# Unemployment Bulletin June 2008





# Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<a href="www.nomisweb.co.uk">www.nomisweb.co.uk</a>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: June 2008

Area	Claimant	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	2.1%	2.9%	1.3%	12,488	139	2.1% (0.0)	2.3%(-0.2)
Leicestershire County	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%	4,671	-7	1.2% (0.0)	1.3%(-0.1)
Leicester City	4.2%	5.8%	2.5%	7,817	146	4.1% (0.1)	4.5%(-0.3)
Rutland	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	132	-11	0.6% (0.0)	0.6%(0.0)
East Midlands	2.1%	2.9%	1.2%	56,279	212	2.1% (0.0)	2.2%(-0.1)
UK	2.2%	3.1%	1.2%	835,317	3,466	2.2% (0.0)	2.3%(-0.1)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the July 2008 unemployment figures on Wednesday 13th August 2008.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council, the LSEP and partners are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <a href="https://www.lsr-online.org">www.lsr-online.org</a>

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: June 2008

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	1.6%	542	41	1.5% (0.1)	1.7%(-0.1)
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.3%	818	-59	1.4% (-0.1)	1.4%(-0.1)
Charnwood	1.3%	1,341	-34	1.3% (0.0)	1.4%(-0.1)
NW Leicestershire	1.2%	655	-26	1.2% (0.0)	1.5%(-0.3)
Blaby	1.1%	634	42	1.0% (0.1)	1.2%(-0.1)
Melton	1.0%	292	18	0.9% (0.1)	1.2%(-0.2)
Harborough	0.8%	389	П	0.8% (0.0)	0.8%(0.0)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; June 2008.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	1.6%	626	1.7% (-0.1)	1.9%(-0.3)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1.6%	510	1.7% (-0.1)	1.8%(-0.2)
Wigston	1.6%	244	1.5% (0.0)	1.6%(0.0)
Coalville	1.5%	314	1.6% (-0.1)	2.1%(-0.6)
Oadby	1.2%	169	1.1% (0.1)	1.4%(-0.2)
Melton Mowbray	1.2%	186	1.1%(0.1)	1.4%(-0.2)
Market Harborough	1.1%	132	1.0%(0.1)	1.3%(-0.2)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.1%	78	1.0%(0.1)	1.2%(-0.1)
Oakham	0.7%	44	0.7%(0.0)	0.6%(0.1)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; June 2008.

		18-24 ye	ears old			years old		
	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18
Area	monuis	monuis	monuis	monus	monuis	monuis	monus	months
Blaby	160	15	5	0	335	70	30	15
Charnwood	350	55	0	0	620	180	65	40
Harborough	95	10	0	0	215	40	15	10
Hinckley & Bosworth	205	25	0	0	440	85	35	25
Melton	75	10	0	0	160	30	5	10
NW Leicestershire	175	20	5	0	325	75	25	20
Oadby & Wigston	125	20	0	0	290	55	25	20
Leicester City	1,760	320	5	5	3,625	1,170	520	335
Leicestershire County	1,190	155	10	5	2,390	535	195	145
Rutland	35	5	0	0	60	15	5	5
Leicester Shire	2,955	475	15	10	6,015	1,700	715	480

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

#### Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; <a href="https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP\_Ethnicity\_JSA.pdf">www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP\_Ethnicity\_JSA.pdf</a>

Please note that the ethnicity figures have been temporarily suspended pending a review by Department of Work and Pension and therefore the latest figures available are from January 2008.

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; May 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	490	5	10	35	10	60	40
Charnwood	1,085	10	5	145	30	190	100
Harborough	335	5	0	15	0	25	20
Hinckley & Bosworth	765	0	5	10	5	20	90
Melton	260	0	0	0	0	0	10
NW Leicestershire	625	0	5	0	5	10	45
Oadby & Wigston	340	10	10	105	5	130	30
Leicester City	3,780	205	725	2,085	235	3,245	650
Leicestershire County	3,905	35	35	310	50	430	345
Leicester Shire	7,685	235	760	2,395	285	3,675	990
East Midlands	44,360	975	2,365	3,585	805	7,725	3,735
Great Britain	600,910	13,045	51,745	46,730	18,140	129,660	73,780

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; May 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	0.6%	0.5%	1.3%	0.9%	1.7%	0.9%
Charnwood	0.8%	0.5%	0.4%	1.3%	1.0%	1.1%
Harborough	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.7%
Hinckley & Bosworth	0.8%	0.0%	1.3%	0.4%	1.0%	0.5%
Melton	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
NW Leicestershire	0.7%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.4%
Oadby & Wigston	0.7%	1.3%	1.3%	1.4%	0.8%	1.3%
Leicester City	2.1%	2.9%	5.8%	2.5%	3.1%	3.0%
Leicestershire County	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%	2.1%	1.0%
Leicester Shire	1.0%	1.7%	4.6%	2.1%	6.2%	2.4%
East Midlands	1.1%	1.8%	4.1%	1.8%	0.9%	2.2%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; May 2008.

		Male				Female			
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire									
'White'	7,675	70	1,595	2,950	980	45	725	915	395
'Non-white'	3,680	5	550	1,560	405	5	280	565	310
Leicester City									
'White'	3,775	45	815	1,530	445	25	350	395	170
'Non-white'	3,245	5	485	1,380	360	0	250	485	280
Leicestershire County									
'White'	3,900	25	780	1,420	535	20	375	520	225
'Non-white'	430	0	65	180	45	0	30	80	30

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

#### **Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition**

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; October 2006 - September 2007

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (June 2008)
United Kingdom	5.4%	1,579,800	835,317
East Midlands	5.2%	112,600	56,279
Leicester Shire	5.2%	24,400	12,488
Leicester City	9.1%	12,700	7,817
Leicestershire County	3.5%	11,700	4,671

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

### Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; November 2007

	All benefits Duration (proportion of all claimants)					claimants)	
Area	Number	Rate	<6mths	6mths - lyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	4,340	7.6	17%	9%	10%	18%	46%
Charnwood	8,940	8.5	20%	8%	11%	19%	42%
Harborough	3,170	6.5	18%	8%	10%	18%	46%
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,770	8.9	20%	8%	11%	19%	42%
Melton	2,200	7.4	18%	7%	10%	19%	45%
NW Leicestershire	5,950	10.8	17%	7%	10%	18%	47%
Oadby and Wigston	3,000	8.8	20%	8%	10%	18%	43%
Leicester City	35,360	18.8	21%	9%	11%	18%	41%
Leicestershire County	33,380	8.4	19%	8%	10%	18%	44%
Rutland	1,230	5.4	18%	10%	10%	17%	46%
East Midlands	349,990	12.9	18%	8%	10%	18%	45%
Great Britain	5,105,590	13.9	18%	8%	10%	18%	46%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; November 2007

	East Midlands		Leicester (	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Incapacity Benefits	179,100	6.6%	15,840	8.4%	16,510	4.2%
Job Seeker	50,150	1.8%	7,050	3.7%	4,380	1.1%
Lone Parent	46,030	1.7%	6,080	3.2%	3,710	0.9%
Carer	29,510	1.1%	2,710	1.4%	3,240	0.8%
Disabled	27,220	1.0%	1,940	1.0%	3,330	0.8%
Others on income related benefit	10,370	0.4%	1,270	0.7%	1,050	0.3%
Bereaved	27,220	1.0%	1,940	1.0%	3,330	0.8%
Total	349,990	12.9%	35,360	18.8%	33,380	8.4%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp

## **Definitions**

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

**Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions** - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

**Unemployment** (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

**Unemployment Rate** (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

**Economically Active** - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

**Economically Inactive** - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year population estimates which are compatible with the 2001 Census.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: <a href="https://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf">www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_labour/unemployment.pdf</a>

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: <a href="https://www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp">www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data\_guide.asp</a>

	mant Count 2008	(JSA) Ward Data		Blaby I	District			
Julie	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
I	3 I UBFY	Blaby South	29	10	39	1.9	0.7	1.4
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	16	8	24	1.0	0.5	0.8
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	22	6	28	1.0	0.3	0.7
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	5	5	10	0.7	0.8	0.8
5	31UBGC	Ellis	20	8	28	1.2	0.5	0.9
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	33	6	39	2.7	0.5	1.6
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	16	8	24	1.1	0.6	0.9
8	31UBGF	Forest	22	13	35	1.0	0.6	0.8
9	31UBGG	Millfield	16	9	25	2.5	1.5	2.0
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	14	8	22	1.1	0.7	0.9
11	31UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	19	12	31	1.1	0.8	0.9
12	3 I UBGK	Normanton	8	#	9	0.9	#	0.5
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	19	13	32	1.2	0.9	1.0
14	31UBGM	Pastures	24	16	40	1.4	1.0	1.2
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	48	26	74	2.1	1.2	1.7
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	37	9	46	1.3	0.5	1.0
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	40	22	62	1.7	1.0	1.4
18	31UBGR	Winstanley	45	21	66	2.3	1.2	1.8
		Blaby District	433	201	634	1.4	0.8	1.1

# These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Clain June	-	SA) Ward Data I	Charı	nwood Bo	rough			
_	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
	2111666							
ı	31UCGG	Anstey	33	18	51	1.8	1.1	1.4
2	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West	16	4	20	0.9	0.2	0.6
3	31UCGJ	Birstall Wanlip	20	12	32	1.3	0.9	1.1
4	31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	35	15	50	1.8	0.8	1.3
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	7	5	12	0.7	0.5	0.6
6	3 I UCGM	Forest Bradgate	7	4	11	0.8	0.5	0.6
7	3 I UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	36	19	55	1.1	0.8	1.0
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	33	20	53	1.7	1.1	1.4
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	20	14	34	1.0	0.7	0.9
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	118	29	147	6.4	1.7	4.2
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	94	24	118	4.9	1.4	3.3
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	5	3	8	0.2	0.2	0.2
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	19	3	22	1.1	0.2	0.7
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	59	32	91	3. I	1.7	2.4
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	33	10	43	1.7	0.6	1.2
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer $^st$	42	13	55	1.9	0.7	1.4
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	51	26	77	2.4	1.3	1.8
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	7	12	19	0.8	1.5	1.1
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	30	14	44	1.6	0.8	1.2
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	20	10	30	1.1	0.6	0.9
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	32	13	45	1.5	0.6	1.1
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	31	11	42	1.5	0.6	1.0
23	31UCHF	Sileby	39	22	61	1.9	1.1	1.5
24	31UCHG	Syston East	28	15	43	1.6	0.9	1.2
25	31UCHH	Syston West	32	20	52	1.7	1.1	1.4
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	9	6	15	1.0	0.7	0.9
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	70	34	104	2.4	1.3	1.9
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	4	3	7	0.4	0.4	0.4
		Charnwood Borough	930	411	1,341	1.6	0.8	1.3
		*Loughborough including Hathern	459	167	626	2.2	0.9	1.6

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Clain June	-	SA) Ward Data 	Harbo	rough I	District			
	Code	e Wards (resident-based proportions)		Male Female		Male %	Female %	Total %
ı	31UDGD	Billesdon	7	4	- 11	1.4	0.9	1.2
2	3 IUDGE	Bosworth	4	#	5	0.6	#	0.4
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	8	#	10	1.0	#	0.7
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	П	#	12	1.4	#	0.8
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	8	3	- 11	1.3	0.5	0.9
6	3 IUDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	П	5	16	1.6	0.8	1.2
7	31UDGK	Dunton	4	#	5	0.5	#	0.4
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	П	5	16	0.7	0.4	0.5
9	31UDGM	Glen	П	8	19	0.9	0.7	0.8
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	17	5	22	0.9	0.3	0.6
П	31UDGP	Lubenham	10	#	12	1.0	#	0.8
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	8	#	9	1.1	#	0.6
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	8	5	13	1.2	0.8	1.0
14	31UDGS	Lutterworth Springs	11	6	17	1.7	1.0	1.4
15	3 IUDGT	Lutterworth Swift	8	#	9	1.3	#	0.8
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	24	19	43	1.2	1.0	1.1
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little Bowden*	19	9	28	1.4	0.7	1.1
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	13	8	21	1.0	0.7	0.8
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	30	10	40	1.8	0.6	1.2
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	4	3	7	0.5	0.4	0.5
21	31UDHA	Nevill	8	#	9	1.3	#	0.8
22	31UDHB	Peatling	3	0	3	0.4	0.0	0.2
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	22	14	36	1.1	0.8	0.9
24	31UDHD	Tilton	5	#	7	8.0	#	0.6
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	6	#	8	0.9	#	0.6
		Harborough District	271	118	289	1.0	0.5	8.0
		* Market Harborough	86	46	132	1.4	0.8	1.1

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

## Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

# **Hinckley & Bosworth Borough**

	June 2008							
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	9	10	19	0.8	1.0	0.9
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	10	4	14	0.9	0.4	0.7
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	86	29	115	3.0	1.1	2.1
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	44	14	58	2.5	0.9	1.7
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	26	19	45	0.9	0.7	0.8
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	7	5	12	0.7	0.6	0.6
7	3 I UEGB	Earl Shilton	88	39	127	3.1	1.5	2.3
8	3 I UEGC	Groby	26	П	37	1.2	0.5	0.9
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	55	26	81	2.7	1.5	2.2
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	34	17	51	1.4	0.7	1.1
П	3 I UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	48	29	77	1.6	1.0	1.3
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	51	20	71	2.3	1.0	1.7
13	3 I UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	19	6	25	1.1	0.4	0.7
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	24	9	33	0.9	0.4	0.7
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	25	16	41	1.4	0.9	1.2
16	31UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	10	#	12	1.0	#	0.6
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	562	256	818	1.7	0.8	1.3
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	346	164	510	2.0	1.0	1.6
44 🖚	c	1 1 1 2						

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data June 2008			Leice	ster City				
June			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
	0051115							
ı	00FNNF	Abbey	325	137	462	8.5	3.8	6.2
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	138	53	191	4.1	1.6	2.9
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	423	155	578	9.4	3.3	6.3
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	183	135	318	5.7	4.2	4.9
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	333	113	446	7.0	2.3	4.6
6	00FNNL	Castle	430	116	546	7.1	2.3	4.9
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	313	124	437	9.7	3.9	6.8
8	00FNNN	Coleman	269	121	390	6.9	3.3	5.1
9	00FNNP	Evington	112	49	161	4.1	1.8	3.0
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	184	83	267	6. l	2.7	4.4
11	00FNNR	Fosse	165	65	230	4.4	1.9	3.2
12	00FNNS	Freemen	255	91	346	8.0	2.6	5.2
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	165	65	230	4.5	1.9	3.2
14	00FNNU	Knighton	125	51	176	2.4	1.0	1.7
15	00FNNW	Latimer	173	107	280	4.7	2.9	3.8
16	00FNNX	New Parks	382	142	524	8.6	3.1	5.8
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	164	134	298	3.2	2.8	3.0
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	638	215	853	9.1	3.3	6.3
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	367	160	527	6.0	2.9	4.5
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	136	39	175	5.0	1.5	3.3
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	178	58	236	5.1	1.8	3.5
22	00FNPD	Western Park	104	42	146	3.2	1.4	2.3
		Leicester	5,562	2,255	7,817	5.8	2.5	4.2

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data June 2008			Melton B	orough			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
1 2	31UGFT 31UGFU	Asfordby Bottesford		13	26	1.3	1.4	1.3
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	4	5 4	15 8	0.9 0.8	0.5 0.8	0.7 0.8
<b>4 5</b>	3IUGFX 3IUGFY	Frisby-on-the-Wreake Gaddesby		0	# <b>4</b>	0.8	0.0	# 0.4
6 7	31UGFZ 31UGGA	Long Clawson and Stathern Melton Craven*	10 19	5 9	15 28	0.8 1.5	0.4 0.9	0.6 1.2
8	3IUGGB 3IUGGC	Melton Dorian* Melton Egerton*	15	10 15	25 50	0.9	0.7	0.8
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	17	9	26	1.1	0.6	0.9
11	31UGGE 31UGGF	Melton Sysonby* Melton Warwick*	21	8	30 27	2.1	0.5 0.6	1.0
13	31UGGG 31UGGH	Old Dalby Somerby	•	# 4	9 7	1.2 0.5	# 0.8	0.8
15 16	31UGGJ 31UGGK	Waltham-on-the-Wolds Wymondham	5	3	8	1.0	0.7	0.8
10	JIOGGK	Melton Borough	_	98	292	1.5 1.2	1.0 0.7	1.3

129

57

186

1.6

8.0

1.2

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

\* Melton Mowbray

Source: Office for National Statistics

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

	imant Coun e 2008	North West Leicestershire District						
Jun	C 2000		Male	Female	Total	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
	21111157	A 1.1	-	2	0	0.0	0.5	0.4
ı	31UHFZ	Appleby		3	8	0.8	0.5	0.6
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*		0	9	0.8	0.0	0.6
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*		6	42	2.4	0.4	1.4
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*		8	27	1.4	0.6	1.0
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	12	3	15	1.6	0.4	1.0
6	31UHGE	Breedon	8	3	Ш	1.0	0.4	0.7
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington		22	50	1.3	1.1	1.2
8	31UHGG	Coalville**		15	67	3.7	1.2	2.5
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	75	21	96	3.6	1.1	2.4
10	3 I UHGJ	$Hugglescote^{**}$		7	23	1.2	0.6	0.9
11	31UHGK	Ibstock and Heather	36	12	48	1.6	0.6	1.2
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	16	9	25	1.1	0.7	0.9
13	31UHGM	Measham	28	4	32	1.8	0.3	1.0
14	3 I UHGN	Moira	16	13	29	1.1	1.0	1.0
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	8	7	15	1.0	1.0	1.0
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	8	0	10	1.1	0.0	0.7
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	24	15	39	1.5	1.0	1.2
18	3 I UHGS	Thringstone**	23	14	37	1.6	1.1	1.3
19	31UHGT	Valley		12	35	1.7	1.0	1.4
20	3 I UHGU	Whitwick**	28	9	37	1.3	0.5	0.9
		NW Leicestershire District		187	655	1.6	0.7	1.2
								- •-
		* Ashby de la Zouch	56	14	78	1.5	0.4	1.1
		** Coalville	230	84	314	2.1	0.9	1.5
		Courtine	250	J.	J. 1	<b>4</b> . i	0.7	1.5

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Istance Count (ICA) Mand Date

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

# Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

# Oadby & Wigston Borough

June	2008							
			Male	Female	Total	Male F	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based				%	%	%
		proportions)						
I	31UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	18	13	31	1.5	1.2	1.4
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	23	12	35	1.1	0.6	8.0
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	32	13	45	3.0	1.3	2.2
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	26	13	39	2.0	1.0	1.5
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	18	#	19	1.4	#	0.7
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	90	39	129	3.9	1.8	2.8
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	32	9	41	1.6	0.5	1.1
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	54	27	81	2.8	1.6	2.2
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	52	16	68	2.4	0.8	1.6
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	42	12	54	2.2	0.7	1.5
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	386	155	542	2.2	0.9	1.5
		* Oadby	117	51	169	1.7	0.8	1.2
		** Wigston	180	64	244	2.2	0.9	1.6
		_						

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	imant Coui e 2008	nt (JSA) Ward Data		Rutland				
-			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	3	0	3	8.0	0.0	0.4
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	5	4	9	0.5	0.5	0.5
3	00FPMU	Exton	3	3	6	0.4	0.7	0.5
4	00FPMW	Greetham	3	3	6	0.3	0.9	0.5
5	00FPMX	Ketton	#	4	6	#	0.6	0.4
6	00FPMY	Langham	3	#	4	0.9	#	0.7
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	4	0	4	1.0	0.0	0.5
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	#	#	3	#	#	0.4
9	00FPNB	Normanton	6	5	11	0.6	0.6	0.6
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	6	5	11	0.7	0.6	0.6
11	00FPND	Oakham North West*	7	6	13	0.7	0.7	0.7
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	6	#	8	1.0	#	0.7
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	11	#	12	1.6	#	0.9
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	8	5	13	0.9	0.7	0.8
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	13	5	18	1.0	0.5	0.8
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	4	#	5	1.1	#	0.7
		Rutland	86	46	132	0.7	0.5	0.6
		*Oakham	30	П	44	0.9	0.4	0.7

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

<sup>#</sup> These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.