UEGC	Groby	79	80	95	2.6	1.0	1.8
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	157	169	189	4.7	2.3	3.6
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	139	I 48	208	2.8	1.9	2.3
11	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	152	155	198	3.1	1.8	2.5
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	160	161	192	5.6	1.9	3.7
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	52	51	70	2.1	0.9	1.5
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	78	79	134	2.3	0.6	1.5
15	3 I UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	82	74	132	2.8	1.1	1.9
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	24	27	31	1.6	0.9	1.3
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	1,646	1,662	2,098	3.4	1.5	2.4
		*******		1040				
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1045	1069	1300	3.8	1.9	2.9

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data July 2010			Leices	ster City				
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	00FNNF	Abbey	627	633	669	10.8	4.2	7.4
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	304	296	374	6.6	2.2	4.4
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	747	768	817	10.1	3.7	6.8
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	421	420	482	7.0	4.5	5.8
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	743	753	790	10.1	4.1	6.9
6	00FNNL	Castle	820	781	787	8.2	2.7	5.5
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	604	610	625	11.2	4.8	7.9
8	00FNNN	Coleman	571	576	596	9.3	4.1	6.7
9	00FNNP	Evington	267	270	327	6.0	2.8	4.4
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	452	487	461	9.6	4.2	6.8
П	00FNNR	Fosse	404	396	448	6.6	3.1	4.9
12	00FNNS	Freemen	503	517	541	10.5	3.8	7.0
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	463	486	506	6.5	3.5	5.0
14	00FNNU	Knighton	295	258	323	4.2	1.9	3.0
15	00FNNW	Latimer	397	385	416	5.4	4.4	4.9
16	00FNNX	New Parks	78 4	807	768	11.7	4.3	7.9
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	452	436	486	5.4	3.2	4.3
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	1054	1087	1123	11.1	4.2	7.7
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	741	724	816	8.8	3.1	6.0
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	313	310	348	7.3	3.6	5.4
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	427	425	438	8.2	3.5	6.0
22	00FNPD	Western Park	297	318	326	6.8	2.3	4.6
		Leicester	11,686	11,743	12,467	8.0	3.4	5.7

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Melton Borough

luly	2010			i icicon B	0.046			
July	2010		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
I	31UGFT	Asfordby	53	53	68	3.1	2.0	2.5
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	28	29	51	1.8	8.0	1.3
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	21	22	22	2.4	1.2	1.7
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	17	16	13	1.4	1.6	1.5
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	8	8	18	1.1	#	0.8
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	29	29	40	1.0	1.1	1.1
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	60	68	85	3.3	1.9	2.6
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	69	72	103	2.7	1.2	2.0
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	81	100	122	4.5	2.3	3.4
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	58	66	85	2.8	1.1	2.0
П	31UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	73	75	117	2.9	1.4	2.1
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	67	65	80	4.3	1.7	3.0
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	21	23	28	1.8	1.4	1.6
14	31UGGH	Somerby	12	15	20	1.4	0.7	1.0
15	31UGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	8	8	16	0.8	0.8	0.8
16	31UGGK	Wymondham	22	22	22	2.8	1.3	2.1
		, Melton Borough	67 I	671	890	2.9	1.6	2.2
		* Melton Mowbray	408	446	592	3.3	1.5	2.4

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data North West Leicestershire District								
July	2010		Total	Last month	Last year	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			, c	%	%	%
I	3 IUHFZ	Appleby	17	14	19	1.7	0.7	1.3
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	16	16	20	1.2	0.0	0.9
3	3 I U H G B	Ashby Holywell*	61	73	92	3.2	0.8	2.0
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	52	44	77	3.0	0.8	1.9
5	3 IUHGD	Bardon**		33	59	1.4	1.0	1.2
6	31UHGE	Breedon	16	19	21	1.3	0.8	1.1
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	95	98	148	3.2	0.9	2.1
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	136	140	140	5.8	2.4	4.2
9	3 IUHGH	Greenhill**	206	207	210	6.3	3.2	4.8
10	3 IUHGJ	Hugglescote **		68	77	2.5	1.5	2.0
П	3 IUHGK	Ibstock and Heather	119	118	153	3.8	1.4	2.6
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	54	48	57	2.2	1.4	1.8
13	3 IUHGM	Measham	95	88	101	4.3	1.4	2.8
14	3 IUHGN	Moira	63	66	86	2.5	1.7	2.1
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	37	40	43	2.7	1.6	2.1
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	27	26	38	2.8	0.0	1.7
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	99	100	92	4.0	1.8	2.9
18	31UHGS	$Thringstone^{**}$	78	72	84	3.4	2.1	2.8
19	31UHGT	Valley	65	68	83	3.4	1.1	2.3
20	3 IUHGU	Whitwick**	79	80	138	2.3	1.5	1.9
		NW Leicestershire District	1,418	1,418	1,738	3.4	1.6	2.6
		* Ashby de la Zouch	129	133	189	2.1	0.6	1.3
		** Coalville	685	700	800	3.4	1.8	2.6

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Oadby & Wigston Borough

July	2010							
			Total	Last	Last	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
I	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	63	51	80	3.3	2.2	2.7
2	31UJFM	Oadby Grange*	55	51	63	1.6	0.7	1.1
3	31UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	96	93	79	5.8	2.8	4.2
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	66	66	96	2.9	2.0	2.4
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	67	65	64	2.8	1.6	2.2
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	221	225	264	6.9	2.3	4.6
7	31UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	89	90	99	3.3	1.3	2.3
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	141	137	174	5.4	1.8	3.6
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	90	102	113	3.2	1.0	2.1
10	31UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	82	79	118	2.8	1.4	2.1
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	959	959	1,150	3.8	1.7	2.8
		* Oadby		326	382	2.8	1.7	2.2
		** Wigston	402	408	504	4.4	1.7	3.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	imant Coui , 2010	nt (JSA) Ward Data		Rutland				
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	W ards (resident-based proportions)			_	%	%	%
	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton			0		1.0	
2			11	11	8	1.1	1.8	1.4
	00FPMT	Cottesmore	13	13	31	0.8	0.5	0.7
3	00FPMU	Exton	15	13	15	1.0	1.2	1.1
4	00FPMW	Greetham	11		15	0.4	1.6	0.8
5	00FPMX	Ketton	18	15	28	1.5	0.7	1.1
6	00FPMY	Langham	12	14	8	2.4	#	1.4
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	7	Ш	9	1.5	#	0.8
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	7	10	9	1.7	#	1.0
9	00FPNB	Normanton	20	25	30	1.1	0.9	1.0
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	33	28	35	2.3	1.0	1.6
11	00FPND	Oakham North West*	48	50	39	2.3	2.5	2.4
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	22	22	18	2.3	8.0	1.5
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	32	28	38	2.9	1.7	2.3
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	29	27	29	1.7	1.4	1.6
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	41	31	50	1.9	0.8	1.4
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	9	10	18	1.2	0.9	1.0
		Rutland	319	319	380	1.7	1.1	1.4
		*Oakham	135	128	130	2.4	1.5	2.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics