Unemployment Bulletin October 2008





Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (www.nomisweb.co.uk) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: October 2008

Area	Claimant	nimant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
-	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	2.5%	3.4%	1.5%	14,503	131	2.5%(0.0)	2.1% (0.4)
Leicestershire County	1.5%	2.0%	0.9%	6,020	175	1.5%(0.0)	1.2% (0.3)
Leicester City	4.4%	6.3%	2.5%	8,483	-44	4.5%(-0.1)	3.9% (0.5)
Rutland	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%	170	3	0.7%(0.1)	0.6% (0.2)
East Midlands	2.4%	3.4%	1.4%	66,125	1,411	2.4%(0.0)	2.0% (0.4)
UK	2.6%	3.6%	1.4%	970,279	25,563	2.5%(0.1)	2.1% (0.5)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the November 2008 unemployment figures on Wednesday 17th December 2008. Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council, the LSEP and partners are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, www.lsr-online.org

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: October 2008

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	1.8%	607	5	1.8%(0.0)	1.5%(0.3)
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.6%	1,065	24	1.6%(0.0)	1.3%(0.3)
Charnwood	1.6%	1,671	23	1.6%(0.0)	1.3%(0.3)
NW Leicestershire	1.6%	890	56	1.5%(0.1)	1.3%(0.3)
Blaby	1.5%	854	48	1.4%(0.1)	1.0%(0.5)
Melton	1.2%	374	П	1.2%(0.0)	1.0%(0.1)
Harborough	1.1%	559	8	1.1%(0.0)	0.8%(0.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; October 2008.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.0%	775	2.0%(0.0)	1.6%(0.4)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	2.0%	655	1.9%(0.1)	1.6%(0.4)
Coalville	2.1%	430	1.9%(0.2)	1.7%(0.4)
Wigston	1.8%	278	1.8%(0.0)	1.4%(0.4)
Market Harborough	1.6%	194	1.4%(0.2)	1.3%(0.3)
Oadby	1.5%	205	1.5% (0.0)	1.3%(0.2)
Melton Mowbray	1.4%	225	1.4%(0.0)	1.2%(0.2)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.3%	95	1.3%(0.0)	1.3%(0.0)
Oakham	0.7%	43	0.7%(0.0)	0.7%(0.0)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; October 2008.

		18-24 ye	ears old					
	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18	Up to 6	6-12	13-18	Over 18
Area	months	months	months	months	months	months	months	months
Blaby	230	25	0	0	465	85	30	15
Charnwood	495	45	5	0	835	160	80	40
Harborough	145	15	0	0	320	40	25	10
Hinckley & Bosworth	275	25	0	0	605	100	45	15
Melton	115	10	0	0	210	30	5	5
NW Leicestershire	245	15	0	5	495	75	30	20
Oadby & Wigston	175	15	0	0	310	65	20	15
Leicester City	2,045	310	15	5	3,855	1,280	610	315
Leicestershire County	1,680	145	5	5	3,240	560	230	130
Rutland	35	5	0	0	105	15	5	5
Leicester Shire	3,725	455	25	10	7,090	1,840	840	445

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP Ethnicity JSA.pdf

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; September 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	660	10	10	45	15	85	65
Charnwood	1,310	15	10	155	40	220	115
Harborough	500	5	0	15	0	25	25
Hinckley & Bosworth	905	0	5	10	0	20	110
Melton	350	0	0	0	0	5	10
NW Leicestershire	785	0	0	0	5	5	45
Oadby & Wigston	415	10	10	120	5	145	45
Leicester City	4,220	200	800	2,385	250	3,640	665
Leicestershire County	4,925	50	40	345	70	505	420
Leicester Shire	9,150	250	840	2,735	320	4,145	1,085
East Midlands	51,745	1,050	2,590	4,040	855	8,530	4,285
Great Britain	692,845	14,650	56,735	52,670	18,665	142,715	76,405

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; Septmber 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	0.8%	0.9%	1.3%	1.1%	2.5%	0.8%
Charnwood	0.9%	0.8%	0.7%	1.4%	1.4%	0.9%
Harborough	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%
Hinckley & Bosworth	0.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%
Melton	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
NW Leicestershire	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.9%
Oadby & Wigston	0.9%	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.8%	0.9%
Leicester City	2.4%	2.8%	6.5%	2.8%	6.6%	3.4%
Leicestershire County	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%
Leicester Shire	1.2%	1.9%	2.7%	5.0%	2.4%	3.5%
East Midlands	1.3%	1.9%	2.4%	4.5%	2.0%	2.2%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; September 2008.

			Male				Female			
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	
Leicester Shire										
'White'	9,150	40	1,920	3,475	1,145	30	965	1,095	480	
'Non-white'	4,145	5	685	1,710	430	0	450	605	260	
Leicester City										
'White'	4,225	25	895	1,725	500	20	410	470	180	
'Non-white'	3,650	5	595	1,515	375	0	400	525	235	
Leicestershire County										
'White'	4,925	15	1,025	1,750	645	10	555	625	300	
'Non-white'	505	0	90	195	55	0	50	85	30	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; January 2007 - December 2007

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (October 2008)
United Kingdom	5.3%	1,565,200	970,279
East Midlands	5.1%	111,100	66,125
Leicester Shire	5.0%	23,600	14,403
Leicester City	10.0%	14,000	8,483
Leicestershire County	2.9%	9,600	6,020

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; May 2008

	All ben	efits		Duration (pro	oportion of all o	claimants)	
Area	a Number Rate		<6mths	6mths - lyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	4,390	7.7%	18%	8%	11%	17%	45%
Charnwood	9,100	8.5%	20%	10%	11%	19%	42%
Harborough	3,200	6.5%	18%	8%	9%	18%	46%
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,780	8.9%	19%	9%	11%	18%	42%
Melton	2,210	7.4%	20%	8%	10%	17%	45%
NW Leicestershire	5,970	10.8%	17%	8%	10%	18%	47%
Oadby and Wigston	3,090	9.0%	22%	9%	10%	17%	42%
,							
Leicester City	36,140	18.9%	22%	10%	11%	18%	40%
Leicestershire County	33,730	8.5%	19%	9%	10%	18%	44%
Rutland	1,270	5.6%	19%	9%	11%	17%	44%
East Midlands	355,170	13.0%	19%	9%	10%	18%	45%
Great Britain	5,124,110	13.9%	18%	8%	10%	17%	46%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; May 2008

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Incapacity Benefits	177,600	6.5	15,810	8.3	16,430	4.1
Job Seeker	55,160	2.0	7,710	4.0	4,640	1.2
Lone Parent	46,470	1.7	6,080	3.2	3,750	0.9
Carer	30,110	1.1	2,820	1.5	3,300	0.8
Disabled	27,900	1.0	1,990	1.0	3,410	0.9
Others on income related benefit	10,690	0.4	1,260	0.7	1,100	0.3
Bereaved	7,240	0.3	470	0.2	1,100	0.3
Total	355,170	13.0	36,140	18.9	33,730	8.5

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year population estimates which are compatible with the 2001 Census.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

	nant Count ber 2008	(JSA) Ward Data		Blaby I	District			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
I	3 I UBFY	Blaby South		Ш	50	2.6	0.8	1.8
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	20	14	34	1.3	0.9	1.1
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	36	15	51	1.6	0.7	1.2
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	6	8	14	0.9	1.3	1.1
5	31UBGC	Ellis	30	7	37	1.8	0.5	1.2
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	38	14	52	3.1	1.2	2.1
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	39	14	53	2.7	1.1	1.9
8	31UBGF	Forest	34	11	45	1.6	0.5	1.1
9	31UBGG	Millfield	16	12	28	2.5	2.0	2.3
10	3 I UBGH	Muxloe	22	6	28	1.8	0.5	1.2
11	31UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	25	7	32	1.4	0.4	1.0
12	31UBGK	Normanton	12	3	15	1.4	0.4	0.9
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	32	П	43	2.0	0.7	1.4
14	31UBGM	Pastures	21	- 11	32	1.3	0.7	1.0
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	73	22	95	3.2	1.1	2.2
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	69	22	91	2.5	1.1	1.9
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	39	27	66	1.7	1.3	1.5
18	31UBGR	Winstanley		30	88	3.0	1.7	2.4
		Blaby District	609	245	854	2.0	0.9	1.5

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	nant Count (J ber 2008	SA) Ward Data I	Charı	nwood Bo	rough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	2111666	A	4=					
l	31UCGG	Anstey	45	15	60	2.5	0.9	1.7
2	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West	32	7	39	1.7	0.4	1.1
3	3 I UCCK	Birstall Wanlip Birstall Watermead	28	17	45	1.8	1.2	1.5
4	31UCGK 31UCGL		41	24	65	2.1	1.3	1.7
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	14	4	18	1.4	0.4	0.9
6		Forest Bradgate	10	7	17	1.1	8.0	1.0
7 8	3 I UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	40	19	59	1.2	8.0	1.0
0	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	41	26	67	2.1	1.4	1.7
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	46	19	65	2.2	1.0	1.6
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	127	34	161	6.9	2.0	4.6
П	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	99	27	126	5.1	1.6	3.5
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	13	7	20	0.6	0.4	0.5
13	3 I U C G U	Loughborough Outwoods*	20	12	32	1.2	0.8	1.0
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	85	38	123	4.5	2.0	3.2
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	39	17	56	2.0	1.0	1.5
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer*	40	26	66	1.8	1.5	1.7
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	58	22	80	2.7	1,1	1.9
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	9	15	24	1.0	1.8	1.4
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	36	15	51	1.9	0.8	1.4
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	24	8	32	1.3	0.5	0.9
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	42	20	62	1.9	1.0	1.5
22	3 I U C H E	Shepshed West	41	16	57	2.0	0.8	1.4
23	31UCHF	Sileby	54	22	76	2.6	1.1	1.9
24	31UCHG	Syston East	30	13	43	1.7	0.8	1.2
25	31UCHH	Syston West	43	26	69	2.3	1.4	1.9
26	3 I U C H J	The Wolds	5	5	10	0.6	0.6	0.6
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	88	47	135	3.1	1.8	2.5
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	9	4	13	1.0	0.5	0.8
		Charnwood Borough	1,159	512	1,671	2.0	1.0	1.6
		*Loughborough including Hathern	550	225	775	2.6	1.3	2.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data October 2008			Harbo	orough [District			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male F	emale	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	311 IDCD	Dillordon	r	2	•	1.0	0.7	0.0
'	31UDGD	Billesdon	5	3	8	1.0	0.7	0.8
2	31UDGE	Bosworth	8	4	12	1.1	0.6	0.9
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	11	4	15	1.4	0.5	1.0
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	12	4	16	1.5	0.5	1.1
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	9	3	12	1.5	0.5	1.0
6	3 I UDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	10	3	13	1.5	0.5	1.0
7	31UDGK	Dunton	4	3	7	0.5	0.5	0.5
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	26	5	31	1.7	0.4	1.0
9	31UDGM	Glen	19	15	34	1.6	1.4	1.5
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	26	10	36	1.4	0.6	1.0
11	31UDGP	Lubenham	9	4	13	0.9	0.6	0.8
12	3 IUDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	13	5	18	1.8	0.7	1.3
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	12	#	14	1.9	#	1.1
14	31UDGS	Lutterworth Springs	16	6	22	2.5	1.0	1.8
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	17	#	19	2.7	#	1.6
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	46	30	76	2.2	1.6	1.9
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little						
		Bowden*	18	10	28	1.4	0.8	1.1
18	3 IUDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	14	10	24	1.1	0.8	1.0
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	44	22	66	2.6	1.4	2.0
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	7	7	14	0.9	1.0	0.9
21	31UDHA	Nevill	6	5	Ш	1.0	0.9	0.9
22	31UDHB	Peatling 	8	0	8	1.1	0.0	0.6
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	31	17	48	1.6	0.9	1.3
24	31UDHD	Tilton	4	0	4	0.6	0.0	0.3
25	3 IUDHE	Ullesthorpe	9	#	10	1.3	#	0.8
		Harborough District	384	175	559	1.5	8.0	1.1
		* Market Harborough	122	72	194	1.9	1.2	1.6

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

	ober 2008	lt (JSA) Ward Data						
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
		vvarus (resident sused propertions)				,,	,,,	
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	П	6	17	0.9	0.6	0.8
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	20	5	25	1.9	0.5	1.2
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	111	37	148	3.8	1.4	2.7
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	43	17	60	2.4	1.1	1.8
5	3 I UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	38	19	57	1.3	0.7	1.0
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	12	4	16	1.2	0.5	0.8
7	3 I UEGB	Earl Shilton	127	41	168	4.4	1.5	3.0
8	3 I UEGC	Groby	39	9	48	1.8	0.4	1.1
9	3 I UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	78	31	109	3.9	1.8	2.9
10	31UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	65	23	88	2.7	1.0	1.9
11	3 I UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	49	23	72	1.6		1.2
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	82	19	101	3.8	0.9	2.4
13	3 I UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	35	8	43	2.0	0.5	1.3
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	39	17	56	1.5		1.1
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	28	15	43	1.6		1.2
16	31UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	10	4	14	1.0		0.7
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	787	278	1,065	2.3	0.9	1.6
<i>''</i> -		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	482	173	655	2.8	1.1	2.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data October 2008			Leice	ster City				
Code		Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
I	00FNNF	Abbey	373	121	494	9.7	3.3	6.6
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	174	70	244	5.2	2.2	3.7
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	447	158	605	9.9	3.4	6.6
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	196	116	312	6. I	3.6	4.8
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	353	138	491	7.5	2.8	5.1
6	00FNNL	Castle	45 I	117	568	7.4	2.3	5.1
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	329	121	450	10.2	3.8	7.0
8	00FNNN	Coleman	312	124	436	8.0	3.3	5.7
9	00FNNP	Evington	132	55	187	4.8	2.0	3.4
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	234	80	314	7.8	2.6	5.2
11	00FNNR	Fosse	174	61	235	4.6	1.8	3.3
12	00FNNS	Freemen	276	87	363	8.7	2.5	5.5
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	221	87	308	6.0	2.5	4.3
14	00FNNU	Knighton	133	61	194	2.6	1.2	1.9
15	00FNNW	Latimer	178	102	280	4.8	2.8	3.8
16	00FNNX	New Parks	409	147	556	9.2	3.2	6.2
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	202	117	319	4.0	2.5	3.3
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	65 I	222	873	9.2	3.4	6.5
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	428	167	595	7.0	3.0	5.1
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	151	72	223	5.6	2.7	4.2
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	189	71	260	5.4	2.1	3.8
22	00FNPD	Western Park	118	58	176	3.6	1.9	2.8
		Leicester	6,131	2,352	8,483	6.3	2.5	4.4

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data October 2008				Melton B	orough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
1 2 3 4 5	31UGFT 31UGFU 31UGFW 31UGFX 31UGFY	Asfordby Bottesford Croxton Kerrial Frisby-on-the-Wreake Gaddesby	24 14 7 3 8	18 # 3 4	42 15 10 7 9	2.4 1.3 1.3 0.5	1.9 # 0.6 0.7	2.2 0.7 1.0 0.6 0.9
6 7 8 9 10	3IUGFZ 3IUGGA 3IUGGB 3IUGGC 3IUGGD	Long Clawson and Stathern Melton Craven* Melton Dorian* Melton Egerton* Melton Newport*	13 23 20 39 26	9 9 13 14 7	22 32 33 53 33	1.0 1.8 1.2 3.3 1.7	0.8 0.9 0.9 1.3 0.5	0.9 1.4 1.1 2.4
11 12 13 14 15	3IUGGE 3IUGGF 3IUGGG 3IUGGH 3IUGGK	Melton Sysonby* Melton Warwick* Old Dalby Somerby Waltham-on-the-Wolds Wymondham Melton Borough	30 26 6 6 4 10 259	8 10 4 6 # 7 115	38 36 10 12 5 17 374	1.9 2.6 1.0 1.0 0.8 1.9 1.6	0.5 1.0 0.7 1.1 # 1.5 0.8	1.2 1.8 0.9 1.1 0.5 1.7 1.2

164 61

225

2.0

8.0

1.4

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

* Melton Mowbray

Source: Office for National Statistics

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data October 2008				West Lei	cestersl	nire Dist	rict	
			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	31UHFZ	Appleby	6	#	8	0.9	#	0.6
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	I	0	13	0.9	0.0	8.0
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*		11	41	2.0	0.8	1.4
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*		9	41	2.4	0.7	1.6
5	31UHGD	Bardon**		6	25	2.5	0.8	1.7
6	31UHGE	Breedon	8	6	14	1.0	0.9	0.9
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	46	24	70	2.1	1.2	1.7
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	61	22	83	4.3	1.7	3.1
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	84	26	110	4.1	1.4	2.8
10	3 I UHGJ	Hugglescote**	26	П	37	1.9	0.9	1.4
11	31UHGK	lbstock and Heather	54	20	74	2.5	1.0	1.8
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	23	9	32	1.6	0.7	1.2
13	31UHGM	Measham	36	14	50	2.3	0.9	1.6
14	31UHGN	Moira	21	17	38	1.4	1.3	1.3
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	12	5	17	1.6	0.7	1.2
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	- 11	0	17	1.5	0.0	1.2
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	45	21	66	2.8	1.4	2.1
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	27	13	40	1.8	1.0	1.4
19	31UHGT	Valley	30	15	45	2.2	1.2	1.7
20	3 I UHGU	Whitwick**	51	18	69	2.5	1.0	1.7
		NW Leicestershire District	630	260	890	2.1	1.0	1.6
		* Ashby de la Zouch	63	20	95	1.7	0.6	1.3
		** Coalville		117	430	2.9	1,2	2.1
								_,.

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Oadby & Wigston Borough

Octo	ber 2008							
			Male	Female	Total	Male Fo	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	25	12	37	2.1	1.1	1.6
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	27	П	38	1.3	0.5	0.9
3	31UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	36	14	50	3.4	1.4	2.4
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	30	19	49	2.3	1.5	1.9
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	22	9	31	1.7	0.7	1.2
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	87	37	124	3.7	1.7	2.7
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	39	16	55	1.9	0.9	1.4
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	69	27	96	3.6	1.6	2.6
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	55	14	69	2.5	0.7	1.6
10	31UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	46	12	58	2.4	0.7	1.6
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	436	171	607	2.5	1.0	1.8
		* Oadby	140	65	205	2.0	1.0	1.5
		** Wigston	209	69	278	2.6	0.9	1.8
		_						

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data October 2008			Rutland				
			Male	Female	Total	Male I	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
ı	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	4	0	4	1.1	0.0	0.6
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	•	10	22	1,1	1.2	1.2
3	00FPMU	Exton		3	6	0.4	0.7	0.5
4	00FPMW	Greetham	8	#	10	0.9	#	0.8
5	00FPMX	Ketton	3	5	8	0.4	0.8	0.6
6	00FPMY	Langham	7	3	10	2.1	1.1	1.7
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	4	#	6	1.0	#	0.7
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	4	0	4	1.1	0.0	0.6
9	00FPNB	Normanton	8	4	12	0.7	0.5	0.6
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	10	4	14	1.1	0.5	0.8
11	00FPND	Oakham North West*	6	5	Ш	0.6	0.6	0.6
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	8	0	8	1.3	0.0	0.7
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	7		10	1.0	0.5	0.7
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	8	8	16	0.9	1.0	1.0
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	13	6	19	1.0	0.6	0.8
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	7	3	10	1.9	0.8	1.4
		Rutland	112	58	170	0.9	0.6	8.0

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

*Oakham

31

43

1.0

0.3

0.7

Source: Office for National Statistics