# Unemployment Bulletin November 2011



# Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<a href="www.nomisweb.co.uk">www.nomisweb.co.uk</a>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: November 2011

Area	Claimant Count Pro- portion (working age)			Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Change since last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female					
Leicester Shire	3.5%	4.5%	2.4%	21,707	-242	2,141	3.5%(0.0)	3.1%(0.4)
Leicestershire County	2.3%	2.9%	1.7%	9,579	7	876	2.3%(0.0)	2.1%(0.2)
Leicester City	5.8%	7.8%	3.9%	12,128	-249	1,265	6.0%(-0.2)	5.2%(0.6)
Rutland	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%	310	-1	23	1.3%(0.0)	1.2%(0.1)
East Midlands	3.6%	4.8%	2.4%	104,746	411	12,273	3.6%(0.0)	3.2%(0.4)
UK	3.9%	5.2%	2.6%	1,558,756	-3,745	145,491	3.9%(0.0)	3.5%(0.4)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the December 2011 unemployment figures on Wednesday 18th January 2012.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council are available on the

Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, www.lsr-online.org

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: November 2011.

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Total last month	Total last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
NW Leicestershire	2.7%	1,557	1,533	1,360	2.7%(0.0)	2.4%(0.3)
Oadby & Wigston	2.5%	953	946	801	2.5%(0.0)	2.1%(0.4)
Hinckley & Bosworth	2.5%	1,703	1,711	1,529	2.5%(0.0)	2.3%(0.2)
Charnwood	2.3%	2,592	2,617	2,530	2.3%(0.0)	2.2%(0.1)
Melton	2.2%	682	658	565	2.1%(0.1)	1.8%(0.4)
Blaby	2.0%	1,207	1,233	1,146	2.1%(-0.1)	1.9%(0.1)
Harborough	1.7%	885	874	742	1.7%(0.0)	1.4%(0.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; November 2011.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion
Wigston	3.1%	414	3.0%(0.1)	2.5%(0.6)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	2.9%	1,050	3.0%(-0.1)	2.7%(0.2)
Coalville	2.9%	759	2.9%(0.0)	2.6%(0.3)
Melton Mowbray	2.7%	452	2.6%(0.1)	2.2%(0.5)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.5%	1,179	2.6%(-0.1)	2.6%(-0.1)
Oadby	2.0%	301	2.1%(-0.1)	1.8%(0.2)
Market Harborough	1.8%	249	1.8%(0.0)	1.5%(0.3)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.5%	146	1.6%(-0.1)	1.3%(0.2)
Oakham	1.3%	310	1.7%(-0.4)	1.7%(-0.4)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; November 2011.

,,,									
		18-24 ye	ears old			Over 25	years old		
	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	
Area	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	
Blaby	295	75	20	5	470	185	80	70	
Charnwood	670	110	25	5	1,085	375	150	155	
Harborough	225	35	10	5	385	120	35	65	
Hinckley & Bosworth	430	105	30	10	675	245	85	110	
Melton	160	35	10	5	300	75	45	55	
NW Leicestershire	380	105	35	10	605	230	80	100	
Oadby & Wigston	210	50	30	0	395	150	50	65	
Leicester City	2,465	710	245	80	4,260	2,200	915	1,210	
Leicestershire County	2,375	515	160	40	3,920	1,385	520	620	
Rutland	65	20	5	0	145	45	15	10	
Leicester Shire	4,840	1,225	405	120	8,180	3,585	1,440	1,830	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

# Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; <a href="https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP">www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP</a> Ethnicity JSA.pdf

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; October 2011.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Un- known
Blaby	1,045	15	25	95	15	150	35
Charnwood	2,175	45	25	225	35	330	115
Harborough	790	10	10	20	5	45	40
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,530	15	10	25	10	60	125
Melton	625	0	0	5	0	5	25
NW Leicestershire	1,450	10	5	10	5	30	50
Oadby & Wigston	660	20	15	215	10	265	25
Leicester City	6,405	440	1,300	3,295	350	5,385	580
Leicestershire County	8,275	115	90	600	80	880	410
Leicester Shire	14,680	555	1,390	3,890	430	6,265	995
East Midlands	84,655	2,170	4,500	6,320	1,465	14,455	5,060
Great Britain	1,155,400	29,800	103,515	88,760	30,465	252,540	91,550

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; October 2011.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.3%	1.1%	2.3%	1.4%	1.9%	1.5%
Charnwood	1.5%	1.7%	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%	1.6%
Harborough	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	0.6%	0.8%	0.8%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.6%	1.3%	1.3%	0.7%	1.4%	1.0%
Melton	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%
NW Leicestershire	1.7%	1.1%	1.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%
Oadby & Wigston	1.4%	1.8%	1.4%	2.6%	1.4%	2.4%
Leicester City	3.3%	5.0%	11.2%	4.1%	3.7%	4.9%
Leicestershire County	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%	1.6%	1.0%	1.5%
Leicester Shire	1.9%	3.2%	7.7%	3.3%	2.5%	3.7%
East Midlands	2.1%	3.0%	6.3%	2.7%	2.5%	3.3%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2009 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group and old working age population, published May 2010.

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; October 2011.

					Ma	le			Fem	nale	
	Total	Previous month	Previous year	Aged <18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged < 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire											
'White'	14,680	14,945	12,305	25	3,035	4,960	1,585	15	1,640	2,615	805
'Non-white'	6,265	6,500	5,230	0	1,065	2,325	615	0	705	1,175	380
Leicester City											
'White'	6,410	6,515	5,345	15	1,315	2,365	650	10	645	1,105	305
'Non-white'	5,390	5,580	4,455	0	905	2,050	535	0	605	975	320
Leicestershire County											
'White'	8,275	8,430	6,955	15	1,720	2,590	935	10	990	1,510	505
'Non-white'	880	920	765	0	160	275	80	0	100	200	65

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; January 2010 - December 2010

	April 2010 - March 2011		April 2009 - March 2010	
Area	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unem- ployed	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed
United Kingdom	7.7%	2,354,500	8.0%	2,431,100
East Midlands	7.5%	165,700	7.5%	164,900
Leicester Shire	7.6%	35,800	8.0%	37,600
Leicester City	12.1%	17,600	10.8%	15,400
Leicestershire County	5.6%	18,200	6.7%	22,200

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; May 2011

		All benefits				Duration (proportion of all claimants)					
Area	Number	Rate	Previous year number	Previous year rate	<6mths	6mths - Iyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs		
Blaby	5,260	8.8	5,350	9.0	24%	9%	10%	18%	39%		
Charnwood	10,570	9.4	10,750	9.6	24%	9%	9%	19%	38%		
Harborough	3,770	7.2	3,740	7.2	23%	10%	10%	17%	40%		
Hinckley & Bosworth	6,820	10.1	7,000	10.4	23%	10%	10%	19%	38%		
Melton	2,710	8.7	2,770	8.9	24%	10%	11%	17%	38%		
NW Leicestershire	6,770	11.8	6,880	11.9	23%	9%	10%	18%	41%		
Oadby and Wigston	3,540	9.5	3,640	9.7	24%	10%	10%	18%	38%		
-											
Leicester City	40,370	19.4	40,830	19.8	25%	11%	10%	18%	36%		
Leicestershire County	39,440	9.4	40,130	9.6	24%	9%	10%	18%	39%		
Rutland	1,480	6.3	1,490	6.4	23%	10%	9%	17%	41%		
East Midlands	402,670	13.9	404,410	14.0	23%	10%	10%	17%	40%		
Great Britain	5,693,650	14.5	5,731,460	14.7	23%	10%	10%	16%	40%		

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis) - May 2011

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; May 2011

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Employment and Support Allowance & Incapacity Benefits	177,610	6.1%	16,190	7.8%	16,710	4.0%
Job Seeker	99,310	3.4%	12,120	5.8%	9,060	2.2%
Lone Parent	39,680	1.4%	4,600	2.2%	3,590	0.9%
Carer	35,000	1.2%	3,350	1.6%	3,870	0.9%
Disabled	32,070	1.1%	2,290	1.1%	3,810	0.9%
Others on income related benefit	13,010	0.4%	1,400	0.7%	1,480	0.4%
Bereaved	5,980	0.2%	420	0.2%	920	0.2%
Total	402,670	13.9%	40,370	19.4%	39,440	9.4%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) ESA & Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp

# **Definitions**

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

**Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions** - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

**Unemployment** (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

**Unemployment Rate** (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

**Economically Active** - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

**Economically Inactive** - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

**Working Age Population** - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates. This

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: <a href="https://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf">www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf</a>

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp

#### Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data **Blaby District** November 2011 **Total** Last Last Male **Female Total** month year Wards (resident-based % % Code % proportions) 3 I UBFY I **Blaby South** 2.7 76 76 68 3.4 1.9 2 3 I UBFZ Cosby with South Whetstone 39 47 38 1.8 0.6 1.2 3 31UBGA Countesthorpe 49 67 73 1.9 1.2 1.6 4 3 I UBGB Croft Hill 18 22 23 1.5 1.2 1.3 5 31UBGC Ellis 85 78 61 3.1 2.1 2.6 31UBGD Enderby and St. John's 6 62 65 58 2.8 1.6 2.2 7 31UBGE Fairestone 53 55 68 2.9 0.9 1.9

60

45

39

56

33

70

47

161

106

88

103

1,207

65

41

45

56

32

71

46

177

113

87

92

1,233

53

43

46

53

27

58

49

144

100

93

106

1,146

1.7

3.9

2.0

2.3

3.1

2.4

1.7

4.6

2.2

1.8

2.5

2.5

1.0

2.3

1.2

0.9

1.0

1.7

1.2

2.3

1.9

2.0

1.7

1.5

1.3

3.1

1.6

1.6

2.1

2.1

1.5

3.5

2.1

1.9

2.1

2.0

**Forest** 

Millfield

Muxloe

Normanton

**Pastures** 

Saxondale

Winstanley

Blaby District

North Whetstone

Ravenhurst and Fosse

Stanton and Flamville

Narborough and Littlethorpe

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

8

9

10

П

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

31UBGF

3 I UBGG

3 I UBGH

3 I UBGJ

3 I UBGK

31UBGL

31UBGM

31UBGN

3 I UBGP

3 I UBGQ

3 I UBGR

	mant Count (J ember 2011	SA) Ward Data I	Charnwood Borough							
	2011		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total		
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			<u>,                                     </u>	%	%	%		
	2111666	<b>A</b>	0.4	22				2.4		
1	31UCGG 31UCGH	Anstey	96	99	90	3.3	1.7	2.4		
2 3		Barrow and Sileby West	66	65	60	2.2	1.1	1.7		
4	31UCGJ 31UCGK	Birstall Wanlip Birstall Watermead	89	79	65	3.5	1.9	2.7		
=	31UCGL		108	99	88	3.2	2.1	2.7		
5		East Goscote	33	39	34	2.1	1.3	1.7		
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	30	26	29	1.6	1.1	1.4		
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	103	99	109	1.8	1.2	1.6		
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and	100	95	101	3.3	1.5	2.4		
9	31UCGQ	Hathern* Loughborough Garendon*	84	82	76		1.5	2.4		
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	263	277	257	2.8	3.7	2.1 6.2		
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	183					4.1		
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*		186	222	5.4	2.6 0.5			
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	29		29 41	0.9		0.7		
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	36	36		1.5	0.6	1.0		
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	172	170	164	4.2	2.7	3.5		
16	31UCGX	9	101	103	111	2.4	1.4	1.9		
17	31UCGZ	Loughborough Storer*	108	112	112	2.6	1.6	2.1		
		Mountsorrel	119	119	114	3.6	1.6	2.6		
18	3 IUCHA	Queniborough	31	36	26	2.2	1.3	1.7		
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	88	85	63	2.7	1.6	2.2		
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	48	53	48	1.5	1.1	1.3		
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	105	100	89	3.0	1.7	2.4		
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	67	71	91	1.7	1.3	1.5		
23	3 I UCHF	Sileby	112	110	130	3.2	1.5	2.3		
24	31UCHG	Syston East	93	91	88	2.7	2.1	2.4		
25	31UCHH	Syston West	98	107	93	2.6	2.0	2.3		
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	17	14	20	1.4	0.4	0.9		
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	197	218	155	4.3	2.3	3.3		
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	16	17	25	1.2	0.5	0.9		
		Charnwood Borough	2,592	2,617	2,530	2.9	1.6	2.3		
		*Loughborough including Hathern	1179	1189	1222	3.3	1.7	2.5		

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	•	SA) Ward Data	Hart	orough [	District			
NOVE	ember 2011		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	21110.00	D.II.						
ı	31UDGD	Billesdon	13	13	10	1.5	0.9	1.2
2	31UDGE	Bosworth	25	23	27	1.7	1.5	1.6
3	31UDGF 31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Astley	25	19	20	1.7	1.6	1.6
	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	26	29	21	1.6	1.2	1.4
5 6	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Sutton	25	25	19	3.1	1.2	2.1
7	31UDGK	Dunton	17	19	21	1.4	1.1	1.3
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	12 50	14 55	9 43	0.9	0.6 1.2	0.8
9	31UDGM	Glen	36	33	31	1.8	1.2	1.6 1.4
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	75	33 71	59	2.6	1.0	2.0
11	31UDGP	Lubenham	39	32	25	1.8	1.8	1.8
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	16	13	13	1.0	0.9	1.0
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	32	26	24	2.3	2.5	2.4
14	31UDGS	Lutterworth Springs	34	32	29	3.6	1.3	2.4
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	42	42	18	3.4	1.9	2.7
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great	12	12	10	3.1	1.7	2.7
. •	3.02.00	Bowden*	90	89	64	2.7	1.4	2.0
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little						
		Bowden*	38	43	46	1.4	1.0	1.2
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	37	37	27	2.0	1.1	1.5
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	84	80	74	3.1	1.6	2.3
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	21	21	15	1.8	0.7	1.3
21	31UDHA	Nevill	Ш	Ш	13	1.5	#	0.8
22	31UDHB	Peatling	14	13	18	1.1	0.9	1.0
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	88	95	88	2.9	1.8	2.3
24	31UDHD	Tilton	14	13	13	1.6	0.6	1.1
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	21	26	15	2.0	0.9	1.4
		Harborough District	885	874	742	2.1	1.3	1.7
		* Market Harborough	249	249	211	2.3	1.3	1.8

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

# Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

# **Hinckley & Bosworth Borough**

Nov	ember 201	I						
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
	_			month	year			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	42	44	18	2.6	1.3	1.9
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	35	36	29	1.7	1.6	1.6
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	198	199	178	4.3	2.4	3.3
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill*	89	93	92	3.3	2.0	2.6
5	3 I UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton*	79	78	67	2.0	0.7	1.4
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	30	31	31	1.6	1.3	1.4
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton*	249	247	241	5.3	2.9	4.1
8	31UEGC	Groby	83	79	79	2.8	1.0	1.9
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	177	179	159	5.0	3.1	4.1
10	31UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	147	153	141	3.3	1.8	2.6
11	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	158	168	117	3.1	1.9	2.5
12	3 I UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	151	155	147	4.4	2.7	3.5
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	55	43	53	1.7	1.5	1.6
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
	•	Peckleton	97	87	74	2.4	1.4	1.9
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	88	99	88	2.5	1.6	2.0
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	25	20	15	1.6	1.1	1.4
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	1,703	1,711	1,529	3.2	1.8	2.5
		,						
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1050	1073	964	3.7	2.1	2.9

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data November 2011			Leices	ster City				
Nov	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Total	Last month	Last year	Male %	Female	Total %
I	00FNNF	Abbey	659	690	615	9.6	5.1	7.3
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	327	340	290	6.5	2.7	4.6
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	777	803	740	10.0	4.1	6.9
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	454	479	402	6.9	5.1	6.0
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	760	772	688	9.0	4.9	6.9
6	00FNNL	Castle	812	835	737	7.1	2.4	4.8
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	659	657	552	10.7	6.0	8.3
8	00FNNN	Coleman	573	603	504	8.4	4.1	6.3
9	00FNNP	Evington	268	270	222	5.2	3.4	4.3
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	474	477	432	9.1	4.7	6.8
11	00FNNR	Fosse	420	436	378	6.6	3.2	4.9
12	00FNNS	Freemen	559	552	454	11.2	4.4	7.6
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	496	486	443	6.0	3.2	4.6
14	00FNNU	Knighton	303	315	280	4.0	2.1	3.0
15	00FNNW	Latimer	433	428	357	5.7	4.7	5.2
16	00FNNX	New Parks	811	780	742	10.6	5.3	7.9
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	412	414	391	4.7	3.0	3.8
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	1082	1124	988	10.3	5.1	7.7
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	798	840	670	8.4	3.7	6.1
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	297	305	258	6.6	3.4	4.9
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	469	473	421	8.5	3.8	6.3
22	00FNPD	Western Park	285	298	299	5.9	2.7	4.4
		Leicester	12,128	12,377	10,863	7.8	3.9	5.8

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

# Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

# **Melton Borough**

Nov	ember 201	1						
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
ı	31UGFT	Asfordby	49	51	29	3.2	1.8	2.4
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	35	36	28	2.6	8.0	1.7
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	19	15	17	2.2	1.0	1.6
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	14	13	9	1.9	0.7	1.3
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	8	14	12	1.0	0.6	0.8
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	35	35	40	1.5	1.2	1.3
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	76	66	59	3.9	2.7	3.3
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	69	62	64	2.3	1.7	2.0
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	106	97	92	6.5	2.5	4.5
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	66	63	52	3.3	1.2	2.3
11	31UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	71	71	49	2.7	1.6	2.1
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	64	71	54	3.5	2.4	2.9
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	21	22	16	1.4	1.9	1.7
14	31UGGH	Somerby	15	12	12	1.6	1.1	1.4
15	31UGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	13	12	14	1.0	1.6	1.3
16	31UGGK	Wymondham	21	18	18	2.7	1.4	2.0
		Melton Borough	682	658	565	2.8	1.6	2.2
		* Melton Mowbray	452	430	370	3.5	1.9	2.7

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data November 2011				West Leic	estersl	nire Distr	rict	
			Total	Last	Last	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based		month	year	%	%	%
		proportions)				, ,	, -	, ,
I	31UHFZ	Appleby	16	14	15	2.2	#	1.2
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	17	23	11	1.4	0.0	1.1
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*	77	75	63	3.0	1.8	2.4
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	52	58	56	2.5	1.3	1.9
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	47	44	40	2.3	1.9	2.1
6	31UHGE	Breedon	24	24	24	1.7	1.5	1.6
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	97	100	99	2.7	1.5	2.1
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	130	137	136	5.0	2.5	3.8
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	220	229	204	6.8	3.8	5.3
10	3 I UHGJ	Hugglescote**	77	72	62	2.9	2.2	2.5
11	31UHGK	lbstock and Heather	133	137	100	3.7	2.2	3.0
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	56	59	47	2.5	1.3	1.9
13	31UHGM	Measham	101	88	96	4.8	1.3	3.0
14	31UHGN	Moira	69	67	60	2.7	2.0	2.3
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	45	41	41	3.0	2.2	2.6
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	31	27	33	2.0	0.0	2.1
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	106	105	95	3.9	2.4	3.1
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	72	65	67	3.7	1.6	2.6
19	31UHGT	Valley	80	71	58	3.9	1.7	2.8
20	31UHGU	Whitwick**	107	97	83	2.9	2.1	2.5
		NW Leicestershire District	1,557	1,533	1,390	3.4	2.0	2.7
		* Ashby de la Zouch	146	156	130	1.9	1.1	1.5
		** Coalville	759	749	687	3.6	2.2	2.9

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

# Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

# Oadby & Wigston Borough

Nov	ember 20 l	I						
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
ı	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	45	44	52	2.1	1.6	1.9
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	52	62	46	1.2	0.7	1.0
3	3 IUJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	94	101	84	4.5	3.3	3.9
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	68	69	51	2.9	1.8	2.4
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	56	59	51	2.2	1.3	1.8
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	224	212	179	5.8	3.3	4.5
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	93	102	78	3.1	1.7	2.4
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	137	120	120	4.0	2.5	3.2
9	31UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	102	92	66	3.6	1.1	2.3
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	82	85	74	2.8	1.3	2.1
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	953	946	801	3.2	1.9	2.5
		* Oadby		335	284	2.4	1.7	2.0
		** Wigston	414	399	338	4.2	2.0	3.1

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data November 2011				Rutland				
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male I	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
I	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	9	11	8	1.8	#	1.1
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	13	6	13	0.4	0.8	0.6
3	00FPMU	Exton	11	16	6	1.4	0.6	1.0
4	00FPMW	Greetham	П	10	6	0.5	1.4	0.7
5	00FPMX	Ketton	25	25	19	1.7	1.6	1.6
6	00FPMY	Langham	14	12	8	1.7	1.7	1.7
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	5	6	9	1.0	#	0.6
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	7	7	9	1.6	#	1.1
9	00FPNB	Normanton	21	17	21	1.1	0.9	1.0
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	28	23	26	1.9	0.9	1.4
П	00FPND	Oakham North West*	40	44	39	2.8	1.5	2.1
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	18	20	22	1.7	1.0	1.3
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	28	28	28	2.5	1.8	2.2
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	30	33	29	2.3	1.0	1.7
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	42	45	34	1.8	1.2	1.5
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	8	8	10	0.7	1.2	1.0
	-	Rutland	310	311	287	1.5	1.1	1.3
<i>,,</i> <del>, ,</del>		*Oakham	114	115	115	2.1	1.2	1.7

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics