
Unemployment Bulletin November 2009




Recession Summary

This additional front page provides a monthly snapshot of the economic downturn at a local level. JSA claimants and vacancies are used to exemplify recent change and trends over the past 12 months. Following tables have also been adapted to include monthly and annual comparisons.



Key points

- There were 23,985 people claiming JSA benefits in the sub-region in November 2009; compared to 25,222 in October 2009 and 15,632 in November 2008.
- The JSA claimant numbers in November 2009 decreased by 590 in the City to a rate of 6.7%. In the County, claimants decreased by 647 to a rate of 2.8%. Claimant rates decreased in all districts.
- Lutterworth ward saw the largest monthly increase in JSA rates in the County (+ 21 claimants). No wards in the City saw claimant increases this month.
- In the City, Evington and Abbey wards saw the largest claimant *decreases* this month (-38 claimants and -52 claimants respectively). New Parks ward now has the highest claimant rate of 9%
- In the sub-region, there were 6,184 notified vacancies in November 2009 compared to 5,863 the previous month. There were 4,232 unfilled live vacancies in November. This is a ratio of 5.7 claimants per unfilled vacancy, compared to 5.2 the previous month.
- NEET rates increased this month in the City but decreased in the County. 7.7% of 16-18 year olds in the City and 3.9% in the County were NEET in November 2009, lower than rates for November last year.



Unemployment Bulletin November 2009



Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<u>www.nomisweb.co.uk</u>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Area	Clain		unt Pro- portion king age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Change since last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female					
Leicester Shire	4.1%	5.5%	2.4%	23,985	-1,237	8,353	4.3%(-0.2)	2.7%(1.4)
Leicestershire County	2.8%	3.7%	1.7%	11,177	-647	4,324	3.0%(-0.2)	1.7%(1.1)
Leicester City	6.7%	9.3%	3.9%	12,808	-590	4,029	7.0%(-0.3)	4.6%(2.1)
Rutland	1.7%	2.0%	1.2%	378	10	190	1.6%(0.1)	0.8%(0.9)
East Midlands	4.0%	5.5%	2.3%	109,902	-1,696	37,178	4.1%(-0.1)	2.7%(1.3)
UK	4.1%	5.7%	2.4%	1,569,446	-13,109	513,301	4.2%(-0.1)	2.8%(1.3)

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: November 2009

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the December 2009 unemployment figures on Wednesday 20th January 2010.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <u>www.lsr-online.org</u>

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Total last month	Total last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
NW Leicestershire	3.1%	1,704	1,746	1,093	3.2%(-0.1)	2.0%(1.1)
Oadby & Wigston	3.0%	1,047	1,122	667	3.2%(-0.2)	l. 9% (l.l)
Hinckley & Bosworth	3.0%	1,957	2,075	1,201	3.2%(-0.2)	1.6%(1.4)
Charnwood	2.9%	3,135	3,296	I,896	3.0%(-0.1)	1.8%(1.1)
Blaby	2.7%	1,512	1,650	918	2.9%(-0.2)	1.6%(1.1)
Melton	2.4%	719	776	447	2.6%(-0.2)	1.5%(0.9)
Harborough	2.2%	1,103	1,159	631	2.3%(-0.1)	1.3%(0.9)

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: November 2009.

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; November 2009.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	3.5%	1,215	3.8%(-0.3)	2.3%(1.2)
Coalville	3.5%	764	3.6%(-0.1)	2.6%(0.9)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	3.2%	1,403	3.0%(0.2)	2.3%(0.9)
Wigston	3.0%	451	3.2%(-0.2)	l. 9 %(l.l)
Melton Mowbray	2.9%	469	3.3%(-0.4)	1.8%(1.1)
Ashby de la Zouch	2.6%	182	2.8%(-0.2)	1.5%(1.1)
Oadby	2.5%	352	2.7%(-0.2)	1.7%(0.8)
Market Harborough	2.5%	324	2.7%(-0.2)	I.8%(0.7)
Oakham	1.8%	114	I.8%(0.0)	0.8%(1.0)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; November 2009.

		18-24 ye	ears old			Over 25	years old	
Area	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months
Blaby	355	95	20	0	650	250	95	50
Charnwood	750	185	30	5	I,285	545	210	100
Harborough	260	50	10	0	530	165	55	30
Hinckley & Bosworth	455	120	15	0	840	330	125	65
Melton	170	40	10	0	310	125	45	20
NW Leicestershire	415	80	20	0	770	250	110	50
Oadby & Wigston	255	70	10	0	420	165	80	40
Leicester City	2,795	890	120	15	4,605	2,385	1,140	800
Leicestershire County	2,655	640	115	10	4,805	1,830	725	350
Rutland	100	20	0	0	175	55	15	10
Leicester Shire	5,450	1,530	235	25	9,410	4,215	1,865	1,155

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP_Ethnicity_JSA.pdf

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	1,385	25	25	115	15	180	85
Charnwood	2,715	40	25	270	55	390	190
Harborough	1,020	10	5	35	5	55	80
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,700	15	5	20	15	55	325
Melton	740	5	5	5	0	10	25
NW Leicestershire	1,640	10	5	5	0	25	80
Oadby & Wigston	810	25	20	210	10	265	50
Leicester City	7,020	385	1,190	3,645	355	5,575	805
Leicestershire County	10,015	135	90	655	95	975	830
Leicester Shire	17,035	520	1,275	4,305	450	6,550	1,640
East Midlands	91,325	1,895	3,990	6,425	1,230	13,540	6,465
Great Britain	1,202,805	24,970	85,045	80,635	26,695	217,345	104,555

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; October 2009.

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; October 2009.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.6%	2.3%	3.1%	2.9%	2.5%	2.8%
Charnwood	1.9%	2.1%	1.8%	2.5%	I. 9 %	2.3%
Harborough	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.8%	1.3%	1.5%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.7%	1.7%	1.3%	0.8%	3.0%	1.3%
Melton	1.6%	1.7%	2.5%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%
NW Leicestershire	1.9%	1.4%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%
Oadby & Wigston	1.7%	3.1%	2.5%	2.8%	1.7%	2.7%
Leicester City	3.9%	5.4%	9.6%	4.3%	9.3%	5.2%
Leicestershire County	I.7%	2.1%	2.1%	2.3%	I.8%	2.2%
Leicester Shire	2.2%	3.9%	7.6%	3.8%	4.9%	4.3%
East Midlands	2.3%	3.4%	6.9%	3.2%	3.2%	3.8%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; October 2009.

					Ma	le			Fen	nale	
	Total	Previous month	Previous year	Aged <18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged < 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire											
'White'	17,035	16,780	9,430	45	3,665	6,540	2,150	25	1,730	2,115	765
'Non-white'	6,555	6,447	4,030	5	1,190	2,630	650	5	720	1,005	350
Leicester City											
'White'	7,025	6,825	4,320	30	1,560	2,845	775	20	690	835	270
'Non-white'	5,570	5,475	3,540	5	1,005	2,285	545	0	600	830	300
Leicestershire County											
'White'	10,015	9,960	5,105	20	2,105	3,695	1,370	5	1,045	1,280	495
'Non-white'	975	985	495	0	185	345	105	0	120	170	50

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; April 2008 - March 2009

	April 2008 - March 2009		April 2007 - March 2008	
Area	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unem- ployed	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed
United Kingdom	6.4%	1,891,400	5.3%	١,566,700
East Midlands	6.6%	144,800	5.4%	117,300
Leicester Shire	7.3%	34,400	6.1%	28,500
Leicester City	12.8%	17,800	11.2%	15,800
Leicestershire County	5.0%	16,700	3.9%	12,700

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

		All be	enefits		Du	ration (pro	portion of al	l claimants)
Area	Number	Rate	Previous year number	Previous year rate	<6mths	6mths - I yr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	5,440	9.6	4,390	7.7	30%	10%	8%	15%	36%
Charnwood	11,100	10.2	9,100	8.5	30%	11%	10%	15%	35%
Harborough	4,040	8.2	3,200	6.5	31%	10%	8%	14%	36%
Hinckley & Bosworth	7,260	11.2	5,780	8.9	30%	11%	9%	15%	34%
Melton	2,870	9.6	2,210	7.4	32%	11%	9%	13%	35%
NW Leicestershire	7,070	12.8	5,970	10.8	27%	10%	9%	15%	39%
Oadby and	3,740	10.8	3,090	9.0	30%	11%	10%	14%	34%
Leicester City	41,050	21.4	36,140	18.9	27%	11%	11%	16%	36%
Leicestershire County	41,510	10.4	33,730	8.5	30%	10%	9 %	15%	36%
Rutland	1,550	6.8	1,270	5.6	28%	10%	10%	15%	37%
East Midlands	411,900	15.0	355,170	13.0	26%	11%	9%	15%	39%
Great Britain	5,818,730	15.7	5,124,110	13.9	25%	10%	9 %	15%	40%

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; May 2009

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

ı

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; May 2009

	East Midla	nds	Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Employment and Support Allowance & Incapacity Benefits	179,470	6.5%	16,050	8.4%	١6,690	4.2%
Job Seeker	106,600	3.9%	12,130	6.3%	11,530	2.9%
Lone Parent	46,740	1.7%	5,870	3.1%	3,930	۱.0%
Carer	31,490	1.1%	3,010	1.6%	3,500	0.9%
Disabled	28,890	1.1%	2,110	1.1%	3,480	0.9%
Others on income related benefit	12,140	0.4%	1,430	0.7%	1,370	0.3%
Bereaved	6,570	0.2%	450	0.2%	1,020	0.3%
Total	411,900	15.0%	41,050	21.4%	41,510	10.4%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) ESA & Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

For enquiries about the Unemployment Bulletin please contact Joelle Bradly on 0116 305 7341

Blaby District

			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Tota
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
1	31UBFY	Blaby South	84	92	54	4.9	1.1	3.1
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	57	66	56 32	2.3	1.1	J.1
3	3IUBGA	Countesthorpe	94	94	42	2.3	1.5	2.3
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	31	30	20	2.8	2.2	2.4
5	3IUBGC	Ellis	70	81	48	3.2	1.2	2.2
6	3IUBGD	Enderby and St. John's	97	97	55	5.6	1.2	3.7
7	3IUBGE	Fairestone	75	80	46	4.2	1.7	2.9
. 8	3IUBGF	Forest	89	106	48	2.6	1.6	2.
9	3IUBGG	Millfield	52	57	34	5.5	2.5	4.
10	3IUBGH	Muxloe	53	59	29	3.0	1.4	2.2
	31UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	82	85	48	3.4	1.4	2.
12	31UBGK	Normanton	33	33	12	1.9	2.2	2.
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	86	92	52	3.5	1.8	2.7
14	31UBGM	Pastures	71	72	37	2.6	1.9	2.1
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	175	195	104	5.3	2.4	3.9
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	130	145	82	3.1	2.0	2.7
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	100	114	80	2.7	1.8	2.3
18	31UBGR	Winstanley	133	152	93	3.6	2.2	3.0
		, Blaby District	1,512	1,650	918	3.4	1.8	2.7

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data	
October 2009	

Octob	er 2009		Total	Last month	Last	Male	Female	Total
C	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
1	3IUCGG	Anstey	126	122	66	4.8	1.8	3.4
2	3IUCGH	Barrow and Sileby West	91	103	53	2.9	2.0	2.5
3	3IUCG	Birstall Wanlip	80	83	41	3.2	1.9	2.6
4	3IUCGK	Birstall Watermead	109	134	60	4.2	1.1	2.8
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	43	44	25	3.3	1.2	2.3
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	34	39	16	2.2	0.9	1.7
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	116	136	75	2.5	1.1	1.9
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and	123	129	82	4.4	1.6	3.1
9	3IUCGQ	Hathern* Loughborough Garendon*	123	129	80	3.7	1.6	2.7
10	3IUCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	264	276	163	9.3	3.1	6.4
	3IUCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	233	278	153	7.3	2.7	5.2
12	3IUCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	32	32	21	0.9	0.7	0.8
13	3IUCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	72	75	46	2.8	1.4	2.2
14	3IUCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	212	221	124	7.0	1.4	4.4
15	3IUCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	113	113	70	3.0	1.8	2.3
16	3IUCGY	Loughborough Storer*	129	113	84	3.1	1.4	2
17	3IUCGZ	Mountsorrel	156	158	90	5.1	1.3	3.4
18	3IUCHA	Queniborough	30	30	23	2.6	0.8	J.
19	3IUCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	98	97	57	3.1	1.9	2.0
20	3IUCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	61	66	39	2.5	0.8	1.2
21	3IUCHD	Shepshed East	127	123	77	3.9	1.6	2.8
22	3IUCHE	Shepshed West		119	69	3.7	1.0	2.0
23	3IUCHF	Sileby	174	172	84	5.3	2.0	3.7
24	3IUCHG	Syston East	100	106	59	3.7	1.6	2.7
25	31UCHH	Syston West	124	126	73	4.2	1.9	3.
26	3 IUCH	The Wolds	32	33	13	1.8	1.7	1.3
27	3IUCHK	Thurmaston	214	230	135	5.4	1.9	3.8
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	22	24	18	1.9	0.5	1.1
		Charnwood Borough	3,135	3,296	1,896	3.9	1.6	2.9
		*Loughborough including Hathern	1,403	I,487	898	4.4	1.8	3.2

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Harborough District

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data October 2009

			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Tota
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			ycai	%	%	%
I	31UDGD	Billesdon	13	12	7	1.5	1.0	1.2
2	31UDGE	Bosworth	33	35	16	3.1	1.2	2.3
3	3 IUDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	26	31	16	2.2	1.3	1.1
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	30	30	17	2.2	1.2	1.1
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	30	31	15	3.0	2.1	2.0
6	3 IUDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	39	44	18	4.1	1.6	2.9
7	3 IUDGK	Dunton	22	23	7	2.5	0.4	1.!
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	85	86	35	3.7	1.7	2.8
9	31UDGM	Glen	42	51	34	1.8	1.6	1.7
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	82	70	34	3.0	1.5	2.3
11	31UDGP	Lubenham	27	40	18	1.1	2.0	1.4
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	24	29	15	2.1	1.0	1.6
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	37	28	16	4.0	1.7	2.9
14	3 IUDGS	Lutterworth Springs	37	36	19	4.0	1.5	2.8
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	46	49	22	3.7	2.2	3.0
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	105	121	81	3.0	1.9	2.!
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little Bowden*	75	67	26	3.4	1.6	2.5
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	36	44	39	1.9	1.0	1.
19	3IUDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	108	112	79	4.5	1.9	3.2
20	3IUDGZ	Misterton	24	30	15	2.1	1.0	1.6
21	3IUDHA	Nevill	23	22	16	2.7	0.8	1.6
22	31UDHB	Peatling	21	27	8	1.9	1.1	1.5
23	3IUDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	97	94	60	3.5	2.0	2.8
24	3IUDHD	Tilton	14	18	7	1.7	0.5	1.2
25	3IUDHE	Ullesthorpe	27	29		2.3	1.6	2.0
		Harborough District	1,103	1,159	631	2.9	1.5	2.2
		* Market Harborough	324	344	225	3.3	1.7	2.5

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

•	0000		Total	Last	Last	Male F	emale	Total
_	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	38	42	17	2.1	1.3	1.7
2	31UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	34	36	32	2.3	0.9	1.6
3	31UEFX	Barwell	246	238	166	5.9	2.7	4.4
4	31UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill*	110		70	4.6	1.9	3.4
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton*	100	115	58	2.0	1.6	1.8
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	29	34	23	1.6	1.3	١.5
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton*	289	321	185	7.2	2.6	5.0
8	31UEGC	Groby	75	85	57	2.3	1.3	1.8
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	164	163	118	5.2	2.2	3.9
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	182	197	107	4. I	2.2	3.1
	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	200	212	94	4.5	2.2	3.4
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	170	182	113	5.9	2.2	4.1
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	82	83	45	3.6	1.3	2.5
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
	-	Peckleton	99	110	47	2.7	1.2	2.0
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	112	119	52	3.5	1.9	2.7
16	31UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	27	27	17	1.8	1.2	1.5
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	1,957	2,075	1,201	4.0	1.9	3.0
	<i>c</i>	* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1,215	1,301	745	4.8	2.2	3.5

I

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	ber 2009		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	00FNNF	Abbou	(00	722	F07	12.2	4.2	0.0
1 2	00FNNG	Abbey Aylestone	680	732	507	12.2	4.3	8.3
2	00FNNG 00FNNH	,	358	374	233	8.2	2.4	5.4
3 4		Beaumont Leys	853	869	613	11.6	4.4	8.1
4 5	00FNNK	Belgrave Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	453	489	322	7.8	4.9	6.4
5 6	00FININK 00FNNL	Castle	849	877	522	12.1	4.4	8.2
0 7	00FNNL 00FNNM	Casue Charnwood	840	856	583	8.2	3.0	5.7
7 8	00FNNM 00FNNN	Coleman	655	655	476	12.4	5.2	8.8
o 9	00FNNN 00FNNP		611	639	436	10.2	4.4	7.4
9 10	00FNNQ	Evington	300	338	191	7.0	3.2	5.1
	00FNNQ 00FNNR	Eyres Monsell	500	510	352	11.6	4.0	7.7
11		Fosse	448	477	274	7.8	3.1	5.6
12		Freemen	572	595	391	12.1	4.4	8.1
13		Humberstone and Hamilton	518	552	312	7.6	3.8	5.8
14		Knighton	318	330	202	4.7	2.1	3.4
15	00FNNW	Latimer	410	453	292	6.2	4.2	5.2
16	00FNNX	New Parks	859	874	562	13.4	4.6	9.0
17		Rushey Mead	467	490	301	5.9	3.2	4.6
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	1173	1233	919	12.7	4.6	8.8
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	790	854	602	8.9	3.9	6.5
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	344	366	236	8.8	3.5	6.2
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	483	495	268	9.3	4.0	6.8
22	00FNPD	Western Park	327	340	185	7.5	2.7	5.2
		Leicester	12,808	13,398	8,779	9.3	3.9	6.7

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Leicester City

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data	
October 2009	

Melton Borough

Oct	ober 2009				-			
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
1	3IUGFT	Asfordby	60	52	42	4.3	1.6	3.0
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	36	39	21	2.4	1.1	1.8
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	14	19	10	1.2	1.3	1.3
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	13	11	10	1.3	1.2	1.2
5	3IUGFY	Gaddesby	9	14	11	1.3	#	0.9
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	24	24	25	۱.6	0.3	0.9
7	3IUGGA	Melton Craven*	61	75	39	3.5	2.0	2.8
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	82	104	49	3.7	1.2	2.5
9	3IUGGC	Melton Egerton*	110	110	60	6.2	3.3	4.8
10	3IUGGD	Melton Newport*	65	77	31	3.5	1.0	2.4
П	3 IUGGE	Melton Sysonby*	75	87	53	3.4	1.1	2.3
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	76	77	45	4.4	2.6	3.6
13	3IUGGG	Old Dalby	29	27	9	2.8	1.9	2.4
14	31UGGH	Somerby	21	19	13	2.4	1.4	1.9
15	3IUGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	16	14	11	2.2	1.1	1.7
16	3IUGGK	Wymondham	28	27	18	3.1	2.4	2.8
		Melton Borough	719	776	447	3.2	1.5	2.4
		* Melton Mowbray	469	530	277	4.0	1.8	2.9

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Oct	ober 2009							
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based			-	%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	31UHFZ	Appleby	28	28	15	2.8	١.5	2.2
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	25	20	13	1.6	0.0	1.5
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*	82	95	52	4.3	1.1	2.8
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	75	83	39	4.3	1.4	2.9
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	48	54	29	3.2	1.4	2.3
6	31UHGE	Breedon	23	27	19	2.5	0.6	۱.6
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	132	133	80	4.2	1.8	3.1
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	154	158	101	6.7	2.8	4.9
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	207	196	135	6.9	3.0	5.1
10	3 I U HGJ	Hugglescote**	83	85	55	4.2	1.3	2.8
11	3 IUHGK	Ibstock and Heather	153	145	104	4.9	2.1	3.6
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	60	65	34	3.0	1.1	2.1
13	31UHGM	Measham	107	109	64	4.5	2.1	3.3
14	31UHGN	Moira	87	81	48	4.0	2.0	3.0
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	48	44	23	4.0	1.7	2.9
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	39	37	23	3.5	0.0	2.6
17	3IUHGR	Snibston**	88	102	75	3.8	1.5	2.7
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	77	86	47	3.6	2.1	2.9
19	3IUHGT	Valley	81	91	51	3.8	2.1	3.0
20	3 IUHGU	Whitwick**	107	107	86	3.6	1.6	2.7
		NW Leicestershire District	1,704	1,746	1,093	4.2	1.8	3.1
		* Ashby de la Zouch	182	198	104	3.7	1.3	2.6
		** Coalville	764	788	528	4.8	2.0	3.5
<i>4</i> τι	ana figuras a	re suppressed as value is L or 2						

North West Leicestershire District

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Oadby & Wigston Borough

			Total	Last month	Last year	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
1	31UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	61	63	41	3.5	2.0	2.8
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	52	61	42	1.4	0.8	1.1
3	31UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	100	105	55	6.9	2.5	4.7
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	63	82	54	3.2	1.8	2.5
5	3 I UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	76	77	40	3.3	1.7	2.5
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	244	251	140	7.8	2.7	5.3
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	85	87	66	3.1	1.5	2.4
8	31UJFT	Wigston Fields**	166	173	96	6.4	2.2	4.4
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	99	113	63	3.2	1.5	2.4
10	31UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	101	110	70	3.6	1.7	2.7
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	1,047	1,122	667	4.1	1.8	3.0
		* Oadby	352	388	232	3.3	1.7	2.5
		** Wigston	45 I	483	295	4 . I	1.7	3.0
	<u>`</u>	no our providencial con 2						

I

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Rutland

			Total	Last	Last	Male Female		Total	
	Code	e Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%	
l	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	18	14	5	3.2	1.7	2.5	
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	22	20	16	1.2	1.2	1.2	
3	00FPMU	Exton	13	12	9	0.8	1.4	1.0	
4	00FPMW	Greetham	17	17	7	0.9	2.0	1.1	
5	00FPMX	Ketton	30	28	13	2.8	1.2	2.	
5	00FPMY	Langham	18	13	5	3.6	0.8	2.3	
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington		10	6	2.3	#	1.4	
3	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	9	15	6	2.0	#	1.4	
)	00FPNB	Normanton	28	31	19	1.3	1.8	1.!	
0	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	33	31	16	2.5	0.9	1.7	
	00FPND	Oakham North West*	32	35	12	2.1	1.3	1.7	
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	19	20	8	2.6	#	4. ا	
3	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	30	31	15	2.9	1.6	2.3	
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	28	25	21	2.0	1.1	1.6	
5	00FPNH	Uppingham	52	48	23	2.4	1.2	3.1	
6	00FPNJ	Whissendine	18	18	7	2.2	2.3	2.2	
	-	Rutland	378	368	188	2.0	1.2	1.7	
		*Oakham	114	117	51	2.5	١.0	1.1	

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics