Unemployment Bulletin September 2008



Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<u>www.nomisweb.co.uk</u>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Area	Claimant	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)		Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female				
Leicester Shire	2.5%	3.3%	1.5%	14,372	191	2.4%(0.1)	2.2% (0.3)
Leicestershire County	١.5%	1.9%	1.0%	5,845	158	1.4%(0.1)	I.2% (0.3)
Leicester City	4.5%	6.3%	2.7%	8,527	33	4.5%(0.0)	4.1% (0.4)
Rutland	0.7%	0. 9 %	0.6%	167	-12	0.8%(-0.1)	0.6% (0.1)
East Midlands	2.4%	3.3%	1.4%	64,714	1,604	2.3%(0.1)	2.1% (0.3)
UK	2.5%	3.5%	1.4%	944,716	20,840	2.5%(0.0)	2.2% (0.3)

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: September 2008

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the October 2008 unemployment figures on Wednesday 12th November 2008.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council, the LSEP and partners are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <u>www.lsr-online.org</u>

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	1.8%	602	2	1.8%(0.0)	l.6%(0.2)
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.6%	1,041	27	1.6%(0.0)	1.3%(0.3)
Charnwood	1.6%	1,648	50	1.5%(0.1)	I.4%(0.2)
NW Leicestershire	1.5%	834	22	1.5%(0.0)	1.4%(0.1)
Blaby	1.4%	806	47	1.3%(0.1)	I.0%(0.4)
Melton	1.2%	363	-23	1.3%(-0.1)	1.0%(0.2)
Harborough	1.1%	551	33	1.1%(0.0)	0.8%(0.3)

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: September 2008

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; September 2008.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	2.0%	774	2.0%(0.0)	1.9%(0.1)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	I. 9 %	639	1.9%(0.0)	1.8%(0.1)
Coalville	1.9%	396	1.8%(0.1)	l.9%(0.0)
Wigston	1.8%	273	1.7%(0.1)	l.6%(0.2)
Oadby	1.5%	201	1.4% (0.1)	I.7%(-0.2)
Melton Mowbray	I.4%	226	I.6%(-0.2)	1.5%(-0.1)
Market Harborough	1.4%	173	1.4%(0.0)	1.3%(0.1)
Ashby de la Zouch	1.3%	91	I.3%(0.0)	1.4%(-0.1)
Oakham	0.7%	43	0.7%(0.0)	0.7%(0.0)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; September 2008.

	18-24 years old Over 25 years old							
Area	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months
Blaby	235	25	0	0	425	70	30	15
Charnwood	500	40	5	0	800	180	65	45
Harborough	165	15	0	0	300	40	25	5
Hinckley & Bosworth	275	35	0	0	565	105	40	15
Melton	115	10	0	0	200	30	5	5
NW Leicestershire	235	25	0	5	440	80	25	25
Oadby & Wigston	170	15	0	0	300	75	20	20
Leicester City	2,145	325	15	5	3,805	1,280	575	320
Leicestershire County	I,695	160	10	5	3,025	580	205	135
Rutland	35	5	0	0	100	20	5	5
Leicester Shire	3,840	485	20	10	6,830	1,860	780	455

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP_Ethnicity_JSA.pdf

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	625	5	10	45	10	75	60
Charnwood	1,275	10	10	145	35	205	120
Harborough	470	5	0	20	0	25	25
Hinckley & Bosworth	880	0	5	10	5	20	110
Melton	370	0	0	0	0	5	10
NW Leicestershire	760	0	5	0	0	5	45
Oadby & Wigston	410	10	10	125	5	150	40
Leicester City	4,170	200	780	2,390	265	3,630	690
Leicestershire County	4,790	40	45	345	60	490	405
Leicester Shire	8,965	240	825	2,735	325	4,120	1,095
East Midlands	50,305	1,050	2,520	4,010	830	8,415	4,200
Great Britain	676,140	14,375	55,665	51,420	18,170	139,635	76,055

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; August 2008.

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; August 2008.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	0.7%	0.5%	1.3%	1.1%	1.7%	1.2%
Charnwood	0.9%	0.5%	0.7%	1.3%	1.2%	1.2%
Harborough	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Hinckley & Bosworth	0.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.4%	1.0%	0.5%
Melton	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
NW Leicestershire	0.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Oadby & Wigston	0.9%	1.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.8%	1.5%
Leicester City	2.3%	2.8%	6.3%	2.9%	7.0%	3.4%
Leicestershire County	0.8%	0.6%	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%
Leicester Shire	1.2%	1.8%	4.9%	2.4%	3.6%	2.7%
East Midlands	1.3%	1.9%	4.3%	2.0%	2.2%	2.4%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

Table 7: Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity, Gender and Age; August 2008.

			Male				Female			
	Total	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged under 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	
Leicester Shire										
'White'	8960	45	1,895	3,405	1,115	30	920	1,080	470	
'Non-white'	4120	5	675	1,685	425	0	430	615	285	
Leicester City										
'White'	4175	30	895	1,695	490	20	420	450	175	
'Non-white'	3635	5	590	1,500	375	0	375	540	250	
Leicestershire County										
'White'	4785	15	1,000	1,710	625	15	500	630	290	
'Non-white'	490	0	85	185	55	0	55	80	30	

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; January 2007 - December 2007

Area	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed	Claimant Count (JSA) Totals (September 2008)
United Kingdom	5.3%	1,565,200	944,716
East Midlands	5.1%	111,100	64,714
Leicester Shire	5.0%	23,600	14,372
Leicester City	10.0%	14,000	8,527
Leicestershire County	2.9%	9,600	5,845

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; February 2008

	All ben	efits	Duration (proportion of all claimants)				
Area	Number	Rate	<6mths	6mths - 1yr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs
Blaby	4,360	7.7%	18%	9%	10%	18%	45%
Charnwood	9,100	8.6%	21%	9 %	11%	18%	42%
Harborough	3,240	6.6%	19%	8%	10%	18%	46%
Hinckley & Bosworth	5,880	9 .1%	21%	9%	10%	18%	42%
Melton	2,180	7.3%	19%	7%	10%	18%	46%
NW Leicestershire	6,050	11.0%	19%	7%	11%	18%	46%
Oadby and Wigston	3,030	8.9%	21%	9%	10%	18%	42%
Leicester City	35,770	19.0%	22%	9 %	11%	18%	40%
Leicestershire County	33,820	8.6%	20%	8%	10%	18%	44%
Rutland	١,270	5.5%	20%	9 %	10%	17%	44%
East Midlands	355,380	13.1%	19%	8%	10%	18%	45%
Great Britain	5,156,000	14.1%	19%	8%	10%	18%	46%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; February 2008

	East Midlands		Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Incapacity Benefits	178,550	6.6%	15,820	8.4%	16,570	4.2%
Job Seeker	55,250	2.0%	7,390	3.9%	4,750	1.2%
Lone Parent	46,280	1.7%	6,100	3.2%	3,700	0.9%
Carer	29,940	1.1%	2,770	1.5%	3,280	0.8%
Disabled	27,430	1.0%	1,950	1.0%	3,330	0.8%
Others on income related benefit	10,520	0.4%	1,250	0.7%	1,030	0.3%
Bereaved	7,410	0.3%	480	0.3%	1,150	0.3%
Total	355,380	13.1%	35,770	19.0%	33,820	8.6%

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year population estimates which are compatible with the 2001 Census.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

For enquiries about the Unemployment Bulletin please contact Jo Miller on 0116 305 7341

Blaby District

			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	31UBFY	Blaby South	37	15	52	2.5	1.1	1.8
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	18	11	29	1.1	0.7	0.9
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	38	14	52	1.7	0.7	1.2
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	3	7	10	0.4	1.1	0.8
5	31UBGC	Ellis	32	9	41	2.0	0.6	1.3
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	30	15	45	2.4	1.3	1.9
7	3 I UBGE	Fairestone	28	12	40	1.9	0.9	1.5
8	31UBGF	Forest	37	10	47	1.7	0.5	1.1
9	31UBGG	Millfield	18	16	34	2.8	2.7	2.7
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	16	10	26	1.3	0.9	1.1
11	3 I UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	24	9	33	1.4	0.6	1.0
12	31UBGK	Normanton	9	#	11	1.0	#	0.7
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	25	13	38	1.6	0.9	1.2
14	31UBGM	Pastures	24	11	35	1.4	0.7	1.1
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	70	24	94	3.1	1.1	2.2
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	59	20	79	2.1	1.0	1.7
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	41	28	69	1.7	1.3	1.5
18	31UBGR	Winstanley	45	26	71	2.3	1.5	1.9
		Blaby District	554	252	806	1.8	0.9	1.4

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Charnwood Borough

Sept	ember 2008	,			0			
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	Male %	Female %	Total %
_								
	3IUCGG	Anstey	44	16	60	2.4	0.9	1.7
2	31UCGH	Barrow and Sileby West	30	13	43	1.6	0.8	1.2
3	31UCGJ	Birstall Wanlip	31	21	52	2.0	١.5	1.8
4	31UCGK	Birstall Watermead	33	24	57	1.7	1.3	1.5
5	31UCGL	East Goscote	14	5	19	1.4	0.5	1.0
6	31UCGM	Forest Bradgate	13	5	18	1.4	0.6	1.0
7	31UCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	41	21	62	1.3	0.9	1.1
8	31UCGP	Loughborough Dishley and Hathern*	41	28	69	2.1	1.5	1.8
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	42	17	59	2.0	0.9	1.5
10	31UCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	133	33	166	7.2	2.0	4.7
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	106	34	140	5.5	2.0	3.9
12	31UCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	12	8	20	0.6	0.5	0.5
13	3IUCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	20	9	29	1.2	0.6	0.9
14	3IUCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	74	36	110	3.9	1.9	2.9
15	3IUCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	41	13	54	2.1	0.8	1.5
16	3IUCGY	Loughborough Storer*	33	32	65	1.5	1.8	١.6
17	3IUCGZ	Mountsorrel	56	22	78	2.6	1.1	1.8
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	10	11	21	1.1	1.3	1.2
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	31	14	45	1.6	0.8	1.2
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	25	9	34	1.4	0.5	1.0
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	37	18	55	1.7	0.9	1.3
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	42	18	60	2.0	0.9	1.5
23	31UCHF	Sileby	48	25	73	2.3	1.3	1.8
24	31UCHG	Syston East	30	13	43	1.7	0.8	1.2
25	31UCHH	Syston West	43	26	69	2.3	1.4	1.9
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	6	5	11	0.7	0.6	0.6
27	31UCHK	Thurmaston	81	41	122	2.8	1.6	2.2
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	10	4	14	1.1	0.5	0.8
		Charnwood Borough	1,127	521	I,648	2.0	1.1	1.6
		*Loughborough including Hathern	543	231	774	2.6	1.3	2.0

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

If you require further information please contact Jo Miller, Policy, Performance & Research, Chief Executive's Department, Leicestershire County Council on 0116 3057341.

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data S

_

Harborough District

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data September 2008

	mber 2008		Male F	amala	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Thate T	cinare	local	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	%	* Oca %
	31UDGD	Dilloadon	,	-		10		1.2
1 2	310DGD 31UDGE	Billesdon Bosworth	6	5	11	1.2	1.1	1.2
2	310DGE 31UDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	7	5	12	1.0	0.8	0.9
3 4	310DGF 31UDGG	, , ,	9	4	13	1.2	0.5	0.8
	310DGG 31UDGH	Broughton Astley - Broughton	13	6	19	1.6	0.8	1.3
5		Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	10	4	14	1.7	0.7	1.2
6	3IUDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	10	5	15	1.5	0.8	1.1
7 8	31UDGK 31UDGL	Dunton	5	3	8	0.7	0.5	0.6
0 9	310DGL 31UDGM	Fleckney	25	9	34	1.6	0.6	1.1
9		Glen	18	15	33	1.5	1.4	1.4
	31UDGN	Kibworth	22	11	33	1.2	0.6	0.9
11	31UDGP	Lubenham	7	#	9	0.7	#	0.6
12	3IUDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	12	5	17	1.7	0.7	1.2
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	16	5	21	2.5	0.8	1.7
14	31UDGS	Lutterworth Springs	18	7	25	2.8	1.2	2.0
15	3IUDGT	Lutterworth Swift	13	4	17	2.1	0.7	1.4
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great Bowden*	41	23	64	2.0	1.2	١.6
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little Bowden*	17	8	25	1.3	0.6	1.0
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	14	10	24	1.1	0.8	1.0
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	41	19	60	2.4	1.2	1.9
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	6	5	11	0.7	0.7	0.7
21	31UDHA	Nevill	6	3	9	1.0	0.5	0.8
22	31UDHB	Peatling	6	#	8	0.9	#	0.6
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	35	17	52	1.8	0.9	1.4
24	31UDHD	Tilton	5	0	5	0.8	0.0	0.4
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	8	4	12	1.2	0.7	0.9
		Harborough District	370	181	551	1.4	0.8	1.1
		* Market Harborough	113	60	173	1.8	1.0	1.4

I

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

Jep			Mala	F	Tatal	Mala	-	Tatal
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	Male	Female	Total	male %	Female %	i otai %
	3 I UEFU	Ambien	11	9	20	0.9	0.9	0.9
2	31UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	16	6	22	1.5	0.6	1.1
3	31UEFX	Barwell	118	27	145	4.1	1.0	2.6
4	31UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill	40	22	62	2.2	1.4	1.8
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton	42	21	63	1.5	0.8	1.2
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	9	6	15	0.9	0.7	0.8
7	31UEGB	, Earl Shilton	108	46	154	3.7	1.7	2.8
8	3 I UEGC	Groby	30	9	39	1.4	0.4	0.9
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	76	27	103	3.8	1.5	2.7
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	59	21	80	2.5	0.9	1.7
	3 I UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	59	28	87	1.9	1.0	1.5
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	68	22	90	3.1	1.1	2.1
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	36	11	47	2.1	0.7	1.4
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
		Peckleton	43	10	53	١.7	0.4	1.1
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	31	18	49	1.7	1.0	1.4
16	31UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	8	4	12	0.8	0.4	0.6
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	754	287	1,041	2.2	0.9	1.6
		* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	452	187	639	2.6	1.2	1.9

I

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data	
September 2008	

Leicester City

ocpe	ember 2000		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
			2/0		- 10	o (2.0	()
1		Abbey	369	141	510	9.6	3.9	6.8
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	178	79	257	5.3	2.4	3.9
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	448	156	604	9.9	3.4	6.6
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	197	121	318	6.1	3.7	4.9
5		Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	331	3	462	7.0	2.7	4.8
6	00FNNL	Castle	445	128	573	7.3	2.5	5.1
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	349	132	481	10.8	4.1	7.5
8	00FNNN	Coleman	296	123	419	7.6	3.3	5.5
9	00FNNP	Evington	135	49	184	4.9	1.8	3.4
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	237	89	326	7.9	2.9	5.4
11	00FNNR	Fosse	184	62	246	4.9	1.8	3.4
12	00FNNS	Freemen	252	98	350	7.9	2.8	5.3
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	214	80	294	5.8	2.3	4.I
14	00FNNU	Knighton	133	60	193	2.6	1.2	1.9
15	00FNNW	Latimer	190	123	313	5.2	3.4	4.3
16	00FNNX	New Parks	403	145	548	9.1	3.2	6. I
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	191	118	309	3.8	2.5	3.2
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	665	241	906	9.4	3.7	6.7
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	421	165	586	6.9	2.9	5.0
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	143	72	215	5.3	2.7	4.0
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	191	67	258	5.5	2.0	3.8
22	00FNPD	Western Park	115	60	175	3.5	2.0	2.8
		Leicester	6,087	2,440	8,527	6.3	2.7	4.5
	<u>,</u>							

I

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Melton Borough

F			Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	31UGFT	Asfordby	24	17	41	2.4	1.8	2.1
2	31UGFU	Bottesford	14	#	16	1.3	#	0.8
3	31UGFW	Croxton Kerrial	6	3	9	1.1	0.6	0.9
4	31UGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	#	4	6	#	0.7	0.5
5	31UGFY	Gaddesby	5	#	6	0.9	#	0.6
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	10	9	19	0.8	0.8	0.8
7	3IUGGA	Melton Craven*	23	13	36	1.8	1.2	1.6
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	19	11	30	1.2	0.7	1.0
9	3IUGGC	Melton Egerton*	43	16	59	3.7	1.5	2.6
10	3IUGGD	Melton Newport*	16	9	25	1.0	0.6	0.8
11	3 IUGGE	Melton Sysonby*	30	9	39	1.9	0.6	1.3
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	26	11	37	2.6	1.1	1.9
13	3IUGGG	Old Dalby	7	5	12	1.2	0.9	1.0
14	31UGGH	Somerby	5	4	9	0.9	0.8	0.8
15	3 I UGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	3	#	4	0.6	#	0.4
16	3IUGGK	Wymondham	8	7	15	1.5	1.5	1.5
		Melton Borough	241	122	363	1.5	0.9	1.2
	_	* Melton Mowbray	157	69	226	1.9	0.9	1.4

I

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Sep	tember 2008		Mala	Famala	Total	Mala E	mala	Tatal
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)	maie	Female	I OTAI	Male Fo %	emale %	Total %
I	31UHFZ	Appleby	6	#	8	0.9	#	0.6
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	I	0		1.1	0.0	0.7
3	31UHGB	Ashby Holywell*	30	14	44	2.0	1.0	١.5
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	26	10	36	2.0	0.8	1.4
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	15	7	22	1.9	0.9	١.5
6	31UHGE	Breedon	5	4	9	0.6	0.6	0.6
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	40	22	62	1.8	1.1	١.5
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	49	21	70	3.5	1.7	2.6
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	83	34	117	4.0	1.8	3.0
10	3 I UHGJ	Hugglescote**	24	10	34	1.7	0.8	1.3
П	31UHGK	Ibstock and Heather	44	16	60	2.0	0.8	١.5
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	16	9	25	1.1	0.7	0.9
13	31UHGM	Measham	40	15	55	2.6	1.0	1.8
14	31UHGN	Moira	22	20	42	1.5	١.5	١.5
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	12	5	17	1.6	0.7	1.2
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	14	0	18	1.9	0.0	1.3
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	35	17	52	2.2	1.1	1.7
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	28	9	37	1.9	0.7	1.3
19	31UHGT	Valley	34	17	51	2.5	1.4	2.0
20	31UHGU	Whitwick**	44	20	64	2.1	1.1	۱.6
		NW Leicestershire District	576	258	834	2.0	1.0	١.5
		* Ashby de la Zouch	57	24	91	1.5	0.7	1.3
		** Coalville	278	118	396	2.6	1.2	1.9
<u>и т</u>	¢							

North West Leicestershire District

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Oadby & Wigston Borough

Copt	2000		Male	Female	Total	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
I	3 I UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	24	15	39	2.1	1.4	1.7
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	23	13	36	1.1	0.6	0.9
3	3 I UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	41	11	52	3.9	1.1	2.5
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	29	14	43	2.2	1.1	1.7
5	3 I UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	24	7	31	1.9	0.5	1.2
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	92	36	128	3.9	1.6	2.8
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	35	13	48	1.7	0.7	1.2
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	71	28	99	3.7	1.6	2.7
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**	51	12	63	2.3	0.6	1.5
10	3 I UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	47	16	63	2.5	0.9	1.7
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	437	165	602	2.5	1.0	1.8
		* Oadby	141	60	201	2.0	0.9	1.5
		** Wigston	204	69	273	2.5	0.9	1.8

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Rutland

			Male	Female	Total	Male Fe	emale	Tota
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	4	#	5	1.1	#	0.7
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	9	// 9	18	0.8	π .	0.9
}	00FPMU	Exton	#	3	5	#	0.7	0.
Ļ	00FPMVV	Greetham	9	3	12	1.0	0.9	l.(
5	00FPMX	Ketton	5	4	9	0.7	0.6	0.0
5	00FPMY	Langham	6	4	10	1.8	1.4	1.3
1	00FPMZ	Lyddington	4	#	5	1.0	#	0.
}	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	5	0	5	1.4	0.0	0.
)	00FPNB	Normanton	7	4	11	0.6	0.5	0.
0	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	10	3	13	1.1	0.4	0.
	00FPND	Oakham North West*	7	5	12	0.7	0.6	0.
2	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	8	0	8	1.3	0.0	0.
3	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	8	#	10	1.2	#	0.
4	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	7	6	13	0.8	0.8	0.
5	00FPNH	Uppingham	14	8	22	1.1	0.8	0.
6	00FPNJ	Whissendine	6	3	9	1.6	0.8	١.
		Rutland	111	56	167	0.9	0.6	0.
		*Oakham	33	8	43	1.0	0.3	0.

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics