# Unemployment Bulletin January 2009



### Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<u>www.nomisweb.co.uk</u>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Area	Claimant	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	3.2% 4.5% I.8%		19,022	2,174	2.9%(0.3)	2.1% (1.1)	
Leicestershire County	2.3%	3.2%	1.3%	9,109	1,473	l.9%(0.4)	1.2% (1.1)
Leicester City	5.2%	7.5%	2.8%	9,913	701	4.8%(0.4)	3.9% (1.3)
Rutland	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%	274	51	1.0%(0.2)	0.6% (0.6)
East Midlands	3.3% 4.7% I.8%		90,252	9,969	2.9%(0.4)	2.0% (1.3)	
UK	3.4%	4.8%	1.8%	1,282,645	129,317	3.0%(0.4)	2.2% (1.2)

#### Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: January 2009

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the February 2009 unemployment figures on Wednesday 18th March 2009.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council, the LSEP and partners are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <u>www.lsr-online.org</u>

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
NW Leicestershire	2.7%	1,480	191	2.3%(0.4)	1.4%(1.3)
Oadby & Wigston	2.4%	832	105	2.1%(0.3)	1.4%(1.0)
Hinckley & Bosworth	2.5%	1,632	301	2.0%(0.5)	1.4%(1.1)
Charnwood	2.3%	2,427	375	1.9%(0.4)	I.4%(0.9)
Blaby	2.1%	1,204	186	1.8%(0.3)	1.0%(1.1)
Melton	2.3%	679	145	1.8%(0.5)	1.0%(1.3)
Harborough	1.7%	864	170	1.4%(0.3)	0.8%(0.9)

#### Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: January 2009

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; January 2009.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	5.1%	1005	2.5%(2.6)	1.8%(3.3)
Coalville	3.2%	697	3.0%(0.2)	1.7%(1.5)
Melton Mowbray	2.7%	424	2.1%(0.6)	1.1%(1.6)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.5%	1092	2.4%(0.1)	I.7%(0.8)
Wigston	2.6%	389	2.1%(0.5)	1.4%(1.2)
Market Harborough	2.4%	306	2.0%(0.4)	1.1%(1.3)
Ashby de la Zouch	2.1%	150	I.8%(0.3)	I.2%(0.9)
Oadby	1.9%	275	1.8% (0.1)	1.1%(0.8)
Oakham	1.3%	81	1.0%(0.3)	0.7%(0.6)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

#### Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; January 2009.

		18-24 ye	ears old			years old		
Area	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months
Blaby	305	25	0	0	710	100	40	20
Charnwood	665	55	5	0	1,350	205	100	30
Harborough	220	15	0	0	535	55	20	15
Hinckley & Bosworth	425	25	0	0	980	125	40	30
Melton	195	10	0	0	410	45	10	5
NW Leicestershire	390	35	0	5	885	100	45	15
Oadby & Wigston	210	25	0	0	455	100	30	10
Leicester City	2,175	340	15	5	4,790	I,485	645	385
Leicestershire County	2,415	190	5	5	5,325	730	280	125
Rutland	70	5	0	0	175	15	10	5
Leicester Shire	4,590	530	20	15	10,115	2,210	925	510

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

#### Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; <a href="http://www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP\_Ethnicity\_JSA.pdf">www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP\_Ethnicity\_JSA.pdf</a>

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	855	10	10	45	15	80	80
Charnwood	I,685	15	15	145	40	215	125
Harborough	620	10	5	15	0	30	40
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,125	5	5	15	5	30	150
Melton	520	5	0	0	0	5	5
NW Leicestershire	1,220	0	0	5	5	10	50
Oadby & Wigston	520	15	15	130	5	160	45
Leicester City	4,860	225	810	2,450	285	3,765	465
Leicestershire County	6,540	60	50	350	75	535	500
Leicester Shire	11,400	285	855	2,800	360	4,300	965
East Midlands	65,965	1,235	2,745	4,255	990	9,220	4,075
Great Britain	877,280	16,605	60,040	55,065	20,345	152,055	70,460

#### Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; December 2008.

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; December 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.0%	0.9%	1.3%	1.1%	2.5%	1.2%
Charnwood	1.2%	0.8%	1.1%	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%
Harborough	0.8%	1.3%	1.3%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.1%	0.6%	1.3%	0.6%	1.0%	0.7%
Melton	1.1%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
NW Leicestershire	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	0.4%
Oadby & Wigston	1.1%	1.9%	1.9%	1.7%	0.8%	1.6%
Leicester City	2.7%	3.2%	6.5%	2.9%	7.5%	3.5%
Leicestershire County	1.1%	0.9%	1.2%	1.2%	1.4%	1.2%
Leicester Shire	1.5%	2.1%	5.1%	2.5%	4.0%	2.8%
East Midlands	1.7%	2.2%	4.7%	2.1%	2.6%	2.6%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

#### Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; December 2008.

			Male	<u>!</u>			Fema	le	
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire									
'White'	11400	50	2,385	4,610	1,570	45	955	1190	595
'Non-white'	4300	5	685	1,855	475	0	375	645	260
Leicester City									
'White'	4865	35	985	2,095	610	35	420	490	195
'Non-white'	3770	5	595	1,635	415	0	330	560	230
Leicestershire County									
'White'	6540	20	1,395	2,515	965	10	530	700	405
'Non-white'	540	0	90	220	65	0	50	85	30

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

#### Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; July 2007 - June 2008

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (January 2009)
United Kingdom	5.3%	1,572,400	3.4%
East Midlands	5.5%	121,000	3.3%
Leicester Shire	6.3%	29,700	3.2%
Leicester City	11.6%	16,200	5.2%
Leicestershire County	4.1%	13,400	2.3%

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

#### Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; August 2008

	All ben	efits		Duration (pro	oportion of all o	claimants)	
Area	Number	Rate	<6mths	6mths - Iyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	4,570	8.0%	22%	8%	11%	17%	43%
Charnwood	9,440	8.8%	22%	8%	10%	18%	41%
Harborough	3,340	6.8%	21%	8%	9%	17%	44%
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,950	9.2%	22%	<b>9</b> %	10%	18%	41%
Melton	2,300	7.7%	23%	8%	9%	17%	43%
NW Leicestershire	6,050	10.9%	19%	8%	10%	18%	46%
Oadby and Wigston	3,170	9.2%	23%	<b>9</b> %	10%	17%	41%
Leicester City	36,980	19.4%	23%	10%	11%	17%	39%
Leicestershire County	34,830	8.7%	21%	8%	10%	17%	42%
Rutland	1,330	5.9%	20%	<b>9</b> %	11%	17%	42%
East Midlands	363,020	13.3%	21%	8%	10%	17%	44%
Great Britain	5,214,440	14.2%	20%	8%	10%	17%	45%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

#### Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; August 2008

	East Midlands		Leicester (	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number Rate		Number	Number Rate		Rate
Incapacity Benefits	177,720	6.5	15,900	8.3	16,480	4.1
Job Seeker	61,730	2.3	8,310	4.4	5,560	1.4
Lone Parent	47,160	1.7	6,090	3.2	3,810	1.0
Carer	30,550	1.1	2,890	1.5	3,360	0.8
Disabled	28,150	1.0	2,000	1.0	3,430	0.9
Others on income related benefit	10,770	0.4	1,310	0.7	1,120	0.3
Bereaved	6,960		470	0.2	1,070	0.3
Total	363,020	13.3	36,980	19.4	34,830	8.7

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research <a href="www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp">www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp</a>

### Definitions

**Claimant Count** - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

**Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions** - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

**Unemployment** (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

**Unemployment Rate** (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

**Labour Force Survey** - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

**Economically Active** - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

**Economically Inactive** - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

**Working Age Population** - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates.

**Benefit Claimants Statistical Group** - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: <a href="http://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf">www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf</a>

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: <a href="http://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp">www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp</a>

For enquiries about the Unemployment Bulletin please contact Jo Miller on 0116 305 7341

**Blaby District** 

			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	31UBFY	Blaby South	59	16	75	4.1	1.2	2.7
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	30	19	49	1.9	1.3	1.6
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	45	17	62	2.0	0.9	1.5
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	19	10	29	2.7	1.6	2.2
5	31UBGC	Ellis	46	16	62	2.8	1.1	2.0
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	57	23	80	4.3	1.7	3.0
7	3 I UBGE	Fairestone	46	13	59	3.4	1.1	2.3
8	31UBGF	Forest	46	19	65	2.1	0.9	١.5
9	31UBGG	Millfield	25	12	37	3.9	2.1	3.1
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	15	11	26	1.2	1.0	1.1
11	3 I UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	49	13	62	2.8	0.8	1.9
12	31UBGK	Normanton	17	6	23	2.0	0.8	1.4
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	57	14	71	3.3	0.9	2.2
14	31UBGM	Pastures	33	16	49	2.1	1.1	۱.6
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	96	37	133	4.1	1.8	3.0
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	95	25	120	3.3	1.2	2.5
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	64	30	94	2.8	1.5	2.2
18	3IUBGR	Winstanley	77	31	108	3.3	1.5	2.5
		Blaby District	876	328	1,204	2.9	1.2	2.1

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

jant	lary 2009		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based	i luic	i cinale	i otu	%	%	% %
		proportions)						
I	3IUCGG	Anstey	71	25	96	3.9	1.4	2.7
2	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West	59	24	83	3.0	1.4	2.3
3	31UCGJ	Birstall Wanlip	48	10	58	3.0	0.7	1.9
4	31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	56	21	77	2.7	1.1	1.9
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	28	10	38	2.9	1.1	2.1
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	17	7	24	١.5	0.8	1.2
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	74	16	90	2.0	0.6	1.4
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and						
		Hathern*	75	27	102	3.7	1.5	2.6
9	3IUCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	77	32	109	3.7	1.8	2.8
10	3IUCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	165	42	207	7.9	2.2	5.2
11	3IUCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	133	33	166	5.8	1.7	3.9
12	3IUCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	21	13	34	0.9	0.8	0.9
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	34	13	47	1.9	0.9	1.4
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	102	43	145	4.3	1.9	3.2
15	3IUCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	68	19	87	2.7	0.9	1.9
16	3IUCGY	Loughborough Storer*	70	35	105	2.6	1.6	2.1
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	73	34	107	3.2	1.6	2.4
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	12	13	25	1.3	1.5	1.4
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	53	20	73	2.6	1.1	1.9
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	32	15	47	I.7	0.9	1.3
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	70	19	89	3.0	0.9	2.0
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	90	30	120	4.I	1.5	2.9
23	31UCHF	Sileby	78	32	110	3.2	1.4	2.4
24	31UCHG	Syston East	59	15	74	3.1	0.8	2.0
25	31UCHH	Syston West	86	26	112	4.2	1.3	2.8
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	16	6	22	1.7	0.7	1.2
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	106	51	157	3.5	1.9	2.8
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	16	7	23	1.7	0.8	1.3
		Charnwood Borough	1,789	638	2,427	3.1	1.3	2.3
		*1						
		*Loughborough including Hathern	819	273	1092	3.4	1.4	2.5
# Th	asa figuras ara si	I or 2						

I

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data January 2009

#### **Charnwood Borough**

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Harborough District

#### Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data January 2009

	ry 2009 Code	Wards (resident-based	Male F	emale	Total	Male %	Female %	Tota १
		proportions)						
		Dill		_			• •	
1	31UDGD	Billesdon	10	3	13	1.8	0.6	١.
2	31UDGE	Bosworth		8	19	1.4	1.2	١.
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	17	5	22	2.2	0.7	
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	18	4	22	2.0	0.5	1
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	16	5	21	2.6	0.9	
6	31UDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	19	9	28	2.7	1.4	2
7	31UDGK	Dunton	5	9	14	0.7	1.3	1
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	40	16	56	2.5	1.1	
9	31UDGM	Glen	28	17	45	2.2	1.5	l
0	31UDGN	Kibworth	37		48	2.0	0.6	I
	31UDGP	Lubenham	20	5	25	1.7	0.7	I
12	3IUDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	19	4	23	2.4	0.6	
3	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	20	6	26	3.1	1.0	2
4	31UDGS	Lutterworth Springs	28	3	31	4.0	0.5	2
5	3IUDGT	Lutterworth Swift	23	5	28	2.9	0.7	I
6	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great	70	25		2.4		~
7		Bowden*	79	35	114	3.6	1.7	2
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little Bowden*	29	15	44	1.9	1.1	I
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	35	15	51	2.7	1.1	2
9	3IUDGX	Market Harborough - Welland*	69	28	97	4.1	1.3	3
20	3IUDGZ	Misterton	14	12	26	1.7	1.0	د ا
21	3IUDHA	Nevill		6	17	1.7	1.7	1
22	31UDHB	Peatling	8	#	9	1.7	1.0 #	0
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	37	# 24	61	2.1	# I.5	I
24	31UDHD	Tilton	8	2 <del>4</del> #	10	1.3	1.5 #	0
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	12	#	10	1.5	#	I
	JIODIA	Harborough District	613	251	864	2.3	# I.I	i
		* Market Harborough	212	94	306	3.2	١.5	2

I

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

**Hinckley & Bosworth Borough** 

Jan	2007		Mala	Famala	Tatal	Mala	Comolo	Tatal
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	male %	Female %	rotai %
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	28	6	34	2.4	0.6	1.5
2	31UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	27	8	35	2.4	0.8	1.6
3	31UEFX	Barwell	145	54	199	4.9	2.0	3.5
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	60	30	90	3.4	1.9	2.7
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	58	18	76	2.0	0.7	1.4
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	20	6	26	1.9	0.7	1.3
7	3 I UEGB	Earl Shilton	184	60	244	6.2	2.1	4.2
8	3 I UEGC	Groby	57	10	67	2.6	0.5	1.6
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	108	37	145	4.7	2.0	3.5
10	31UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	104	59	163	3.7	2.1	2.9
11	3 I UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	98	49	147	3.2	1.8	2.5
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	104	36	140	4.9	1.8	3.4
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	56	14	70	3.3	0.9	2.1
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	60	14	74	2.3	0.6	1.5
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	60	27	87	2.9	1.3	2.1
16	31UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	17	9	26	۱.8	1.0	1.4
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	1,186	437	1,623	3.5	1.4	2.5
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	716	289	1005	7.0	3.1	5.1

I

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Janu	ary 2009			•				
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
_	00FNNF	Abbay	402	127	520	10.0	2.5	( )
1 2	00FININF 00FNNG	Abbey Aylestone	402	136	538	10.0	3.5	6.8
	00FINING 00FNNH	,	220	69	289	6.4	2.2	4.4
3 4	00FININH 00FNNJ	Beaumont Leys	498	167	665	9.5	3.2	6.4
4 5	00FNNK	Belgrave Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	237	128	365	6.8	3.6	5.2
	00FININK 00FNNL	'	482	142	624	9.5	2.8	6.1
6 7	00FNNL 00FNNM	Castle Charnwood	511	135	646	7.2	2.0	4.6
	00FNNM 00FNNN		387	126	513	10.5	3.5	7.0
8 9	00FINININ 00FNNP	Coleman	341	139	480	8.1	3.5	5.9
		Evington France Manager	162	54	216	5.6	1.9	3.8
10		Eyres Monsell	304	89	393	9.5	2.7	6.1
11		Fosse	256	81	337	6.0	2.2	4.3
12		Freemen	334	102	436	10.0	2.9	6.3
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	261	109	370	5.8	2.7	4.3
14	00FNNU	Knighton	173	73	246	3.6	1.6	2.6
15	00FNNW	Latimer	211	140	351	5.3	3.7	4.5
16	00FNNX	New Parks	468	146	614	9.9	3.1	6.5
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	232	138	370	4.4	2.9	3.7
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	736	230	966	10.6	3.6	7.2
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	476	190	666	7.5	3.4	5.5
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	183	87	270	6.6	3.1	4.9
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	245	79	324	6.6	2.4	4.6
22	00FNPD	Western Park	166	68	234	5.1	2.3	3.8
		Leicester	7,285	2,628	9,913	7.5	2.8	5.2
·· <b>—</b> ·	<u>^</u>							

#### Leicester City

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

#### Melton Borough

-	iai y 2007		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I I	31UGFT	Asfordby	43	16	59	4.2	1.7	3.0
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	43	6	49	4.0	0.6	2.5
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	8	7	15	1.4	1.4	1.4
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	9	6	15	1.6	1.2	1.4
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	10	4	14	1.9	0.9	1.4
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	19	11	30	1.4	0.9	1.2
7	3IUGGA	Melton Craven*	46	13	59	3.8	1.3	2.7
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	55	28	83	3.3	1.8	2.5
9	3IUGGC	Melton Egerton*	64	24	88	5.4	2.1	3.8
10	3IUGGD	Melton Newport*	40	9	49	2.7	0.7	1.8
П	3 IUGGE	Melton Sysonby*	63	20	83	3.7	1.3	2.5
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	48	14	62	4.4	1.4	2.9
13	3IUGGG	Old Dalby	16	5	21	2.5	0.9	1.7
14	31UGGH	Somerby	8	11	19	1.4	2.1	1.7
15	3IUGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	7	3	10	1.4	0.6	1.0
16	3IUGGK	Wymondham	15	8	23	2.9	1.8	2.4
		Melton Borough	494	185	679	3.1	1.3	2.3
		* Melton Mowbray	316	108	424	3.8	1.4	2.7

I

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Janu	uary 2009		Mala	<b>F</b>	Tatal	Mala F		<b>T</b> I
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	maie	Female	I OTAI	Male Fo %	emale %	Total %
I	31UHFZ	Appleby	14		25	2.0	1.9	2.0
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	I	0	21	1.8	0.0	1.3
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*	50	18	68	3.3	1.3	2.4
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	48	13	61	3.5	1.0	2.3
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	32	16	48	3.2	1.7	2.5
6	31UHGE	Breedon	14	6	20	1.8	0.9	1.4
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	82	28	110	3.6	1.4	2.6
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	104	28	132	6.3	1.9	4.2
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	126	43	169	5.9	2.2	4.1
10	31UHGJ	Hugglescote**	66	14	80	4.2	1.0	2.7
11	31UHGK	Ibstock and Heather	102	34	136	4.4	1.7	3.2
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	34	12	46	2.3	0.9	1.7
13	31UHGM	Measham	70	17	87	4.2	1.1	2.7
14	31UHGN	Moira	46	28	74	3.1	2.1	2.6
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	27	7	34	3.2	0.9	2.1
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	20	0	28	2.6	0.0	1.9
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	56	25	81	3.4	1.6	2.5
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	49	15	64	3.5	1.2	2.4
19	31UHGT	Valley	48	25	73	3.3	2.0	2.7
20	31UHGU	Whitwick**	88	35	123	4.2	1.9	3.1
		NW Leicestershire District	1,092	388	I,480	3.7	1.5	2.7
		* Ashby de la Zouch	99	31	150	2.6	0.9	2.1
		** Coalville	521	176	697	4.5	1.7	3.2
<i></i>	c							

#### North West Leicestershire District

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

#### Oadby & Wigston Borough

Jana			Male	Female	Total	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
1	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	31	14	45	2.7	1.3	2.1
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	31	18	49	1.3	0.9	1.1
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	52	10	62	5.0	1.0	3.0
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	49	23	72	3.8	1.8	2.8
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	29	18	47	2.0	1.2	1.6
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	131	37	168	5.5	1.6	3.6
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	64	23	87	3.4	1.3	2.4
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	114	29	143	5.8	1.6	3.7
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	69	13	82	3.2	0.7	2.0
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	60	17	77	3.1	1.0	2.1
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	630	202	832	3.6	1.2	2.4
		* Oadby	192	83	275	2.6	1.2	1.9
		** Wigston	307	82	389	3.9	1.1	2.6
<b></b> .		<b>.</b>						

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Rutland

			Male	Female	Total	Male Fe	emale	Tota
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
		Duran ten and Daltan		-	_			• -
l v	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	#	3	5	#	0.9	0.7
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	14	6	20	1.2	0.7	1.0
3	00FPMU	Exton	9	4	13	1.0	1.0	1.0
1	00FPMW	Greetham	9	3	12	0.9	0.8	0.9
5	00FPMX	Ketton	8	7	15	1.0	1.0	1.0
5	00FPMY	Langham	3	3	6	0.8	0.9	0.8
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	7	#	9	1.7	#	1.2
B	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	9	3	12	2.6	1.0	3.1
9	00FPNB	Normanton	19	10	29	1.6	1.2	1.4
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	19	3	22	1.9	0.3	1.2
11	00FPND	Oakham North West*	14	6	20	1.4	0.7	I.
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	11	3	14	1.5	0.5	1.0
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	16	9	25	2.4	1.5	1.9
4	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	18	4	22	1.9	0.5	1.3
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	29	8	37	1.9	0.6	1.3
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	7	6	13	1.7	1.5	1.6
	,	Rutland	194	80	274	1.6	0.8	1.2
		*Oakham	60	21	81	1.8	0.7	1.3

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics