Unemployment Bulletin September 2009



Recession Summary


Dec-08

Mar-09

Jun-09

Sep-09

This additional front page provides a monthly snapshot of the economic downturn at a local level. JSA claimants and vacancies are used to exemplify recent change and trends over the past 12 months. Following tables have also been adapted to include monthly and annual comparisons.



Key points

- There were 24,885 people claiming JSA benefits in the sub-region in September 2009; compared to 25,257 in August 2009 and 14,372 in September 2008.
- The JSA claimant numbers in September 2009 increased by 75 in the City to a rate of 6.9%. In the County, claimants decreased by 447 to a rate of 3.0% Claimant numbers *decreased* this month in all districts. The largest rate decreases were in Melton and Hinckley districts.
- In the City, Spinney Hills and New Parks wards saw the largest monthly increase in JSA rates (+ 37 claimants in Spinney Hills and +23 in New Parks). Evington ward saw the largest monthly decrease in claimant rates (-22 claimants) in the City.
- Braunstone ward has seen the largest annual claimant rate increase in the subregion from 462 claimants in September 2008 (4.5%) to 832 claimants in September 2009 (8.2%).
- In the sub-region, there were 4,823 notified vacancies in September 2009 compared to 5,827 the previous month. There were 4,149 unfilled live vacancies in September. This is a ratio of 6 claimants per unfilled vacancy, compared to 5.9 the previous month.

Further analysis on the impact of the recession in the sub-region is available in the September Credit Crunch Report

Unemployment Bulletin September 2009



Introduction

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update of the latest unemployment and benefit data for Leicester and Leicestershire. The data is sourced from Nomis (<u>www.nomisweb.co.uk</u>) which contains data from the Office for National Statistics, the Department of Work and Pensions and other official sources. Some figures have been calculated by Leicestershire County Council and where this is the case it is stated in the source.

There are a number of ways of measuring unemployment and this bulletin mainly focuses on the Claimant Count which is a measure of the number or proportion of people receiving Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) and National Insurance Credits at Jobcentre Plus local offices. This is not an official measure of unemployment but it is the only indicative statistic available for areas smaller than Local Authorities.

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for work and are available to start work (and includes people whether or not they are eligible to received unemployment related benefits). The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not reliable at small areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels. Using the two sources together provides a more comprehensive picture of the labour market.

There is a large degree of overlap between the Claimant Count and Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) although the latter figures are generally higher. People who are not claimants can appear among the unemployed if they are not entitled to unemployment related benefits. Similarly, claimants of unemployment related benefits may not appear in the measure of unemployment if they stated that they are not seeking or are not available to start work.

Tables I to 7 use Claimant Count data and Table 8 shows the Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) figures.

Area	Clain		unt Pro- portion king age)	Total Claimant Count	Change since last month	Change since last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
	Total	Male	Female					
Leicester Shire	4.2%	5.7%	2.6%	24,885	-372	10,513	4.3%(-0.1)	2.5%(1.7)
Leicestershire County	3.0%	3.9%	I. 9 %	11,777	-447	5,932	3.1%(-0.1)	1.5%(1.5)
Leicester City	6.9%	9.6%	4.0%	13,108	75	4,581	6.8%(0.1)	4.5%(2.4)
Rutland	I.7%	2.0%	1.3%	382	-15	215	1.8%(-0.1)	0.7%(1.0)
East Midlands	4.1%	5.6%	2.4%	111,735	-906	47,021	4.1%(0.0)	2.4%(1.7)
UK	4.2%	5.8%	2.4%	1,591,112	-11,077	646,396	4.2%(0.0)	2.5%(1.7)

Table I: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: September 2009

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Note: Leicester Shire comprises the areas of Leicestershire County and Leicester City only.

National Statistics will release the October 2009 unemployment figures on Wednesday 11th November 2009.

Archived Unemployment Bulletins and other reports produced by Leicestershire County Council are available on the Leicestershire Statistics and Research Online website, <u>www.lsr-online.org</u>

Districts	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Total last month	Total last year	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Oadby & Wigston	3.3%	1,145	1,167	602	3.4%(-0.1)	1.8%(1.5)
Hinckley & Bosworth	3.2%	2,064	2,176	1,041	3.4%(-0.2)	1.6%(1.6)
NW Leicestershire	3.1%	1,696	1,747	834	3.2%(-0.1)	1.5%(1.6)
Charnwood	3.0%	3,274	3,385	I,648	3.1%(-0.1)	1.6%(1.4)
Blaby	2.9%	١,650	I,666	806	2.9%(0.0)	1.4%(1.5)
Melton	2.6%	778	842	363	2.8%(-0.2)	1.2%(1.4)
Harborough	2.4%	1,170	1,241	551	2.5%(-0.1)	1.1%(1.3)

Table 2: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: September 2009.

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Table 3: Resident-Based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions: in Market Towns; September 2009.

Market Towns	Claimant Count Proportion (working age)	Total Claimant Count	Previous months Proportion (change)	Previous years Proportion (change)
Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	3.8%	1,288	3.9%(-0.1)	1.9%(1.9)
Coalville	3.4%	744	3.5%(-0.1)	1.9%(1.5)
Melton Mowbray	3.3%	530	3.5%(-0.2)	1.4%(1.9)
Loughborough (including Hathern)	3.3%	1,458	3.1%(0.2)	2.0%(1.3)
Wigston	3.2%	483	3.3%(-0.1)	1.8%(1.4)
Oadby	2.8%	403	2.8% (0.0)	1.5%(1.3)
Market Harborough	2.7%	353	3.1%(-0.4)	1.4%(1.3)
Ashby de la Zouch	2.6%	185	2.7%(-0.1)	1.3%(1.3)
Oakham	1.9%	125	1.8%(0.1)	0.7%(1.2)

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis); Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators

Table 4: Claimant Count (JSA) by Age and Duration; September 2009.

		18-24 ye	ears old			Over 25	years old	
Area	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months	Up to 6 months	6-12 months	13-18 months	Over 18 months
Blaby	435	105	5	0	735	260	70	30
Charnwood	825	215	10	5	1,410	555	160	75
Harborough	290	60	5	0	550	200	45	20
Hinckley & Bosworth	505	120	5	0	895	385	100	50
Melton	175	55	5	0	350	135	35	15
NW Leicestershire	415	105	5	5	755	285	85	40
Oadby & Wigston	305	80	5	0	470	175	80	25
Leicester City	3,095	840	25	15	5,060	2,385	1,035	600
Leicestershire County	2,950	750	40	10	5,165	1,990	575	260
Rutland	85	20	0	0	190	65	10	10
Leicester Shire	6,045	1,585	70	20	10,225	4,375	1,610	860

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis) Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5.

Claimant Count (JSA) by Ethnicity

JSA benefit data have been published by the Office for National Statistics (ONS) for many years as a key labour market indicator. In November 2006 ONS published JSA claimant data by ethnicity for the first time. The data is available for the detailed ethnic groups down to Local Authority level and is backdated to April 2005.

JSA claimant data by ethnicity has been included in Tables 5, 6, and 7 of this bulletin. The JSA data by ethnicity used in these table is a month in arrears of the other Claimant Count data in the other tables in the bulletin. For more information, please see; www.nomisweb.co.uk/articles/news/files/DWP_Ethnicity_JSA.pdf

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'	Prefer not to say / Unknown
Blaby	1,395	25	25	115	10	175	95
Charnwood	2,785	45	25	275	55	400	205
Harborough	1,100	10	5	35	5	55	90
Hinckley & Bosworth	I,780	15	5	20	10	50	345
Melton	805	5	5	0	0	10	25
NW Leicestershire	١,655	10	0	5	5	20	70
Oadby & Wigston	820	25	20	240	5	290	60
Leicester City	6,835	360	1,120	3,610	335	5,430	770
Leicestershire County	10,340	130	90	685	95	1,000	880
Leicester Shire	17,180	495	1,215	4,295	430	6,430	1,650
East Midlands	92,305	1,850	3,930	6,520	1,220	13,520	6,545
Great Britain	1,221,050	24,245	83,250	79,980	25,990	213,465	109,295

Table 5: Claimant County (JSA) by Ethnicity; August 2009.

Note: Figures are rounded to the nearest 5. Sources: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

ī.

Table 6: Resident-based Claimant Count (JSA) Proportions by Ethnicity; August 2009.

Area	White	Mixed	Black or Black British	Asian or Asian British	Chinese or Other Ethnic Group	'Non White'
Blaby	1.6%	2.3%	3.1%	2.9%	1.7%	2.7%
Charnwood	2.0%	2.4%	1.8%	2.5%	1.9%	2.3%
Harborough	1.4%	1.3%	1.3%	1.8%	1.3%	1.5%
Hinckley & Bosworth	1.8%	1.7%	1.3%	0.8%	2.0%	1.2%
Melton	1.7%	1.7%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
NW Leicestershire	1.9%	1.4%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	0.7%
Oadby & Wigston	1.8%	3.1%	2.5%	3.2%	0.8%	3.0%
Leicester City	3.8%	5.1%	9.0%	4.3%	8.8%	5.1%
Leicestershire County	1.8%	2.0%	2.1%	2.4%	1.8%	2.2%
Leicester Shire	2.3%	3.7%	7.3%	3.8%	4.7%	4.2%
East Midlands	2.3%	3.3%	6.8%	3.3%	3.2%	3.8%

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis). Proportions calculated by Leicestershire County Council using ONS mid-2005 experimental estimates of population by ethnic group, published October 2007

					Ma	le			Fem	nale	
	Total	Previous month	Previous year	Aged <18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+	Aged < 18	Aged 18-24	Aged 25-49	Aged 50+
Leicester Shire											
'White'	17,175	16,830	8,960	50	3,645	6,560	2,190	35	1,750	2,105	840
'Non-white'	6,440	6,125	4,120	5	1,100	2,595	645	5	715	1,020	355
Leicester City											
'White'	6,840	6,585	4,175	25	1,465	2,830	755	25	675	790	275
'Non-white'	5,430	5,155	3,635	5	915	2,245	545	5	590	820	305
Leicestershire County											
'White'	10,345	10,240	4,785	30	2,180	3,730	I,435	10	1075	1,315	570
'Non-white'	000, ا	955	490	0	180	350	100	0	125	195	50

Source: Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Unemployment: International Labour Organisation (ILO) Definition

Official estimates of unemployment in the UK are obtained from the Labour Force Survey (LFS) using the internationally agreed International Labour Organisation (ILO) definition. The ILO definition is based on the number of people without jobs who are looking for and available to start work. The LFS unemployment figures which are subject to sampling differences are not available for smaller areas. The Claimant Count is released on a monthly basis and is available down to ward level, so is used as a more current indicator of unemployment levels.

Table 8: Unemployment Rates: ILO Definition; April 2008 - March 2009

	April 2008 - March 2009		April 2007 - March 2008	
Area	ILO Unemploy- ment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unem- ployed	ILO Unemployment Rate (working age)	Total Persons ILO Unemployed
United Kingdom	6.4%	1,891,400	5.3%	١,566,700
East Midlands	6.6%	144,800	5.4%	117,300
Leicester Shire	7.3%	34,400	6.1%	28,500
Leicester City	12.8%	17,800	11.2%	I 5,800
Leicestershire County	5.0%	16,700	3.9%	12,700

Source: Labour Force Survey/Annual Population Survey, Office for National Statistics (Nomis)

Other Department for Work and Pensions Benefits Statistics

Job Seekers Allowance is one of a number of types of benefit which is related to worklessness, and recipients of this benefit constitute the most visible group of people who are out of work. However, many people have been diverted away from Job Seekers Allowance on to other benefits, the largest number being onto Incapacity Benefit. Nationally, the number of people claiming Incapacity Benefit is over three times the number of people out of work and claiming JSA.

The government recently published a Green Paper on welfare reform in which it is argued that to meet the goal of reaching a UK employment rate of 80%, more people on inactive benefits must be moved into work, particularly those who have been on benefits for a long time.

One method of classifying benefit claimants is by breaking them down into statistical groups. This presents the main reason why an individual is claiming benefit. Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit which they receive. In this way each claimant only appears once, even though they may claim more than one benefit - the total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness.

These figures are provided by the Department for Work and Pensions and are updated quarterly.

		All be	enefits		Du	Duration (proportion of all claimants)				
Area	Number	Rate	Previous year number	Previous year rate	<6mths	6mths - I yr	lyr - 2yrs	2yrs - 5yrs	+5yrs	
Blaby	5,360	9.4%	4,360	7.7%	32%	8%	8%	15%	37%	
Charnwood	10,850	10.1%	9,100	8.6%	31%	9 %	9%	16%	35%	
Harborough	3,970	8.0%	3,240	6.6%	32%	8%	8%	14%	37%	
Hinckley & Bosworth	7,150	11.0%	5,880	9.1%	33%	8%	9%	15%	35%	
Melton	2,850	9.5%	2,180	7.3%	35%	8%	8%	13%	35%	
NW Leicestershire	7,060	12.7%	6,050	11.0%	2 9 %	8%	9%	15%	39%	
Oadby and Wigston	3,560	10.4%	3,030	8.9%	29%	10%	9%	15%	36%	
Leicester City	40,050	21.0%	35,770	19.0%	27%	10%	11%	16%	37%	
Leicestershire County	40,790	10.2%	33,820	8.6%	32%	8%	9%	15%	36%	
Rutland	I,540	6.8%	1,270	5.5%	31%	7%	10%	14%	38%	
East Midlands	409,180	١5.0%	355,380	13.1%	28%	9 %	9%	15%	39%	
Great Britain	5,784,320	15.7%	5,156,000	14.1%	27%	9 %	9%	15%	40%	

Table 9: Working-age people claiming one or more key DWP benefit by duration; February 2009

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

н

Table 10: Benefit Claimants by Statistical Group - Main reason for claiming benefit; February 2009

	East Midla	nds	Leicester	City	Leicestershire County	
Statistical group	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Employment and Support Allowance & Incapacity Benefits	178,570	6.5	15,940	8.3	16,570	4.2
Job Seeker	105,420	3.9	11,280	5.9	11,160	2.8
Lone Parent	47,480	1.7	6,070	3.2	3,940	1.0
Carer	31,020	1.1	2,930	1.5	3,410	0.9
Disabled	28,420	1.0	2,040	1.1	3,470	0.9
Others on income related benefit	11,640	0.4	1,340	0.7	1,240	0.3
Bereaved	6,630	0.2	450	0.2	1,000	0.3
Total	409,180	15.0	40,050	21.0	40,790	10.2

Source: Department of Work and Pension (Nomis)

Note: Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) ESA & Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For more information about DWP statistics and research <u>www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp</u>

Definitions

Claimant Count - the count of claimants of unemployment related benefits is based on the administrative records of people claiming these benefits. Since October 1996 this has been the number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA). The publication of claimant count figures is normally five weeks after the date to which they refer. The claimant count is accurate down to very small geographic areas and is unaffected by sampling variability since it is a 100% count. This means it can be used as an indicator of those without work down to small areas.

Resident-based Claimant Count Proportions - express the number of claimants resident in an area as a percentage of the working age population resident in that area. Claimant count rates can also be expressed as a proportion of the workforce jobs in an area, however in smaller areas using workforce job-based rates can cause distortions in the rates caused by commuting patters. Using resident-based proportions avoids this distortion.

Unemployment (LFS ILO definition) - The definition of unemployment is internationally agreed and recommended by the International Labour Organisation (ILO) - an agency of the United Nations. Many countries use this definition. By this definition unemployed people are:

- without a job, want a job, have actively sought work in the last 4 weeks and are available to start work in the next 2 weeks, or
- out of work, have found a job and are waiting to start it in the next 2 weeks

Unemployment Rate (LFS ILO definition) - expresses the number of unemployed people resident in an area as a percentage of the economically active working age population resident in that area.

Labour Force Survey - The Labour Force Survey is a random sample survey which is carried out throughout the UK. It is conducted every three months using around 53,000 households. The survey collects information about the personal circumstances and work of everyone living in these households. The Office for National Statistics (ONS) uses the Labour Force Survey as its source for unemployment data. Unemployment is measured through questions asked in the survey and not by interviewing everybody in the country. Answers are then weighted to represent everyone. As with any sample survey there is sampling variability and this is high for areas below regional level. Therefore, the figures are not reliable for small areas. Each month the ONS releases statistics on the number of people who are unemployed in the United Kingdom for the latest available 3-month rolling period.

Economically Active - people aged 16 or over who are either in employment or are unemployed (LFS ILO definition)

Economically Inactive - people aged 16 or over who are neither in employment nor unemployed (LFS ILO definition) - e.g. they do not have a job and do not want a job. This group includes, for example, those who are looking after the family and/or home or retired.

Working Age Population - Working age is defined as 16-64 for males and 16-59 for females. The working age population figures are derived from mid-year 2006 population estimates.

Benefit Claimants Statistical Group - Benefits are arranged hierarchically and claimants are assigned to the top most benefit they receive. Each claimant appears once only, even though some claim more than one benefit, permitting the estimation of the total number of people claiming one or more benefits. The Statistical Group hierarchy is a) Jobseeker b) Incapacity benefits c) Lone parents d) Carers e) Other income-related benefits f) Disabled g) Bereaved h) Housing Benefit i) receiving State Pension only. Thus a person who is a lone parent and receives Incapacity Benefit would be classified as receiving incapacity benefits. The total number of working-age people who are claiming one or more key benefits is often used as a proxy for worklessness. This is only one of the possible ways in which claimants could be classified and alternative classifications are possible.

For further information on unemployment statistics please refer to the document entitled 'How exactly is unemployment measured?' which is produced by the Office for National Statistics: www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme_labour/unemployment.pdf

For further information about Department of Work and Pensions statistics and research: www.dwp.gov.uk/asd/data_guide.asp

Blaby District

			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)				%	%	%
		Distan Carath	00	100	50			
1	31UBFY	Blaby South	83	102	52	5.8	1.5	3.7
2	31UBFZ	Cosby with South Whetstone	59	61	29	2.3	1.6	1.9
3	31UBGA	Countesthorpe	92	93	52	2.9	1.5	2.2
4	31UBGB	Croft Hill	30	35	10	2.7	1.8	2.3
5	31UBGC	Ellis	82	85	41	4.0	1.1	2.0
6	31UBGD	Enderby and St. John's	96	94	45	5.6	1.7	3.
7	31UBGE	Fairestone	71	69	40	3.9	١.5	2.8
8	31UBGF	Forest	107	98	47	2.9	2.0	2.5
9	31UBGG	Millfield	54	60	34	5.8	3.0	4.5
10	31UBGH	Muxloe	60	58	26	3.3	1.7	2.
11	3 I UBGJ	Narborough and Littlethorpe	97	102	33	4.1	1.7	2.9
12	31UBGK	Normanton	35	29	11	2.4	1.9	2.2
13	31UBGL	North Whetstone	94	96	38	3.7	1.9	2.9
14	31UBGM	Pastures	70	68	35	2.8	1.7	2.3
15	31UBGN	Ravenhurst and Fosse	189	203	94	5.9	2.4	4.2
16	31UBGP	Saxondale	143	144	79	3.4	2.3	2.9
17	31UBGQ	Stanton and Flamville	112	120	69	2.9	2.2	2.0
18	3IUBGR	Winstanley	157	149	71	4.5	2.5	3.6
-		Blaby District	1,650	1,666	806	3.8	1.9	2.9

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Charnwood	Borough
-----------	---------

September 2009								
e op to			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Tota
C	Code	ode Wards (resident-based proportions)			-	%	%	%
1	3IUCGG	Anstov	128	141	60	4.7	2.3	2 6
2	3IUCGH	Anstey Barrow and Sileby West	98	141	43	4.7 3.2	2.3	3.5 2.7
3	3IUCG	Birstall Wanlip	82	87	52	3.4	2.1	2.7
4	3IUCGK	Birstall Watermead	126	124	57	4.6	1.5	3.2
5	3IUCGL	East Goscote	45	44	19	3.8	1.0	2.4
6	3IUCGM	Forest Bradgate	45	40	18	2.6	1.0	2.2
7	3IUCGN	Loughborough Ashby*	134	129	62	2.6	1.7	2.
8	3IUCGP	Loughborough Dishley and		127	02	2.0	1.7	Ζ.
U	510001	Hathern*	120	133	69	4.2	1.8	3.
9	31UCGQ	Loughborough Garendon*	122	134	59	3.9	2.2	3.
10	3IUCGR	Loughborough Hastings*	252	240	166	8.2	4.3	6.4
11	31UCGS	Loughborough Lemyngton*	248	253	140	8.1	3.2	5.
12	3IUCGT	Loughborough Nanpantan*	37	39	20	1.2	0.6	0.
13	31UCGU	Loughborough Outwoods*	76	77	29	2.8	1.8	2.
14	31UCGW	Loughborough Shelthorpe*	215	215	110	7.0	2.2	4.
15	3IUCGX	Loughborough Southfields*	117	126	54	3.2	1.7	2.
16	3IUCGY	Loughborough Storer*	137	135	65	3.4	2.0	2.
17	3IUCGZ	Mountsorrel	166	181	78	5.3	2.1	3.
18	31UCHA	Queniborough	31	27	21	2.3	1.2	1.8
19	31UCHB	Quorn and Mountsorrel Castle	102	105	45	3.5	1.8	2.
20	31UCHC	Rothley and Thurcaston	67	70	34	2.6	1.2	1.1
21	31UCHD	Shepshed East	118	116	55	3.7	1.6	2.
22	31UCHE	Shepshed West	118	138	60	4.0	1.5	2.
23	31UCHF	Sileby	166	171	73	5.4	1.7	3.
24	31UCHG	Syston East	112	111	43	4.1	1.9	3.
25	31UCHH	Syston West	120	130	69	3.8	2.2	3.
26	31UCHJ	The Wolds	34	35	11	2.0	1.7	I.
27	3 IUCHK	Thurmaston	233	249	122	5.5	2.6	4.
28	31UCHL	Wreake Villages	25	28	14	2.3	0.5	1.4
		Charnwood Borough	3,274	3,385	1,648	4.0	1.9	3.0
		*Loughborough including Hathern	1458	1481	774	4.3	2.1	3.3

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Harborough District

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data September 2009

Septe	ember 2009		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
	31UDGD	Billesdon	10			0.0	1.4	
1	310DGD 31UDGE	Billesdon Bosworth	12 31	 3	 2	0.9 2.1	1.4 2.1	1.1 2.1
3	3IUDGF	Broughton Astley - Astley	29	30	12	2.1	1.5	2.1
4	3IUDGG	Broughton Astley - Broughton	32	30	13	2.3	1.5	1.8
5	3IUDGH	Broughton Astley - Primethorpe	37	31	14	3.9	2.3	3.1
6	3IUDGJ	Broughton Astley - Sutton	40	42	15	4.1	1.7	3.0
7	3IUDGK	Dunton	21	24	8	1.5	1.5	1.5
8	3IUDGL	Fleckney	92	90	34	4.1	1.5	3.0
9	31UDGM	Glen	52	47	33	2.3	1.9	2.1
10	31UDGN	Kibworth	81	80	33	3.2	1.2	2.2
	31UDGP	Lubenham	32	31	9	1.6	1.9	1.7
12	31UDGQ	Lutterworth Brookfield	32	35	17	2.9	1.3	2.2
13	31UDGR	Lutterworth Orchard	27	31	21	3.2	1.0	2.2
14	3 IUDGS	Lutterworth Springs	37	44	25	4.0	1.5	2.8
15	31UDGT	Lutterworth Swift	54	54	17	4.3	2.8	3.6
16	31UDGU	Market Harborough - Great						
		Bowden*	130	134	64	3.9	2.2	3. I
17	31UDGW	Market Harborough - Little						
		Bowden*	59	70	25	2.5	1.4	2.0
18	31UDGX	Market Harborough - Logan*	46	55	24	2.3	1.3	1.9
19	31UDGY	Market Harborough - Welland*	118	135	60	5.0	2.2	3.7
20	31UDGZ	Misterton	31	35	11	2.6	1.4	2.1
21	31UDHA	Nevill	21	29	9	2.6	0.7	1.7
22	31UDHB	Peatling	25	20	8	2.0	1.7	1.9
23	31UDHC	Thurnby and Houghton	88	106	52	3.2	1.9	2.6
24	31UDHD	Tilton	16	19	5	1.9	0.7	1.3
25	31UDHE	Ullesthorpe	27	24	12	2.3	1.7	2.0
		Harborough District	1,170	1,241	551	3.0	1.7	2.4
		* Market Harborough	353	394	173	3.6	1.9	2.7
# The	se figures are s	uppressed as value is 1 or 2			I			

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Hinckley & Bosworth Borough

Jep	terriber 200		Total	Last month	Last	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)		month	year	%	%	%
I	3 I UEFU	Ambien	36	44	20	2.1	1.1	1.6
2	31UEFW	Barlestone Nailstone & Osbaston	40	36	22	2.4	1.3	1.9
3	31UEFX	Barwell	234	243	145	5.6	2.6	4.2
4	31UEFY	Burbage St. Catherines & Lash Hill*	105	110	62	4.5	1.6	3.2
5	31UEFZ	Burbage Sketchley and Stretton*	113	123	63	2.6	1.4	2.0
6	31UEGA	Cadeby Carlton & Market Bosworth	34	35	15	2.0	1.4	1.8
7	31UEGB	Earl Shilton*	329	310	154	8. I	3.2	5.7
8	31UEGC	Groby	82	93	39	2.7	1.2	2.0
9	31UEGD	Hinckley Castle*	166	185	103	5.6	2.1	4.0
10	3 I UEGE	Hinckley Clarendon*	177	211	80	3.8	2.5	3.2
	31UEGF	Hinckley De Montfort*	206	211	87	4.9	2.1	3.6
12	31UEGG	Hinckley Trinity*	192	202	90	6.8	2.4	4.7
13	31UEGH	Markfield Stanton and Fieldhead	86	79	47	3.6	1.5	2.6
14	3 I UEGJ	Newbold Verdon with Desford &						
	-	Peckleton	114	129	53	3.2	1.3	2.3
15	31UEGK	Ratby Bagworth and Thornton	114	125	49	3.7	1.9	2.8
16	31UEGL	Twycross & Witherley with Sheepy	36	40	12	2.0	1.9	2.0
		Hinckley & Bosworth District	2,064	2,176	1,041	4.3	2.0	3.2
	<u>,</u>	* Hinckley (inc. Burbage & Earl Shilton)	1288	1352	639	5.1	2.2	3.8

I

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

			Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	code Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
		A11	(0)				4.0	• •
	00FNNF	Abbey	683	679	510	12.2	4.9	8.6
2	00FNNG	Aylestone	370	373	257	8.3	2.7	5.6
3	00FNNH	Beaumont Leys	873	854	604	12.0	4.7	8.4
4	00FNNJ	Belgrave	473	482	318	8.1	5.4	6.7
5	00FNNK	Braunstone Park & Rowley Fields	83 I	817	462	12.0	4.4	8.2
6	00FNNL	Castle	835	85 I	573	8.6	3.3	6.0
7	00FNNM	Charnwood	616	623	481	12.0	4.8	8.4
8	00FNNN	Coleman	636	624	419	10.2	5.2	7.8
9	00FNNP	Evington	321	343	184	7.5	3.6	5.6
10	00FNNQ	Eyres Monsell	484	469	326	11.1	4.0	7.5
	00FNNR	Fosse	480	504	246	8.0	3.9	6. I
12	00FNNS	Freemen	582	570	350	12.6	4.5	8.5
13	00FNNT	Humberstone and Hamilton	525	525	294	8.2	3.9	6.2
14	00FNNU	Knighton	327	320	193	4.8	2.0	3.4
15	00FNNW	Latimer	449	437	313	6.8	4.6	5.7
16	00FNNX	New Parks	842	819	548	13.1	4.7	8.9
17	00FNNY	Rushey Mead	502	527	309	6.4	3.5	5.0
18	00FNNZ	Spinney Hills	1233	1196	906	13.2	4.9	9.2
19	00FNPA	Stoneygate	856	833	586	9.7	4.3	7.1
20	00FNPB	Thurncourt	361	353	215	9.3	3.7	6.5
21	00FNPC	Westcotes	482	479	258	9.4	4.1	6.9
22	00FNPD	Western Park	347	355	175	8.2	2.7	5.6
		Leicester	13,108	13,033	8,527	9.6	4.0	6.9

Leicester City

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Source: Office for National Statistics

зер	tember 2009		Total	Last month	Last year	Male	Female	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			,	%	%	%
1	3IUGFT	Astordhy	(0	(2)	41	4.2	. 7	2.0
י 2	3IUGFU	Asfordby Bottesford	60	63	41	4.3	1.7	3.0
			38	46	16	2.2	1.5	1.9
3	3IUGFW	Croxton Kerrial	24	29	9	2.1	2.3	2.2
4	3IUGFX	Frisby-on-the-Wreake	8	9	6	0.7	0.8	0.7
5	3IUGFY	Gaddesby	10	14	6	1.3	0.7	1.0
6	31UGFZ	Long Clawson and Stathern	31	38	19	1.7	0.8	1.2
7	31UGGA	Melton Craven*	72	78	36	4.1	2.3	3.3
8	31UGGB	Melton Dorian*	93	102	30	4.0	1.6	2.8
9	3IUGGC	Melton Egerton*		116	59	6.8	2.7	4.8
10	3IUGGD	Melton Newport*	78	87	25	3.9	1.6	2.8
П	3IUGGE	Melton Sysonby*	92	106	39	3.9	1.7	2.8
12	31UGGF	Melton Warwick*	84	76	37	5.0	2.8	4.0
13	3IUGGG	Old Dalby	28	23	12	2.9	1.6	2.3
14	31UGGH	Somerby	16	19	9	2.1	0.8	1.5
15	3IUGGJ	Waltham-on-the-Wolds	10	П	4	1.8	#	1.0
16	3IUGGK	Wymondham	23	25	15	2.5	2.3	2.4
		Melton Borough	778	842	363	3.4	1.7	2.6
		* Melton Mowbray	530	565	226	4.5	2.0	3.3

Melton Borough

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Claimant Count (JSA) Ward Data

Sep	tember 2009							
			Total	Last month	Last year	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			-	%	%	%
I	3 IUHFZ	Appleby	28	24	8	2.9	1.4	2.2
2	31UHGA	Ashby Castle*	17	20	11	1.2	0.0	1.0
3	3 I UHGB	Ashby Holywell*	90	94	44	4.2	1.9	3.1
4	31UHGC	Ashby Ivanhoe*	78	76	36	4.4	1.4	3.0
5	31UHGD	Bardon**	45	48	22	3.1	1.5	2.3
6	31UHGE	Breedon	27	29	9	2.6	1.0	1.9
7	31UHGF	Castle Donington	140	147	62	4.3	2.1	3.3
8	31UHGG	Coalville**	142	152	70	6.0	2.8	4.5
9	31UHGH	Greenhill**	197	210	117	6.7	2.7	4.8
10	3 IUHGJ	Hugglescote**	86	82	34	3.9	1.8	2.9
П	31UHGK	Ibstock and Heather	140	156	60	4.4	2.0	3.3
12	31UHGL	Kegworth and Whatton	64	60	25	3.2	1.3	2.3
13	31UHGM	Measham	109	102	55	4.6	2.1	3.4
14	31UHGN	Moira	80	89	42	3.4	2.1	2.8
15	31UHGP	Oakthorpe and Donisthorpe	54	49	17	4.5	2.0	3.3
16	31UHGQ	Ravenstone and Packington	41	40	18	4.0	0.0	2.7
17	31UHGR	Snibston**	98	87	52	4.4	1.6	3.0
18	31UHGS	Thringstone**	73	76	37	3.5	1.9	2.7
19	31UHGT	Valley	84	81	51	3.9	2.2	3.1
20	3 IUHGU	Whitwick**	103	125	64	3.4	1.7	2.6
		NW Leicestershire District	1,696	1,747	834	4.1	1.9	3.1
		* Ashby de la Zouch	185	190	91	3.6	1.5	2.6
		** Coalville	744	780	396	4.6	2.1	3.4
<i>-μ</i> τι	ana figuras ara au	proceed as value is L or 2						

North West Leicestershire District

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Oadby & Wigston Borough

•			Total	Last month	Last year	Male Fe	emale	Total
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			-	%	%	%
I	31UJFL	Oadby Brocks Hill*	76	78	39	4.4	2.5	3.5
2	3 I UJFM	Oadby Grange*	66	67	36	1.9	1.0	1.5
3	31UJFN	Oadby St. Peter's*	100	88	52	7.1	2.6	4.8
4	3 I UJFP	Oadby Uplands*	82	90	43	4.6	1.9	3.2
5	31UJFQ	Oadby Woodlands*	79	80	31	3.9	1.6	2.7
6	3 I UJFR	South Wigston	259	268	128	8.0	3.1	5.6
7	3 I UJFS	Wigston All Saints**	99	102	48	3.5	1.9	2.8
8	3 I UJFT	Wigston Fields**	169	162	99	6.7	2.0	4.4
9	3 I UJFU	Wigston Meadowcourt**		114	63	3.8	1.5	2.7
10	31UJFW	Wigston St. Wolstan's**	104	118	63	4.0	1.5	2.8
		Oadby & Wigston Borough	1,145	1,167	602	4.7	1.9	3.3
		* Oadby	403	403	201	3.9	1.8	2.8
		** Wigston	483	496	273	4.5	1.7	3.2
	c							

I

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics

Rutland

			Total	Last month	Last year	Male Female		Total	
	Code	Wards (resident-based proportions)			<i>,</i> cu:	%	%	%	
1	00FPMS	Braunston and Belton	0		-	17	ш	1.2	
2	00FPMT	Cottesmore	8	10	5	1.7	#	1.1	
2 3	00FPMU	Exton	25	27	18	1.2	1.3	1.1	
5 4	00FPMW	Greetham	13	14	5	0.8	1.5	1.0	
+ 5	00FPMX	Ketton	19	17	12	1.3	1.9	1.4	
	00FPMY		27	28	9	2.0	1.6	1.6	
5		Langham	13	11	10	2.8	#	1.	
7	00FPMZ	Lyddington	12	13	5	2.2	0.8	١.	
3	00FPNA	Martinsthorpe	9	8	5	1.7	1.0	١.	
)	00FPNB	Normanton	29	25		1.2	1.7	۱.	
0	00FPNC	Oakham North East*	37	32	13	2.3	1.5	2.	
	00FPND	Oakham North West*	33	39	12	1.9	1.6	1.5	
2	00FPNE	Oakham South East*	20	23	8	2.5	#	۱.	
3	00FPNF	Oakham South West*	35	41	10	3.4	2.0	2.	
4	00FPNG	Ryhall and Casterton	29	37	13	2.0	1.2	Ι.	
5	00FPNH	Uppingham	56	53	22	2.6	1.2	2.	
6	00FPNJ	Whissendine	17	19	9	2.2	2.1	2.	
		Rutland	382	397	167	2.0	1.3	Ι.	
		*Oakham	125	135	43	2.4	1.3	1.1	

These figures are suppressed as value is 1 or 2.

Market Town geographies defined by Leicestershire County Council and figures calculated using ONS Claimant Count (JSA) figures and denominators.

Source: Office for National Statistics