

# Unemployment Bulletin March 2010




## **Recession Summary**

This additional front page provides a monthly snapshot of the economy at a local level. JSA claimants and vacancies are used to exemplify recent change and trends over the past 12 months. Following tables have also been adapted to include monthly and annual comparisons.








## Key points

- There were 23,997 people claiming JSA benefits in the sub-region in March 2010 compared to 24,560 in February 2010 and 23,096 in March 2009
- The JSA claimant numbers in March 2010 decreased by 160 in the City and 403 in the County. Rates both decreased by 0.1% points.
- In the City, Spinney Hills ward had the largest monthly increase in claimants (31). New Parks ward had the largest monthly decrease in claimants (-37). New Parks ward continues to have the highest claimant rate in the City at 9.1% despite decreasing by 0.4% points
- In the County claimant rates decreased by 0.2% points in both Harborough and Hinckley districts. Rates remained unchanged in Melton, Oadby and Wigston and Blaby.
- In the sub-region, there were 5,016 notified vacancies in March 2010 compared to 4,512 the previous month. There were 4,699 unfilled live vacancies in March. This is a ratio of 5.1 claimants per unfilled live vacancy, down from 6.4 last month.
- In March 2010 3.9% of 16-18 year olds in the County and 7.4% in the City were Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET). This remains lower than the rates for 2009.



# Unemployment Bulletin March 2010



## Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<a href="www.nomisweb.co.uk">www.nomisweb.co.uk</a>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: March 2010

Area	Claimant Count Pro- portion (working age)			Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Change since last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female					
Leicester Shire	4.1%	5.5%	2.4%	23,997	-563	901	4.1%(0.0)	3.9%(0.2)
Leicestershire County	2.8%	3.7%	1.7%	11,052	-403	-531	2.9%(-0.1)	2.9%(-0.1)
Leicester City	6.7%	9.4%	3.9%	12,945	-160	1,432	6.8%(-0.1)	6.0%(0.7)
Rutland	1.7%	2.0%	1.3%	391	-12	18	1.8%(-0.1)	1.7%(0.0)
East Midlands	4.1%	5.7%	2.3%	112,429	-3,002	3,314	4.2%(-0.1)	4.0%(0.1)
UK	4.2%	5.9%	2.4%	1,614,852	-42,189	91,370	4.4%(-0.2)	4.0%(0.2)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the April 2010 unemployment figures on Wednesday 12th May 2010.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <a href="https://www.lsr-online.org">www.lsr-online.org</a>

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: March 2010.

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Total last month	Total last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	3.2%	1,102	1,098	1,011	3.2%(0.0)	2.9%(0.3)
NW Leicestershire	3.1%	1,720	1,754	1,839	3.2%(-0.1)	3.3%(-0.2)
Hinckley & Bosworth	3.0%	1,958	2,065	2,099	3.2%(-0.2)	3.2%(-0.2)
Blaby	2.8%	1,583	1,584	874	2.8%(0.0)	2.9%(-0.1)
Charnwood	2.7%	2,923	3,101	3,074	2.8%(-0.1)	2.9%(-0.2)
Melton	2.6%	763	773	1,557	2.6%(0.0)	2.7%(-0.1)
Harborough	2.0%	1,003	1,080	1,129	2.2%(-0.2)	2.3%(-0.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; March 2010.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Coalville	3.7%	811	3.8%(-0.1)	3.9%(-0.2)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	3.5%	1208	3.7%(-0.2)	6.6%(-3.1)
Wigston	3.2%	485	3.2%(0.0)	3.0%(0.2)
Melton Mowbray	3.1%	493	3.2%(-0.1)	3.5%(-0.4)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	3.0%	1339	3.2%(-0.2)	3.0%(0.0)
Oadby	2.7%	379	2.7%(0.0)	2.4%(0.3)
Ashby de la Zouch	2.5%	180	2.4%(0.1)	2.8%(-0.3)
Market Harborough	2.2%	285	2.5%(-0.3)	3.0%(-0.8)
Oakham	2.1%	138	2.2%(-0.1)	1.8%(0.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; March 2010.

		18-24 ye	ears old		Over 25 years old			
	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18
Area	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months
Blaby	355	105	40	0	635	235	130	75
Charnwood	615	195	60	5	1,185	445	255	150
Harborough	215	50	10	0	475	140	70	40
Hinckley & Bosworth	430	125	45	0	830	265	155	100
Melton	185	35	10	5	340	90	65	30
NW Leicestershire	415	85	40	0	735	220	140	80
Oadby & Wigston	240	70	20	5	460	165	75	65
Leicester City	2,425	955	340	25	4,425	2,215	1,325	1,195
Leicestershire County	2,455	660	225	15	4,660	1,565	885	545
Rutland	95	15	10	0	180	50	20	15
Leicester Shire	4,880	1,615	565	40	9,085	3,780	2,210	1,740

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

### Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; <a href="https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP\_Ethnicity\_JSA.pdf">www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP\_Ethnicity\_JSA.pdf</a>

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; February 2010.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	1,360	25	25	85	10	145	75
Charnwood	2,570	40	25	260	50	375	155
Harborough	980	5	5	30	5	45	55
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,705	10	10	25	10	55	300
Melton	750	0	0	5	0	10	20
NW Leicestershire	1,645	10	5	5	0	25	80
Oadby & Wigston	790	20	25	220	5	265	40
Leicester City	7,040	375	1,205	3,345	365	5,290	775
Leicestershire County	9,800	115	95	625	80	920	735
Leicester Shire	16,840	490	1,300	3,970	445	6,210	1,510
East Midlands	95,370	1,935	4,070	6,095	1,270	13,370	6,405
Great Britain	1,268,790	25,965	87,735	79,980	27,320	221,005	104,100

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; February 2010.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.6%	2.3%	3.1%	2.1%	1.7%	2.2%
Charnwood	1.8%	2.1%	1.8%	2.4%	1.7%	2.2%
Harborough	1.3%	0.6%	1.3%	1.5%	1.3%	1.3%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.7%	1.1%	2.5%	1.0%	2.0%	1.3%
Melton	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%
NW Leicestershire	1.9%	1.4%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%
Oadby & Wigston	1.7%	2.5%	3.1%	2.9%	0.8%	2.7%
Leicester City	3.9%	5.3%	9.7%	4.0%	9.6%	4.9%
Leicestershire County	1.7%	1.8%	2.2%	2.2%	1.5%	2.0%
Leicester Shire	2.2%	3.6%	7.8%	3.5%	4.9%	4.1%
East Midlands	2.4%	3.5%	7.0%	3.0%	3.3%	3.8%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; February 2010.

					Ma	le			Fem	nale	
	Total	Previous month	Previous year	Aged <18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged < 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire											
'White'	16,840	16,945	15,275	50	3,625	6,630	2,085	25	1,620	2,080	725
'Non-white'	6,210	6,185	5,225	0	1,110	2,570	645	0	565	1,005	315
Leicester City											
'White'	7,040	7,000	5,945	25	1,565	2,885	765	15	670	845	270
'Non-white'	5,290	5,280	4,465	0	935	2,230	550	0	475	830	270
Leicestershire County											
'White'	9,805	9,945	9,335	25	2,060	3,745	1,325	10	950	1,235	455
'Non-white'	920	895	760	0	175	340	95	0	90	175	45

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; July 2008 - June 2009

	July 2008 - June 2009		July 2007 - June 2008	
Area	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unem- ployed	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed
United Kingdom	7.0%	2,095,900	5.3%	1,572,400
East Midlands	7.0%	155,500	5.5%	121,000
Leicester Shire	7.5%	35,400	6.3%	29,700
Leicester City	12.8%	17,900	11.6%	16,200
Leicestershire County	5.3%	17,500	4.1%	13,400

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

# Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; August 2009

		All be	enefits		Duration (proportion of all claimants)					
Area	Number	Rate	Previous year number	Previous year rate	<6mths	6mths - I yr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs	
Blaby	5,560	9.8	4,570	8.0	29%	12%	9%	15%	35%	
Charnwood	11,290	10.4	9,440	8.8	28%	13%	10%	15%	34%	
Harborough	4,090	8.3	3,340	6.8	30%	12%	9%	14%	36%	
Hinckley & Bosworth	7,300	11.3	5,950	9.2	28%	13%	10%	15%	34%	
Melton	2,820	9.4	2,300	7.7	28%	13%	9%	14%	35%	
NW Leicestershire	7,100	12.8	6,050	10.9	25%	12%	10%	15%	38%	
Oadby and Wigston	3,820	11.0	3,170	9.2	29%	12%	11%	14%	34%	
_										
Leicester City	41,890	21.8	36,980	19.4	26%	12%	11%	16%	35%	
Leicestershire County	41,970	10.5	34,830	8.7	28%	12%	10%	15%	35%	
Rutland	1,550	6.8	1,330	5.9	28%	10%	10%	15%	37%	
East Midlands	416,080	15.2	363,020	13.3	25%	11%	10%	15%	38%	
Great Britain	5,878,350	15.9	5,214,440	14.2	25%	11%	10%	15%	39%	

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; August 2009

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number Rate		Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Employment and Support Allowance & Incapacity Benefits	181,300	6.6%	16,330	8.5%	16,970	4.2%
Job Seeker	108,540	4.0%	12,840	6.7%	11,560	2.9%
Lone Parent	46,590	1.7%	5,780	3.0%	4,060	1.0%
Carer	31,900	1.2%	3,050	1.6%	3,560	0.9%
Disabled	29,000	1.1%	2,080	1.1%	3,480	0.9%
Others on income related benefit	12,320	0.4%	1,390	0.7%	1,360	0.3%
Bereaved	6,440	0.2%	430	0.2%	990	0.2%
Total	416,080	15.2%	41,890	21.8%	41,970	10.5%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) ESA & Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp

## **Definitions**

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

**Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions** - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

**Unemployment** (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

**Unemployment Rate** (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

**Labour Force Survey** - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

**Economically Active** - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

**Economically Inactive** - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

**Working Age Population** - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: <a href="https://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf">www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf</a>

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: <a href="https://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp">www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp</a>

	mant Count ( th 2010	(JSA) Ward Data						
· · · · ·	2010		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
I	31UBFY	Blaby South	85	85	85	4.5	1.6	3.1
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	60	59	63	2.4	1.6	2.0
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	81	98	86	2.4	1.4	2.0
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	30	30	33	1.8	2.8	2.3
5	31UBGC	Ellis	73	72	65	3.3	1.3	2.3
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	100	95	88	5.8	1.8	3.8
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	83	83	73	4.7	1.5	3.3
8	31UBGF	Forest	100	90	92	2.7	2.0	2.3
9	31UBGG	Millfield	57	56	51	6.2	2.5	4.5
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	48	50	41	2.6	1.3	2.0
- 11	31UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	85	85	100	3.4	1.6	2.6
12	31UBGK	Normanton	30	33	25	2.2	1.6	1.9
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone		97	87	4.1	2.0	3.1

65

183

142

120

140

1,583

76

180

141

117

137

1,584

62

177

145

131

153

1,557

2.4

5.6

3.6

3.3

4.2

3.6

1.7

2.4

1.9

2.1

1.9

1.8

2.1

**4**. I

2.9

2.7

3. I

2.8

Source: Office for National Statistics

14

15

16

17

18

3 I UBGM

3 IUBGN

3 I UBGP

31UBGQ

31UBGR

If you require further information please contact Joelle Bradly, Policy, Performance & Research, Chief Executive's Department, Leicestershire County Council on 0116 3055883.

**Pastures** 

Saxondale

Winstanley

Blaby District

Ravenhurst and Fosse

Stanton and Flamville

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

	nant Count (J h 2010	SA) Ward Data I	Charr	nwood Bo	rough			
11410	2010		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	2111666	<b>A</b>	114	117	105	4.2	1.0	2.0
1	31UCGG 31UCGH	Anstey	114	116	125	4.3	1.8	3.0
2 3	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West Birstall Wanlip	86	93	88	3.1	1.4	2.3
4	31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	76 114	77 129	71	3.0	1.9	2.5 2.9
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	29	38	110 50	3.8 2.2	0.9	
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	27	33	32	1.6	0.9	1.6 1.3
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	127	118	113	2.8	1.1	2.1
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and	127	110	113	2.0	1,1	2, 1
Ū	310001	Hathern*	105	123	132	3.8	1.4	2.6
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	88	98	112	3.0	1.2	2.2
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	282	286	237	9.9	3.3	6.8
-11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	208	221	218	6.2	2.8	4.6
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	33	31	37	0.8	0.9	0.8
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	43	54	49	1.7	0.8	1.3
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	211	201	195	6.3	2.4	4.4
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	101	103	107	2.5	1.4	2.0
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer $^st$	141	152	134	3.5	1.8	2.8
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	138	150	142	4.2	1.8	3.0
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	34	32	31	2.9	1.0	2.0
19	3 I U C H B	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	85	88	92	3.2	1.0	2.2
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	53	59	60	2.3	0.6	1.5
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	95	117	113	3.0	1.1	2.1
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	107	119	120	3.8	1.1	2.5
23	31UCHF	Sileby	153	171	166	4.6	1.8	3.3
24	31UCHG	Syston East	103	107	109	3.8	1.7	2.8
25	31UCHH	Syston West	119	130	140	4.0	1.8	2.9
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	28	24	24	2.1	0.9	1.5
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	193	204	242	5.1	1.5	3.4
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	30	27	25	2.4	0.9	1.7
		Charnwood Borough	2,923	3,101	3,074	3.6	1.5	2.7
		*Loughborough including Hathern	1339	1387	1334	4.1	1.8	3.0

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data March 2010			Harborough District					
Marc	n 2010		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
	31UDGD	Dillordon	17	1.4	10	2.0	1.0	
1 2	31UDGE	Billesdon Bosworth	16 30	16 33	10 30	2.0	1.0 1.2	1.5
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	21	20	34	2.6	0.7	2.1 1.4
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	29	30	29	2.1	1.0	1.4
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	25	31	24	2.8	1.4	2.1
6	31UDG	Broughton Astley - Sutton	26	29	37	2.8	1.0	2.1
7	31UDGK	Dunton	24	26	17	2.0	1.3	1.7
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	71	87	75	3.2	1.4	2.3
9	31UDGM	Glen	37	44	49	1.7	1.3	1.5
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	74	67	75	3.0	1.0	2.0
П	3 IUDGP	Lubenham	21	24	25	1.0	1.4	1.1
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	24	25	32	1.7	1.4	1.6
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	24	24	30	2.4	1.3	1.9
14	3 IUDGS	Lutterworth Springs	45	48	40	4.8	1.8	3.4
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	43	48	42	3.6	1.9	2.8
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	107	110	133	3.5	1.5	2.5
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little Bowden*	50	65	65	1.6	1.8	1.7
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	28	35	76	1.6	0.7	1.1
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	100	107	114	4.4	1.5	3.0
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	20	29	28	2.1	0.4	1.3
21	31UDHA	Nevill	25	23	25	3.0	0.8	2.0
22	31UDHB	Peatling	15	19	19	1.2	0.9	1.1
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	110	102	73	4.4	1.9	3.2
24	31UDHD	Tilton	11	12	19	1.1	0.7	0.9
25	3 IUDHE	Ullesthorpe	27	26	28	2.4	1.5	2.0
		Harborough District	1,003	1080	1,129	2.7	1.3	2.0
		* Market Harborough	285	317	388	3.0	1.4	2.2

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

# Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

### **Hinckley & Bosworth Borough**

Mar	ch 2010			_				
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
				month	year			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	36	40	45	2.2	1.0	1.6
2	31UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	37	42	49	2.5	1.0	1.7
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	252	265	247	6.0	2.8	4.5
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill*	117	109	99	4.6	2.4	3.6
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton*	94	96	115	2.2	1.1	1.7
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	30	36	37	2.2	0.8	1.5
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton*	282	292	292	6.6	3.0	4.9
8	31UEGC	Groby	87	86	80	2.9	1.2	2.1
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	174	183	186	5.6	2.3	4.1
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	181	207	203	4.5	1.7	3.1
11	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	188	209	196	4.2	2.1	3.2
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	172	172	199	5.6	2.6	4.2
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	68	84	79	2.8	1.3	2.1
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	103	110	127	2.9	1.2	2.1
15	3 I UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	110	106	115	3.5	1.8	2.7
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	27	28	30	1.8	1.2	1.5
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	1,958	2,065	2,099	<b>4</b> . I	1.9	3.0
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1208	1268	1290	4.8	2.1	3.5

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data  March 2010			Leice	ster City				
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	00FNNF	Abbey	680	690	655	12.1	4.4	8.3
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	355	363	327	8.2	2.3	5.3
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	855	865	755	11.8	4.3	8.1
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	462	<del>4</del> 77	448	7.9	5.0	6.5
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	835	867	775	12.0	4.2	8.1
6	00FNNL	Castle	868	872	733	8.6	3.0	5.9
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	655	653	584	12.7	4.9	8.8
8	00FNNN	Coleman	585	597	541	9.8	4.2	<b>7.</b> I
9	00FNNP	Evington	292	301	249	6.4	3.5	5.0
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	526	528	465	11.8	4.5	8.1
11	00FNNR	Fosse	478	481	417	7.9	3.8	6.0
12	00FNNS	Freemen	608	625	525	12.9	4.6	8.6
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	544	533	437	8.1	3.9	6.1
14	00FNNU	Knighton	300	315	284	4.6	1.7	3.2
15	00FNNW	Latimer	411	427	368	6.1	4.3	5.2
16	00FNNX	New Parks	87 I	908	726	13.2	5.0	9.1
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	490	488	432	6.2	3.4	4.9
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	1172	1141	1041	12.7	4.6	8.8
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	781	787	722	9.1	3.6	6.5
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	357	357	319	8.9	3.9	6.4
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	479	492	405	9.5	3.7	6.8
22	00FNPD	Western Park	341	338	305	7.6	3.0	5.5
		Leicester	12,945	13,105	11,513	9.4	3.9	6.7

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

## Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

**Melton Borough** 

Mar	ch 2010							
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based		month	year	%	%	%
	Couc	proportions)				70	,•	,0
		,						
I	31UGFT	Asfordby	61	64	67	4.3	1.7	3.0
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	41	41	56	2.9	1.1	2.0
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	18	18	23	1.5	1.7	1.6
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	16	16	20	1.4	1.6	1.5
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	13	П	23	1.7	0.9	1.3
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	34	31	43	1.7	0.9	1.3
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	70	69	72	3.8	2.5	3.2
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	80	87	98	3.3	1.4	2.4
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	112	113	109	7.1	2.6	4.8
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	75	78	82	4.0	1.2	2.7
П	31UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	78	84	111	3.4	1.3	2.4
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	78	73	84	5.1	2.1	3.7
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	28	25	28	2.9	1.6	2.3
14	31UGGH	Somerby	16	19	21	2.1	8.0	1.5
15	31UGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	14	14	12	1.4	1.5	1.5
16	31UGGK	Wymondham	29	30	25	3.0	2.8	2.9
		Melton Borough	763	773	874	3.4	1.6	2.6
<i>''</i> =		* Melton Mowbray	493	504	556	4.3	1.8	3.1

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data  North West Leicestershire District						ct		
Mar	rch 2010		Total	Last month	Last year	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		monen	year	%	%	%
	2111157		22	0.7	20	2.2		1.0
ı	3 IUHFZ	Appleby	23	27	30	2.3	1.2	1.8
2	3 IUHGA	Ashby Castle*	18	20	28	1.6	0.0	1.1
3	3 I UHGB	Ashby Holywell*	89	84	99	4.0	1.9	3.0
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	73	67	73	4.0	1.5	2.8
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	38	46	60	2.5	1.1	1.8
6	31UHGE	Breedon	20	25	31	1.8	0.9	1.4
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	140	150	134	4.3	2.0	3.3
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	158	152	150	6.7	3.1	5.0
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	232	232	204	7.8	3.3	5.7
10	3 I U H G J	Hugglescote**	92	96	100	4.4	1.7	3.1
П	31UHGK	Ibstock and Heather	143	145	152	4.7	1.8	3.3
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	64	57	60	3.1	1.3	2.3
13	31UHGM	Measham	102	106	125	4.5	1.7	3.2
14	31UHGN	Moira	64	71	84	2.4	2.0	2.2
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	49	47	39	4.6	1.2	2.9
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	31	30	35	2.6	0.0	2.1
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	98	106	121	3.9	2.0	3.0
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	82	86	79	3.7	2.4	3.1
19	31UHGT	Valley	93	98	84	4.5	2.3	3.5
20	3 I U H G U	Whitwick**	111	109	151	3.4	2.1	2.8
		NW Leicestershire District	1,720	1,754	1,839	4.1	1.9	3.1
		* Ashby de la Zouch	180	171	200	3.5	1.5	2.5
		** Coalville	811	827	865	4.9	2.3	3.7

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

## Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

### Oadby & Wigston Borough

Mar	ch 2010							
			Total	Last	Last	Male I	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	63	75	57	3.5	2.2	2.9
2	•	Oadby Grange*	61	59	53	1.5	1.1	1.3
3	•	Oadby St. Peter's*	108	112	84	7.1	3.1	5.0
4	3 I ÚJFP	Oadby Uplands*	78	70	92	4.0	2.1	3.1
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	69	68	59	2.8	1.8	2.3
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	238	235	212	7.6	2.7	5.1
7	, -	Wigston All Saints**	109	98	97	3.9	2.1	3.0
8	,	Wigston Fields**	173	182	169	6.9	2.1	4.6
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	101	100	96	3.5	1.3	2.5
10	31UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	102	99	92	3.8	1.6	2.8
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	1,102	1,098	1,011	4.3	1.9	3.2
		* Oadby	379	384	345	3.4	1.9	2.7
		** Wigston	485	479	454	4.5	1.8	3.2

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data March 2010				Rutland				
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
I	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	15	14	10	1.6	2.6	2.1
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	20	19	28	1.2	0.9	1.1
3	00FPMU	Exton	20	17	21	1.5	1.4	1.5
4	00FPMVV	Greetham	14	16	18	0.7	1.8	1.0
5	00FPMX	Ketton	23	24	20	1.7	1.5	1.6
6	00FPMY	Langham	17	15	14	3.4	0.8	2.2
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	8	8	10	1.3	0.8	1.0
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	9	П	11	2.3	#	1.4
9	00FPNB	Normanton	29	30	31	1.6	1.5	1.6
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	43	44	34	3.0	1.4	2.2
11	00FPND	Oakham North West*	48	40	27	2.9	2.2	2.6
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	19	22	21	2.2	0.6	1.4
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	28	34	36	2.6	1.6	2.2
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	36	37	30	2.8	1.2	2.1
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	44	48	46	2.3	0.6	1.6
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	18	24	16	2.0	2.5	2.2
		Rutland	391	403	373	2.0	1.3	1.7
<i></i> <b></b>		*Oakham	138	140	118	2.7	1.5	2.1

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics