# Unemployment Bulletin May 2008





## Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<a href="www.nomisweb.co.uk">www.nomisweb.co.uk</a>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: May 2008

Area	Claimant	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	2.1%	2.9%	1.3%	12,349	233	2.1% (0.0)	2.5%(-0.4)
Leicestershire County	1.2%	1.6%	0.7%	4,678	47	1.2% (0.0)	1.5%(-0.3)
Leicester City	4.1%	5.7%	2.4%	7,671	186	4.0% (0.1)	4.7%(-0.7)
Rutland	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%	143	2	0.6% (0.0)	0.6%(0.0)
East Midlands	2.1%	2.9%	1.2%	56,067	98	2.1% (0.0)	2.3%(-0.2)
UK	2.2%	3.1%	1.2%	831,851	-3,334	2.2% (0.0)	2.4%(-0.2)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the May 2008 unemployment figures on Wednesday 16th July 2008.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council, the LSEP and partners are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <a href="https://www.lsr-online.org">www.lsr-online.org</a>

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: May 2008

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	1.5%	501	6	1.5% (0.1)	1.8%(-0.3)
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.4%	877	-15	1.4% (0.0)	1.6%-0.2)
Charnwood	1.3%	1,375	22	1.3% (0.0)	1.6%(-0.3)
NW Leicestershire	1.2%	681	7	1.2% (0.0)	1.6%(-0.4)
Blaby	1.0%	592	-11	1.1% (-0.1)	1.3%(-0.3)
Melton	0.9%	274	32	0.8% (0.1)	1.3%(-0.4)
Harborough	0.8%	378	6	0.8% (0.0)	0.9%(-0.1)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; May 2008.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	1.7%	665	1.7% (0.0)	2.0%(-0.3)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1.7%	551	1.4% (0.3)	2.0%(-0.3)
Coalville	1.6%	323	1.5% (-0.1)	2.2%(-0.6)
Wigston	1.5%	224	1.4% (-0.1)	1.6%(-0.1)
Oadby	1.1%	153	1.2% (-0.1)	1.6%(-0.5)
Melton Mowbray	1.1%	174	0.9%(0.2)	1.5%(-0.4)
Market Harborough	1.0%	126	1.0%(0.0)	1.3%(-0.3)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.0%	72	1.1%(-0.1)	1.2%(-0.2)
Oakham	0.7%	45	0.6%(0.1)	0.6%(0.1)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; May 2008.

		18-24 ye	ears old			years old			
A	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	
Area									
Blaby	145	15	0	0	320	60	25	20	
Charnwood	355	60	0	0	655	170	65	40	
Harborough	95	15	0	0	200	45	10	10	
Hinckley & Bosworth	215	35	0	0	470	95	30	25	
Melton	75	10	0	0	140	30	10	10	
NW Leicestershire	175	20	5	0	350	70	30	20	
Oadby & Wigston	105	20	0	0	275	55	25	20	
Leicester City	1,745	300	10	5	3,560	1,125	480	355	
Leicestershire County	1,165	175	10	5	2,400	525	200	145	
Rutland	40	5	0	0	70	20	5	5	
Leicester Shire	2,910	475	20	10	5,960	1,650	675	505	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

#### Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; <a href="https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP">www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP</a> Ethnicity JSA.pdf

Please note that the ethnicity figures have been temporarily suspended pending a review by Department of Work and Pension and therefore the latest figures available are from January 2008.

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; April 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	500	5	5	35	10	55	15
Charnwood	1,070	15	10	135	25	185	25
Harborough	325	5	0	15	0	25	5
Hinckley & Bosworth	765	5	5	10	5	20	15
Melton	230	0	0	0	0	0	0
NW Leicestershire	625	0	0	0	0	5	5
Oadby & Wigston	330	10	10	105	5	130	15
Leicester City	3,710	200	700	1,975	240	3,115	325
Leicestershire County	3,840	40	30	295	50	420	75
Leicester Shire	7,550	240	730	2,270	290	3,530	1,030
East Midlands	44,410	975	2,265	3,440	825	7,550	3,820
Great Britain	603,800	12,975	51,090	45,695	18,180	127,940	76,110

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; April 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.9%	1.7%	0.8%
Charnwood	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%
Harborough	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%
Hinckley & Bosworth	0.8%	0.6%	1.3%	0.4%	1.0%	0.5%
Melton	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NW Leicestershire	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Oadby & Wigston	0.7%	1.3%	1.3%	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%
Leicester City	2.1%	2.8%	5.6%	2.4%	6.3%	2.9%
Leicestershire County	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%
Leicester Shire	1.0%	1.8%	4.4%	2.0%	3.2%	2.3%
East Midlands	1.1%	1.8%	3.9%	1.7%	2.2%	2.1%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; April 2008.

			Male				Female			
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	
Leicester Shire										
'White'	7,700	70	1,520	2,915	970	50	715	910	400	
'Non-white'	3,520	5	520	1,515	405	0	255	540	295	
Leicester City										
'White'	3,333	45	775	1,510	435	30	345	395	170	
'Non-white'	3,095	5	455	1,340	360	0	220	460	265	
Leicestershire County										
'White'	3,970	30	740	1,405	530	20	370	515	230	
'Non-white'	470	0	65	175	40	0	30	80	25	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### **Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition**

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; October 2006 - September 2007

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (April 2008)
United Kingdom	5.3%	1,531,900	831,851
East Midlands	5.1%	106,900	56,067
Leicester Shire	5.2%	23,600	12,349
Leicester City	9.1%	12,200	7,671
Leicestershire County	3.5%	11,400	4,678

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; November 2007

	All ben	efits	Duration (proportion of all claimants)					
Area	Number	Rate	te <6mths 6mths - lyr lyr - 2		lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs	
Blaby	4,440	7.8%	17%	9%	10%	18%	46%	
Charnwood	8,880	8.4%	20%	8%	11%	19%	42%	
Harborough	3,170	6.4%	18%	8%	10%	18%	46%	
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,750	8.9%	20%	8%	11%	19%	42%	
Melton	2,250	7.5%	18%	7%	10%	19%	45%	
NW Leicestershire	6,040	11.0%	17%	7%	10%	18%	47%	
Oadby and Wigston	3,040	8.9%	20%	8%	10%	18%	43%	
Leicester City	36,030	19.2%	21%	9%	11%	18%	41%	
Leicestershire County	33,550	8.5%	19%	8%	10%	18%	44%	
Rutland	1,250	5.5%	18%	10%	10%	17%	46%	
East Midlands	355,320	13.1%	18%	8%	10%	18%	45%	
Great Britain	5,185,450	14.2%	18%	8%	10%	18%	46%	

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; November 2007

	East Midlands		Leicester (	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Incapacity Benefits	179,100	6.6%	15,840	8.4%	16,510	4.2%
Job Seeker	50,150	1.8%	7,050	3.7%	4,380	1.1%
Lone Parent	46,030	1.7%	6,080	3.2%	3,710	0.9%
Carer	29,510	1.1%	2,710	1.4%	3,240	0.8%
Disabled	27,220	1.0%	1,940	1.0%	3,330	0.8%
Others on income related benefit	10,370	0.4%	1,270	0.7%	1,050	0.3%
Bereaved	7,600	0.3%	480	0.3%	1,160	0.3%
Total	349,990	12.9%	35,360	18.8%	33,380	8.4%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp

#### **Definitions**

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

**Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions** - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

**Unemployment** (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

**Unemployment Rate** (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

**Economically Active** - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

**Economically Inactive** - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year population estimates which are compatible with the 2001 Census.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: <a href="https://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf">www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf</a>

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: <a href="https://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp">www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp</a>

	mant Count 2008	(JSA) Ward Data		Blaby I	District			
ı ıay	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
I	31UBFY	Blaby South	26	Ш	37	1.7	8.0	1.3
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	13	10	23	0.8	0.7	0.7
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	21	5	26	1.0	0.2	0.6
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	5	4	9	0.7	0.6	0.7
5	31UBGC	Ellis	20	4	24	1.2	0.3	0.8
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	28	5	33	2.3	0.4	1.4
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	14	7	21	1.0	0.5	0.8
8	31UBGF	Forest	19	13	32	0.9	0.6	0.8
9	31UBGG	Millfield	15	6	21	2.3	1.0	1.7
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	13	7	20	1.1	0.6	0.9
11	31UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	22	10	32	1.3	0.6	1.0
12	3 I UBGK	Normanton	7	#	8	0.8	#	0.5
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	24	П	35	1.5	0.7	1.1
14	31UBGM	Pastures	19	16	35	1.1	1.0	1.1
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	50	22	72	2.2	1.1	1.7
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	33	11	44	1.2	0.6	0.9
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville		18	62	1.9	0.8	1.4
18	3 I UBGR	Winstanley		19	58	2.0	1.1	1.6
		Blaby District		180	592	1.4	0.7	1.0

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Clain May 2		SA) Ward Data I	Char	nwood Bo	rough			
_	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	3111666	A	40	1.4	- 4	2.2	0.0	
1	31UCGG 31UCGH	Anstey	40	14	54	2.2	0.8	1.5
2		Barrow and Sileby West	17	9	26	0.9	0.5	0.7
3	31UCGK	Birstall Wanlip Birstall Watermead	24	13	37	1.6	0.9	1.3
=	31UCGK 31UCGL		31	17	48	1.6	0.9	1.3
5		East Goscote	8	6	14	0.8	0.6	0.7
6	3 I UCCN	Forest Bradgate	7	5	12	0.8	0.6	0.7
7	3 I UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	46	19	65	1.4	0.8	1.2
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	38	17	55	1.9	0.9	1.4
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	31	17	45	1.5	0.7	1.1
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	116	33	149	6.3	2.0	4.2
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	106	30	136	5.5	1.8	3.8
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	6	7	13	0.3	0.4	0.3
13	3 I U C G U	Loughborough Outwoods*	17	6	23	1.0	0.1	0.7
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	57	33	90	3.0	1.7	2.4
15	3 IUCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	30	8	38	1.5	0.5	1.0
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer*	36	15	51	1.6	0.8	1.3
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	44	28	72	2.0	1.4	1.7
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	9	8	17	1.0	1.0	1.0
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	32	14	46	1.7	0.8	1.2
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	18	7	25	1.0	0.4	0.7
21	31UCHD	, Shepshed East	36	13	49	1.6	0.6	1.2
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	29	9	38	1.4	0.5	0.9
23	31UCHF	Sileby	38	24	62	1.8	1.2	1.6
24	31UCHG	Syston East	21	12	33	1.2	0.7	0.9
25	31UCHH	Syston West	38	20	58	2.0	1.1	1.6
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	9	8	17	1.0	1.0	1.0
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	64	30	94	2.2	1.2	1.7
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	5	3	8	0.6	0.4	0.5
		Charnwood Borough	953	422	1,375	1.7	0.9	1.3
		*Loughborough including Hathern	483	182	665	2.3	1.0	1.7

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Carry (ICA) Mand Data

Clain May 2	nant Count (J 2008	Harbo	rough [	District				
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male F	emale	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	311 IDCD	D:lloodon		4	10		0.0	
ı	31UDGD	Billesdon	6	4	10	1.2	0.9	1.0
2	3 IUDGE	Bosworth	3	#	5	0.4	#	0.4
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	7	4	11	0.9	0.5	0.7
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	11	4	15	1.4	0.5	1.0
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	8	5	13	1.3	0.9	1.1
6	3 I UDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	8	3	Ш	1.2	0.5	0.8
7	31UDGK	Dunton	7	#	9	1.0	#	0.6
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	17	4	21	1.1	0.3	0.7
9	3 IUDGM	Glen	10	9	19	0.8	0.8	0.8
10	3 IUDGN	Kibworth	18	5	23	1.0	0.3	0.6
11	3 IUDGP	Lubenham	7	#	9	0.7	#	0.6
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	5	#	6	0.7	#	0.4
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	9	#	Ш	1.4	#	0.9
14	31UDGS	Lutterworth Springs	16	4	20	2.5	0.7	1.6
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	10	#	12	1.6	#	1.0
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	27	15	42	1.3	0.8	1.1
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little Bowden*	13	7	20	1.0	0.6	0.8
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	10	6	16	0.8	0.5	0.6
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	38	10	48	2.3	0.6	1.5
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	3	#	5	0.4	#	0.3
21	31UDHA	Nevill	5	#	6	0.8	#	0.5
22	31UDHB	Peatling	#	0	#	#	0.0	#
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	18	10	28	0.9	0.5	0.7
24	31UDHD	, Tilton	7	#	8	1,1	#	0.7
25	3 I U D H E	Ullesthorpe	7	#	9	1.0	#	0.7
		Harborough District	271	107	378	1.0	0.5	0.8
		* Market Harborough	88	38	126	1.4	0.6	1.0

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

#### Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

## **Hinckley & Bosworth Borough**

	2008	(ISA) Ward Data						
,			Male	Female	Total		Female	
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
ı	3 I UEFU	Ambien	11	10	21	0.9		1.0
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	12	5	17	1.1	0.5	0.8
3	31UEFX	Barwell	91	31	122	3.1	1.2	2.2
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	41	17	58	2.3	1.1	1.7
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	32	24	56	1.1	0.9	1.0
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	8	4	12	0.8	0.5	0.6
7	3 I UEGB	Earl Shilton	89	37	126	3.1	1.4	2.3
8	3 I UEGC	Groby	29	8	37	1.3	0.4	0.9
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	63	29	92	3.1	1.7	2.5
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	32	25	57	1.3	1.1	1.2
П	3 I UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	50	26	76	1.6	0.9	1.3
12	3 I UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	65	21	86	3.0	1.0	2.0
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	23	7	30	1.3	0.4	0.9
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
	,	Peckleton	28	9	37	1.1	0.4	0.8
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	26	14	40	1.5	0.8	1.1
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	9	#	10	0.9		0.5
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	609	268	877	1.8		1.4
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	372	179	551	2.2	1.1	1.7
44 🖚	c.							

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data  May 2008			Leice	ster City				
мау	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
		ргорог столзу						
I	00FNNF	Abbey	333	133	466	8.7	3.7	6.2
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	119	43	162	3.5	1.3	2.5
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	405	140	545	9.0	3.0	5.9
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	188	130	318	5.8	4.0	4.9
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	318	117	435	6.7	2.4	4.5
6	00FNNL	Castle	416	112	528	6.9	2.2	4.7
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	334	113	447	10.3	3.5	6.9
8	00FNNN	Coleman	263	126	389	6.8	3.4	5.1
9	00FNNP	Evington	116	48	164	4.2	1.8	3.0
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	193	74	267	6.4	2.4	4.4
П	00FNNR	Fosse	171	65	236	4.5	1.9	3.3
12	00FNNS	Freemen	253	90	343	7.9	2.6	5.2
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	164	64	228	4.4	1.9	3.2
14	00FNNU	Knighton	116	47	163	2.2	1.0	1.6
15	00FNNW	Latimer	189	97	286	5.1	2.7	3.9
16	00FNNX	New Parks	389	128	517	8.8	2.8	5.7
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	153	135	288	3.0	2.9	2.9
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	626	195	82 I	8.9	3.0	6.1
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	362	154	516	5.9	2.7	4.4
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	133	45	178	4.9	1.7	3.3
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	167	64	231	4.8	1.9	3.4
22	00FNPD	Western Park	105	38	143	3.2	1.3	2.3
		Leicester	5,513	2,158	7,671	5.7	2.4	4.1

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data May 2008				Melton B	orough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
1	31UGFT	Asfordby	13	8	21	1.3	0.8	1.1
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	13	#	13			1.1
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial			9	1.0	#	0.6
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	6	3		1.1	0.6	0.9
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	3	3	6	0.5	0.6	0.5
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern		0	4	0.8	0.0	0.4
	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	10	6	16	0.8	0.5	0.7
<b>7</b> 8			14	5	19	1.1	0.5	8.0
	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	20		31	1.2	0.7	1.0
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	30	12	42	2.6	1.1	1.9
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	12	12	24	0.8	0.8	0.8
11	3 I UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	26	Ш	37	1.6	0.7	1.2
12	3 I UGGF	Melton Warwick*	17	4	21	1.7	0.4	1.1
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	5	4	9	0.8	0.7	0.8
14	31UGGH	Somerby	#	4	6	#	8.0	0.5
15	3 IUGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	3	6	9	0.6	1.3	0.9
16	31UGGK	Wymondham	6	#	7	1.1	#	0.7
		Melton Borough	182	92	274	1.2	0.6	0.9
		* Melton Mowbray	119	55	174	1.5	0.7	1.1

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	imant Cour , 2008	nt (JSA) Ward Data	North West Leicestershire District					
			Male	<b>Female</b>	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	31UHFZ	Appleby	4	3	7	0.6	0.5	0.6
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	I	0	10	1.1	0.0	0.6
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*	27	7	34	1.8	0.5	1.2
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*		9	28	1.4	0.7	1.1
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	9	0	9	1.2	0.0	0.6
6	31UHGE	Breedon	14	4	18	1.8	0.6	1.2
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	36	16	52	1.6	0.8	1.2
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	50	15	65	3.5	1.2	2.4
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	84	24	108	4.1	1.3	2.7
10	3 I UHGJ	Hugglescote**	23	5	28	1.7	0.4	1.1
11	31UHGK	lbstock and Heather	41	16	57	1.9	0.8	1.4
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	17	7	24	1.2	0.6	0.9
13	31UHGM	Measham	27	5	32	1.7	0.3	1.0
14	31UHGN	Moira	22	13	35	1.5	1.0	1.2
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	13	5	18	1.7	0.7	1.2
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	7	0	10	0.9	0.0	0.7
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	24	10	34	1.5	0.7	1.1
18	31UHGS	$Thringstone^{**}$	21	13	34	1.4	1.0	1.2
19	31UHGT	Valley	24	9	33	1.8	0.7	1.3
20	31UHGU	Whitwick**	31	14	45	1.5	0.7	1.1
		NW Leicestershire District	502	179	681	1.7	0.7	1.2
		* Ashby de la Zouch	47	16	72	1.3	0.5	1.0
		** Coalville	242	81	323	2.2	0.8	1.6

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

# Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

## Oadby & Wigston Borough

May	2008							
			Male	Female	Total	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	22	12	34	1.9	1.1	1.5
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	19	12	31	0.9	0.6	0.7
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	28	7	35	2.7	0.7	1.7
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	23	10	33	1.8	8.0	1.3
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	16	4	20	1.2	0.3	0.8
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	90	34	124	3.9	1.5	2.7
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	33	14	47	1.6	0.8	1.2
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	51	23	74	2.7	1.3	2.0
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	46	14	60	2.1	0.7	1.4
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	34	9	43	1.8	0.5	1.2
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	362	139	501	2.1	0.8	1.5
		* Oadby	108	45	153	1.6	0.7	1.1
		** Wigston	164	60	224	2.0	0.8	1.5

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	imant Coun y 2008	t (JSA) Ward Data		Rutland				
			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	F	0	-		0.0	0.7
	00FPMT		5	0	5	1.3	0.0	0.7
2		Cottesmore	5	#	6	0.5	#	0.3
3	00FPMU	Exton	3	#	5	0.4	#	0.4
4	00FPMW	Greetham	4	#	5	0.4	#	0.4
5	00FPMX	Ketton	#	5	7	#	0.8	0.5
6	00FPMY	Langham	#	0	#	#	0.0	#
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	4	0	4	1.0	0.0	0.5
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	6	#	7	1.7	#	1.0
9	00FPNB	Normanton	8	7	15	0.7	0.9	0.8
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	8	5	13	0.9	0.6	0.7
11	00FPND	Oakham North West*	5	7	12	0.5	0.8	0.7
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	5	#	7	0.8	#	0.6
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	- 11	#	13	1.6	#	1.0
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	10	3	13	1.2	0.4	0.8
15	00FPNH	, Uppingham	19	5	24	1.5	0.5	1.0
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	4	#	5	1.1	#	0.7
		Rutland	101	42	143	0.8	0.4	0.6
		Rudand		12	3	0.0	<b>V.</b> I	0.0
		*Oakham	29	12	45	0.9	0.4	0.7

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.