Unemployment Bulletin November 2010



Introduction

The population figures used to calculate residence-based proportions (rates) have changed from working age (16-59f/64m) to aged 16-64 for both males and females. This change affects rates for July 2010 so rates are not currently comparable to previous dates.

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (www.nomisweb.co.uk) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: November 2010

Area	Claimant Count Pro- portion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Change since last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)	
	Total	Male	Female					
Leicester Shire	3.1%	4.3%	2.0%	19,566	-123	-3,867	3.2%(-0.1)	4.1%(-1.0)
Leicestershire County	2.1%	2.8%	1.4%	8,703	34	-2,174	2.1%(0.0)	3.0%(-0.9)
Leicester City	5.3%	7.3%	3.2%	10,863	-157	-1,693	5.3%(0.0)	7.0%(-1.7)
Rutland	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%	287	13	-74	1.2%(0.0)	1.6%(-0.4)
East Midlands	3.2%	4.5%	1.9%	92,473	-724	-15,705	3.2%(0.0)	4.1%(-0.9)
UK	3.5%	4.9%	2.1%	1,413,265	-3,520	-156,543	3.5%(0.0)	4.2%(-0.7)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the December 2010 unemployment figures on Wednesday 19th January 2011.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, www.lsr-online.org

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: November 2010.

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Total last month	Total last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
NW Leicestershire	2.4%	1,390	1,369	1,704	2.4%(0.0)	3.1%(-0.7)
Hinckley & Bosworth	2.3%	1,529	1,538	1,957	2.3%(0.0)	3.0%(-0.7)
Charnwood	2.3%	2,530	2,469	3,135	2.2%(0.1)	2.9%(-0.6)
Oadby & Wigston	2.1%	108	852	1,047	2.3%(-0.2)	3.0%(-0.9)
Blaby	1.9%	1,146	1,173	1,512	2.0%(-0.1)	2.7%(-0.8)
Melton	1.8%	565	526	719	1.7%(0.1)	2.4%(-0.6)
Harborough	1.4%	742	742	1,103	1.4%(0.0)	2.2%(-0.8)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; November 2010.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	2.7%	964	2.7%(0.0)	3.5%(-0.8)
Coalville	2.6%	687	2.6%(0.0)	3.5%(-0.9)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.6%	1,222	2.6%(0.0)	3.2%(-0.6)
Wigston	2.5%	338	2.7%(-0.2)	3.0%(-0.5)
Melton Mowbray	2.2%	370	2.0%(0.2)	2.9%(-0.7)
Oadby	1.8%	284	1.9%(-0.1)	2.5%(-0.7)
Oakham	1.7%	115	1.6%(0.1)	1.8%(-0.1)
Market Harborough	1.5%	211	1.5%(0.0)	2.5%(-1.0)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.3%	130	1.2%(0.1)	2.6%(-1.3)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; November 2010.

		18-24 ye	ears old	old Over 25 years old						
Area	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months		
Blaby	275	20	15	15	535	110	75	105		
Charnwood	680	55	10	30	1,170	260	135	190		
Harborough	160	15	5	5	380	85	50	50		
Hinckley & Bosworth	400	45	15	25	635	170	95	135		
Melton	130	10	10	5	270	50	40	45		
NW Leicestershire	345	40	15	15	595	130	110	130		
Oadby & Wigston	210	20	10	10	325	85	60	75		
Leicester City	2,345	250	120	185	4,165	1,295	950	1,500		
Leicestershire County	2,190	205	80	105	3,910	890	565	725		
Rutland	60	5	0	0	155	30	15	25		
Leicester Shire	4,535	455	200	290	8,075	2,185	1,520	2,230		

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP_Ethnicity_JSA.pdf

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; October 2010.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	975	20	25	95	10	150	45
Charnwood	1,995	40	15	250	35	340	135
Harborough	655	5	10	15	0	30	60
Hinckley & Bosworth	1,330	15	10	25	5	55	150
Melton	505	5	5	5	0	15	5
NW Leicestershire	1,285	10	5	5	5	25	55
Oadby & Wigston	615	20	15	160	5	200	35
Leicester City	5,585	345	1,105	2,935	350	4,730	690
Leicestershire County	7,365	115	85	555	60	815	485
Leicester Shire	12,950	460	1,190	3,485	410	5,545	1,170
			·	·		·	·
East Midlands	75,415	1,795	3,845	5,480	1,205	12,325	5,215
Great Britain	1,048,565	24,720	87,625	76,780	26,740	215,865	90,215

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; October 2010.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.1%	1.8%	3.1%	2.4%	1.7%	2.3%
Charnwood	1.4%	2.1%	1.1%	2.3%	1.2%	2.0%
Harborough	0.9%	0.6%	2.5%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.3%	1.7%	2.5%	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%
Melton	1.1%	1.7%	2.5%	0.8%	0.0%	1.2%
NW Leicestershire	1.5%	1.4%	1.3%	0.4%	1.3%	0.9%
Oadby & Wigston	1.3%	2.5%	1.9%	2.1%	0.8%	2.0%
Leicester City	3.1%	4.9%	8.9%	3.5%	9.2%	4.4%
Leicestershire County	1.3%	1.8%	2.0%	1.9%	1.1%	1.8%
Leicester Shire	1.7%	3.4%	7.1%	3.1%	4.5%	3.6%
East Midlands	1.9%	3.2%	6.6%	2.7%	3.2%	3.5%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group and old working age population, published October 2007.

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; October 2010.

					Ma	le			Fen	nale	
	Total	Previous month	Previous year	Aged <18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged < 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire											
'White'	12,305	13,465	17,035	15	2,485	4,905	1,510	10	1,355	2,025	650
'Non-white'	5,230	5,840	6,555	0	855	2,290	535	0	580	970	315
Leicester City											
'White'	5,345	5,845	7,025	5	1,045	2,265	600	5	550	875	235
'Non-white'	4,455	4,995	5,570	0	705	1,990	465	0	490	805	270
Leicestershire County											
'White'	6,955	7,625	10,015	10	1,440	2,640	910	5	805	1,145	410
'Non-white'	765	840	975	0	150	295	70	0	85	165	45

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; April 2009 - March 2010

_	_ !	_		
	April 2009 - March 2010		April 2008 - March 2009	
Area	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unem- ployed	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed
United Kingdom	8.0%	2,428,800	6.3%	1,902,300
East Midlands	7.4%	165,400	6.5%	144,800
Leicester Shire	7.9%	37,600	7.2%	34,700
Leicester City	10.9%	15,000	12.7%	17,800
Leicestershire County	6.7%	22,600	5.0%	16,900

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; May 2010

		All be	enefits		Dui	ration (pro	portion of a	ll claimants	s)
Area	Number	Rate	Previous year number	Previous year rate	<6mths	6mths - Iyr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	5,350	9.0	5,440	9.6	22%	11%	12%	16%	40%
Charnwood	10,750	9.6	11,100	10.2	21%	11%	12%	17%	39%
Harborough	3,740	7.2	4,040	8.2	23%	11%	13%	16%	38%
Hinckley & Bosworth	7,000	10.4	7,260	11.2	23%	11%	13%	17%	37%
Melton	2,770	8.9	2,870	9.6	22%	11%	12%	16%	39%
NW Leicestershire	6,880	11.9	7,070	12.8	23%	11%	13%	17%	36%
Oadby and	3,640	9.7	3,740	10.8	25%	11%	13%	16%	36%
Leicester City	40,830	19.8	41,050	21.4	23%	11%	13%	17%	36%
Leicestershire County	40,130	9.6	41,510	10.4	23%	9%	11%	17%	40%
Rutland	1,490	6.4	1,550	6.8	21%	11%	14%	18%	36%
East Midlands	404,410	14.0	411,900	15.0	26%	11%	9%	15%	39%
Great Britain	5,731,460	14.7	5,818,730	15.7	25%	10%	9%	15%	40%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

February 2010

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; May 2010

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Employment and Support Allowance & Incapacity Benefits	180,700	6.3%	16,550	8.0%	17,140	4.1%
Job Seeker	96,430	3.3%	11,690	5.7%	9,250	2.2%
Lone Parent	44,460	1.5%	5,330	2.6%	3,950	0.9%
Carer	33,200	1.2%	3,190	1.5%	3,710	0.9%
Disabled	30,440	1.1%	2,230	1.1%	3,680	0.9%
Others on income related benefit	13,040	0.5%	1,430	0.7%	1,450	0.3%
Bereaved	6,140	0.2%	420	0.2%	950	0.2%
Total	404,410	14.0%	40,830	19.8%	40,130	9.6%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) ESA & Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates. This

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data **Blaby District** November 2010 Total Last Last Male **Female Total** month year Wards (resident-based % % Code % proportions) 3 IUBFY I **Blaby South** 68 65 84 3.3 1.4 2.3 2 3 IUBFZ Cosby with South Whetstone 47 49 57 2.3 0.7 1.5 3 31UBGA Countesthorpe 49 54 94 1.3 1.0 1.1 4 31UBGB Croft Hill 23 25 31 1.8 1.5 1.6 5 31UBGC Ellis 61 63 70 2.4 1.3 1.9 31UBGD Enderby and St. John's 6 71 97 3.1 1.2 2.1 58 7 31UBGE **Fairestone** 68 70 75 3.6 1.4 2.5 8 3 I UBGF **Forest** 53 55 89 1.4 0.9 1.2 9 Millfield 31UBGG 43 42 52 4.6 1.8 3.2 10 31UBGH Muxloe 46 40 53 2.2 1.4 1.8 Narborough and Littlethorpe П 3 I UBGJ 82 53 56 1.9 1.2 1.5 12 3 I UBGK Normanton 27 33 24 1.8 1.4 1.6 13 31UBGL North Whetstone 58 54 86 2.3 1.2 1.7

49

144

100

93

106

1,146

49

153

92

94

117

1,173

71

175

130

100

133

1,512

1.9

4.6

2.4

2.5

3.0

2.5

1.1

1.6

1.4

1.5

1.6

1.3

1.5

3.1

2.0

2.0

2.3

1.9

Source: Office for National Statistics

14

15

16

17

18

31UBGM

3 IUBGN

3 I UBGP

3 I UBGQ

31UBGR

If you require further information please contact Joelle Bradly, Policy, Performance & Research, Chief Executive's Department, Leicestershire County Council on 0116 3055883.

Pastures

Saxondale

Winstanley

Blaby District

Ravenhurst and Fosse

Stanton and Flamville

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

	nant Count (JS ember 2010	SA) Ward Data I	Charr	wood Bo	rough			
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code Wards (resident-based proportions					%	%	%
	2111666	A	00	00	124	2.4		2.2
ı	31UCGG	Anstey	90	90	126	3.4	1.3	2.3
2 3	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West	60	57	91	2.0	1.1	1.5
4	31UCGJ 31UCGK	Birstall Wanlip Birstall Watermead	65	74	80	2.2	1.8	2.0
	31UCGK		88	85	109	2.8	1.4	2.1
5		East Goscote	34	28	43	2.2	1.3	1.7
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	29	34	34	1.8	0.8	1.3
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	109	112	116	2.1	1.3	1.8
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	101	90	123	3.2	1.6	2.4
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	76	83	109	2.4	1.0	1.8
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	257	246	264	8.9	2.9	6.0
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	222	210	233	6.4	3.1	4.8
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	29	210	32	0.8	0.6	0.7
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	41	37	72	1.7	0.6	1.2
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	164	165	212	4.4	2.3	3.3
15	31UCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	111	102	113	2.9	1.4	2.2
16	31UCGY	Loughborough Storer*	111	102	129	2.7	1.5	2.2
17	31UCGZ	Mountsorrel	114	115	156	3.4	1.5	2.4
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	26	20	30	1.9	0.9	1.4
19	3 IUCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	63	64	98	2.1	1.0	1.6
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	48	48	61	1.9	0.7	1.0
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	89	89	127	2.9	0.7	1.2
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	91	89	111	2.6	1.4	2.0
23	31UCHF	Sileby	130	125	174	3.6	1.7	2.7
24	31UCHG	Syston East	88	87	100	2.6	2.0	2.7
25	31UCHH	Syston West	93	93	124	2.7	1.7	2.2
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	20	21	32	1.1	1.7	1.0
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	155	141	214	3.6	1.6	2.6
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	25	21	22	2.0	0.6	1.3
20	STOCHE	Charnwood Borough	2,530	2,469	3,135	3.0	1.5	2.3
		*Loughborough including Hathern	1222	1188	1403	3.5	1.7	2.6

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

		SA) Ward Data	Hart	orough l	District			
Nove	ember 2010		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			•	%	%	%
- 1	SHIDCD	Dillordon	10	0	12		٥٦	0.0
2	31UDGD 31UDGE	Billesdon Bosworth	10 27	8 27	13 33	1.3	0.5 1.7	0.9
3	31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	20	15	26	1.8	0.8	1.7 1.3
4	31UDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	21	27	30	1.6	0.8	1.3
5	31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	19	19	30	2.0	1.2	1.6
6	31UDG	Broughton Astley - Sutton	21	18	39	1.8	1.2	1.5
7	31UDGK	Dunton	9	9	22	1.0	#	0.6
8	31UDGL	Fleckney	43	49	85	1.5	1.2	1.3
9	31UDGM	Glen	31	27	42	1.3	1.1	1.2
10	3 IUDGN	Kibworth	59	58	82	2.4	0.8	1.5
П	3 IUDGP	Lubenham	25	20	27	1.4	1.1	1.3
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	13	13	24	0.5	1.2	0.8
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	24	26	37	2.5	1.0	1.8
14	3 IUDGS	Lutterworth Springs	29	30	37	3.0	1.2	2.1
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	18	25	46	1.0	1.3	1.1
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	64	66	105	1.9	0.9	1.4
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little						
		Bowden*	46	46	75	1.3	1.7	1.5
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	27	27	36	1.6	0.5	1.0
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	74	73	108	3.1	1.1	2.1
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	15	13	24	1.3	0.6	0.9
21	31UDHA	Nevill	13	14	23	1.8	#	1.0
22	31UDHB	Peatling	18	18	21	1.2	1.3	1.2
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	88	84	97	2.9	1.8	2.4
24	31UDHD	Tilton	13	12	14	1.7	#	1.0
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	15	18	27	1.5	0.6	1.0
		Harborough District	742	742	1,103	1.8	1.0	1.4
		* Market Harborough	211	212	324	2.0	1.1	1.5

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

November 2010								
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
				month	year			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	18	25	38	1.0	0.6	0.8
2	3 I UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	29	35	34	1.8	0.8	1.3
3	3 I UEFX	Barwell	178	170	246	4 . I	2.0	3.0
4	3 I UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill*	92	86	110	3.5	1.8	2.6
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton*	67	73	100	1.5	0.8	1.1
6	3 I UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	31	31	29	2.1	0.8	1.5
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton*	241	254	289	5.0	3.0	4.0
8	31UEGC	Groby	79	73	75	2.6	1.0	1.8
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	159	146	164	4.7	2.4	3.6
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	141	136	182	2.9	1.8	2.4
П	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	117	131	200	2.4	1.4	1.9
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	I 47	151	170	5. I	1.8	3.4
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	53	49	82	2.0	1.1	1.5
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	74	79	99	1.9	0.9	1.4
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	88	80	112	2.7	1.4	2.1
16	3 I UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	15	19	27	1.3	0.3	0.8
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	1,529	1,538	1,957	3.0	1.5	2.3
		·						
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	96 4	977	1215	3.5	1.8	2.7

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data November 2010			Leices	ster City				
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	00FNNF	Abbay	/ 15	(1)	400	10.5	4.1	7.2
1 2	00FNNG	Abbey Aylestone	615 290	616 290	680 358	10.5	4.1 2.2	7.3 4.2
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys				6.2		
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	740 402	757	853	9.8	3.9	6.8
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	688	409 687	453 849	6.5 8.9	4.5 4.1	5.5 6.4
6	00FNNL	Castle	737	756	840	7.4	2.4	4.9
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	552	563	655	10.5	4.1	7.2
8	00FNNN	Coleman	504	510	611	8.2		5.9
9	00FNNP	Evington	222	222	300	4.7	3.7 2.6	3.6
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	432	430	500	9.2	3.9	6.5
11	00FNNR	Fosse	378	391	448	6.0	3.7	4.6
12	00FNNS	Freemen	454	468	572	9.6		
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	443	450	518	5.9	3.3 3.7	6.3 4.8
14	00FNNU	Knighton	280	292	318			
15	00FNNW	Latimer	357	349	410	4.1 5.1	1.7 3.7	2.9 4.4
16	00FNNX	New Parks	742	725	859	10.8		
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	7 4 2 391	400	467	4.6	4.4 2.9	7.5 3.7
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	988	1040	1173	10.4	3.9	7.2
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	670	664	790	7.7	3.9	5.4
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt			344			
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	258	266		6.4	2.6	4.4
22	00FNPD	Western Park	421	436	483	8.2	3.3	5.9
77	UUFINFD		299	299	327	6.3	2.9	4.6 5.3
		Leicester	10,863	11,020	12,808	7.3	3.2	5.3

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Melton Borough

Nov	ember 2010							
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
I	31UGFT	Asfordby	29	29	60	1.7	1.1	1.4
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	28	25	36	1.9	0.7	1.3
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	17	17	14	2.4	0.5	1.4
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	9	10	13	0.5	1.0	0.8
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	12	9	9	1.7	0.6	1.2
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	40	37	24	2.0	1.0	1.5
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	59	52	61	3.2	1.9	2.6
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	64	66	82	2.6	1.1	1.8
9	31UGGC	Melton Egerton*	92	82	110	5.7	2.1	3.8
10	31UGGD	Melton Newport*	52	43	65	2.6	0.9	1.8
П	31UGGE	Melton Sysonby*	49	49	75	2.0	0.9	1.4
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	54	53	76	3.6	1.3	2.4
13	31UGGG	Old Dalby	16	10	29	1.2	1.2	1.2
14	31UGGH	Somerby	12	12	21	1.5	0.5	1.0
15	31UGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	14	13	16	1.4	1.4	1.4
16	31UGGK	Wymondham	18	19	28	2.6	0.8	1.7
		Melton Borough	565	526	719	2.5	1.1	1.8
		* Melton Mowbray	370	345	469	3.1	1.3	2.2

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data				North West Leicestershire District						
Nov	ember 201	0	Total	Last month	Last	Male F	emale	Total		
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%		
1	31UHFZ	Appleby	15	17	28	1.5	0.7	1.1		
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	11	10	25	1.0	0.0	0.6		
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*	63	60	82	2.8	1.3	2.0		
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	56	52	75	2.5	1.5	2.0		
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	40	38	48	1.7	2.1	1.9		
6	31UHGE	Breedon	24	25	23	1.5	1.7	1.6		
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	99	101	132	3.0	1.3	2.2		
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	136	121	154	5.8	2.4	4.2		
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	204	198	207	6.0	3.5	4.7		
10	3 IUHGJ	$Hugglescote^{**}$	62	60	83	2.4	1.7	2.0		
П	3 IUHGK	lbstock and Heather	100	98	153	2.7	1.7	2.2		
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	47	41	60	1.9	1.2	1.6		
13	31UHGM	Measham	96	98	107	4.0	1.8	2.9		
14	31UHGN	Moira	60	67	87	2.5	1.4	2.0		
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	41	44	48	3.5	1.3	2.4		
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	33	29	39	3.1	0.0	2.1		
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	95	96	88	3.4	2.1	2.8		
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	67	71	77	2.7	2.0	2.4		
19	31UHGT	Valley	58	59	81	2.7	1.3	2.0		
20	3 I U H G U	Whitwick**	83	84	107	2.4	1.5	2.0		
		NW Leicestershire District	1,390	1,369	1,704	3.1	1.7	2.4		
		* Ashby de la Zouch	130	122	182	1.8	0.8	1.3		
		** Coalville	687	668	764	3.3	2.0	2.6		

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Oadby & Wigston Borough

Nov	ember 201	0						
			Total	Last	Last	Male	Female	Total
Code		Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
	211 1151	O- dl., D., -d., 11918	F0	F0	4.1	2.2	2.2	2.2
1	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	52	52	61	2.3	2.2	2.2
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*		40	52	1.1	0.8	1.0
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	84	94	100	4.7	2.8	3.7
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	51	60	63	2.5	1.4	1.9
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	51	57	76	2.2	1.1	1.6
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	179	192	244	5.6	1.9	3.7
7	31UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	78	79	85	2.9	1.2	2.0
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	120	114	166	4.1	1.9	3.0
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	66	83	99	2.1	0.9	1.5
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	74	81	101	2.5	1.3	1.9
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	801	852	1,047	2.8	1.5	2.1
		* Oadby		303	352	2.1	1.5	1.8
		** Wigston	338	357	451	3.5	1.6	2.5

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

	imant Coun vember 201	t (JSA) Ward Data 0		Rutland				
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
_	0055140							
ı	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	8	9	18	1.3	0.8	1.0
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	13	13	22	1.0	0.3	0.7
3	00FPMU	Exton	6	6	13	0.3	0.6	0.4
4	00FPMVV	Greetham	6	9	17	#	0.9	0.4
5	00FPMX	Ketton	19	17	30	0.9	1.5	1.2
6	00FPMY	Langham	8	12	18	1.2	0.7	1.0
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	9	7	11	1.8	#	1.1
8	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	9	7	9	2.0	#	1.3
9	00FPNB	Normanton	21	17	28	1.2	0.9	1.1
10	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	26	27	33	2.0	0.6	1.3
П	00FPND	Oakham North West*	39	35	32	2.5	1.4	2.0
12	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	22	18	19	2.9	#	1.5
13	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	28	26	30	2.5	1.6	2.0
14	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	29	28	28	1.9	1.2	1.6
15	00FPNH	Uppingham	34	34	52	1.2	1.1	1.1
16	00FPNJ	Whissendine	10	9	18	1.2	1,1	1.1
		Rutland	287	274	378	1.5	0.9	1.2
<i>,,</i> =	٠	*Oakham	115	106	114	2.4	0.9	1.7

[#] These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics